Dear Ma'am,

The police have been informed by a Mr Andrew Ladsky that you verbally abused him in public over some sort of dispute revolving around your premises. Indeed, his version of events has been confirmed by a witness. Please understand that I am aware I only have his side of the story, however, if events occurred as he described them, then an offence would appear to have been committed by you. Of perhaps greater importance is the fact that any further such outbursts may result in charges of harassment being made against you, as this initial complaint has been fully recorded by police. I wish to make it clear that my role in this is purely neutral at the moment, but I would like not to advise you of the following: Please avoid (if you can) any confrontation with Mr Ladsky or there may be further consequences. In addition, would it be possible for you to call the above telephone numbers to clarify this situation.

Thank you for your time and I look forward to your reply.

Yours sincerely,

NEIL WATSON PC206BS
CRIME INVESTIGATOR
Isn't it amazing that when I and several other (perfectly sane) residents over the course of 2+ years report Mr Ladsky to Kensington & Chelsea police we are told that no action can be taken because there is no evidence. See:

  
  "No crime report has been reported to this police borough regarding Mr Ladsky. In your letter you mention that other occupiers had complained this may be correct, but there are no reported crimes about Mr Ladsky."

- **Sir Toby Harris**, then Chair of the Metropolitan Police Authority, letter to me of 11 July 2002.

**BUT**, when Mr Ladsky complains about me that "I swore at him": not only do I get this letter, I am also told that "the complaint has been fully recorded by the police."

Very clearly, no concern here about “…the police cannot act on the basis of your suspicions, however strongly held, and must only act on the basis of established facts” – as captured in Sir Toby Harris letter to me of 11 July 2002.

Visualise the scene: a man, standing in a police station saying: “Mr Policeman, a woman swore at me”. Or, is it perhaps the case that Mr Ladsky just made a phone call to Kensington & Chelsea police?

I view this letter from Kensington & Chelsea as being intended to intimidate me. It was written a few days before the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal hearing (5 Feb 2003). Mr Ladsky and his aides assumed that I would not be represented, as they had never received any communication on my behalf from an adviser.

On the day of the LVT hearing, I also received a letter from **Mr Lanny Silverstone, CKFT**, dated 4 Feb 03, making false accusations against me – and very clearly intended to add more pressure (see site).

Lack of response from me to the 27 Jan 03 letter led Neil Watson PC206BS to write me another letter on 6 Feb 03 – at the insistence of Mr Ladsky (?) who had not expected me, the day before i.e on 5 Feb 03, to turn up with a surveyor, barrister and solicitor at the LVT hearing) (See my 11 Feb 03 reply to Neil Watson).

How does the police reconcile the comment from Sir Toby Harris in his letter to me of 11 July 2002:

“…you must appreciate that officers have to act with consideration for resource and time expenditure when investigating a case such as this…”

with what Kensington & Chelsea police did?
Ms NOEL RALIE
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