Claim to an Employment Tribunal

Please read the guidance notes and the notes on this page carefully before filling in this form.

By law, your claim must be on an approved form provided by the Employment Tribunals Service and you must provide the information marked with * and, if it is relevant, the information marked with ○ (see ‘Information needed before a claim can be accepted’).

You may find it helpful to take advice before filling in the form, particularly if your claim involves discrimination.

How to fill in this form

All claimants must fill in sections 1, 2 and 3. You then only need to fill in those sections of the form that apply to your case. For example:

For unpaid wages, fill in sections 4 and 8.

For unfair dismissal, fill in sections 4 and 5.

For discrimination, fill in sections 4 and 6.

For a redundancy payment, fill in sections 4 and 7.

For unfair dismissal and discrimination, fill in sections 4, 5 and 6.

For unfair dismissal and unpaid wages, fill in sections 4, 5 and 8.

Fill in section 10 only if there is some information you wish to draw to the tribunal’s attention and section 12 only if you have appointed a representative to act on your behalf in dealing with your claim.

If this claim is one of a number of claims arising out of the same or similar circumstances, you can obtain a Multiple Claim Form from the ETS Public Enquiry Line on 08457 959775 or from www.employmenttribunals.gov.uk. Alternatively you can give the names and addresses of additional claimants on a separate sheet or sheets of paper. If you do this you must make it clear that the relevant required information for all the additional claimants is the same as stated in the main claim.

Please make sure that all the information you give is as accurate as possible.

Where there are tick boxes, please tick the one that applies.

Please write clearly in black ink using CAPITAL LETTERS.

If you fax the form, do not send a copy in the post.
1 Your details

1.1 Title: Mr Mrs Miss Ms ✓ Other

1.2* First name (or names): NOÉLLE

1.3* Surname or family name: RAWÉ

1.4 Date of birth (date/month/year):

1.5* Address: Number or Name
Street
+ Town/City
County
Postcode

1.6 Phone number (where we can contact you during normal working hours):

1.7 How would you prefer us to communicate with you?
(Please tick only one box)
E-mail ✓ Post Fax

Fax number:

2 Respondent's details

2.1* Give the name of your employer or the organisation you are claiming against.

KPMG LLP
8 SALISBURY SQUARE
LONDON
EC4Y 8BB
020 7311 1100
1 CANADA SQUARE
CANNARY WHARF
LONDON
E14 5AG
020 7311 1100

2.2* Address: Number or Name
Street
+ Town/City
County
Postcode

2.3 If you worked at an address different from the one you have given at 2.2, please give the full address and postcode.

2.4* If your complaint is against more than one respondent please give the names, addresses and postcodes of additional respondents.
3 Action before making a claim

3.1* Are you, or were you, an employee of the respondent?  Yes ☑ No
If 'Yes', please now go straight to section 3.3.

3.2 Are you, or were you, a worker providing services to the respondent?  Yes  No
If 'Yes', please now go straight to section 4.
If 'No', please now go straight to section 6.

3.3* Is your claim, or part of it, about a dismissal by the respondent?  Yes  No
If 'No', please now go straight to section 3.5.
If your claim is about constructive dismissal, i.e. you resigned because of something your employer did or failed to do which made you feel you could no longer continue to work for them, tick the box here and the 'Yes' box in section 3.4.

3.4 Is your claim about anything else, in addition to the dismissal?  Yes  No
If 'No', please now go straight to section 4.
If 'Yes', please answer questions 3.5 to 3.7 about the non-dismissal aspects of your claim.

3.5 Have you put your complaint(s) in writing to the respondent?  Yes  No
If 'No', please now go straight to section 3.7.

3.6 Did you allow at least 28 days between the date you put your complaint in writing to the respondent and the date you sent us this claim?  Yes  No
If 'Yes', please now go straight to section 4.

3.7 Please explain why you did not put your complaint in writing to the respondent or, if you did, why you did not allow at least 28 days before sending us your claim.
(In most cases, it is a legal requirement to take these procedural steps. Your claim will not be accepted unless you give a valid reason why you did not have to meet the requirement in your case. If you are not sure, you may want to get legal advice.)
4 Employment details

4.1 Please give the following information if possible.

When did your employment start? 27/10/1997
When did or will it end? 17/01/2008
Is your employment continuing? Yes No

4.2 Please say what job you do or did.

Global Advisory Services
Knowledge Management Manager

4.3 How many hours do or did you work each week? 35 hours each week

4.4 How much are or were you paid?

Pay before tax

Normal take-home pay (including overtime, commission, bonuses and so on)

4.5 If your employment has ended, did you work (or were you paid for) a period of notice?

If 'Yes', how many weeks or months did you work or were you paid for?

5 Unfair dismissal or constructive dismissal

Please fill in this section only if you believe you have been unfairly or constructively dismissed.

5.1 If you were dismissed by your employer, you should explain why you think your dismissal was unfair. If you resigned because of something your employer did or failed to do which made you feel you could no longer continue to work for them (constructive dismissal) you should explain what happened.

Please see attached five sheets, particulars of claim
IN THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL
LONDON - STRATFORD

Case No 3200 936/2008

BETWEEN

NOËLLE RAWÉ
Claimant

And

KPMG LLP
Respondent

PARTICULARS OF CLAIM
ET1 SECTION 5.1

See KPMG’s PACK OF LIES ‘response’ (includes my Comments)

1. Eight months of harassment and victimisation by KPMG led me to file a 26-page grievance on 17 January 2008 (referring to 68 supporting documents). I state: “Events demonstrate that KPMG wants me to leave and that, instead of saying it, resorted to highly underhanded tactics to force me to leave. This causes me to feel a breach of trust and confidence. The outcome of my performance appraisal is the ‘last straw’. I can no longer allow myself to be subjected to abuse, and believe that the situation allows me to consider myself as having been constructively dismissed”

2. KPMG’s cavalier attitude led me to ask for a change to a modified grievance procedure on 19 February 2007 – to which it agreed. It has not replied to my grievance.

3. Triggers to events are false accusations made against me to KPMG by Mr Andrew Ladsky, landlord/person fronting the ownership of the block where I own a leasehold flat, about my personal website www.leasehold-outrage.com. (My website exposes detail of fraudulent activities by him / his advisors).

4. Events indicate that the content of my website, added to my using my KPMG computer in October 2006 to send emails to the media about the existence of my website, contributed to the treatment I was made to endure. (In the context of my six-year dispute with Mr Ladsky), on my website, I highlight (substantiated) failings by some government departments and regulatory bodies, including KPMG’s professional association, the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales. Hence, parties with which KPMG has / might potentially have a working relationship.

5. 13 February 2007 – At a meeting, with Peter Bassett (PB), HR Partner for my group, and Jeanette Dunworth (JD), HR, I was reprimanded for using my KPMG computer to: (1) send emails to the media; (2) “notify other websites about the relaunch of my website”; (3) look at my website. Also, for “staying in the office very late” I admit all, except the second one which I cannot recall. The meeting was “not a formal warning”.

6. During the meeting, I provided an update on my personal situation. KPMG was aware of my ongoing problem with Mr Ladsky since 2002 when it had led to my being transferred to doing non-client work until my situation was resolved.

7. It is clear that the trigger to the 13 February meeting - not communicated to me at the
30 March 2007 – At a meeting, PB and JD informed me that Mr Ladsky had approached KPMG claiming that my website “contains anti-Semitic comments”. I denied it saying that two weeks previously he had made the same false accusation to Kensington & Chelsea police and that the police had backed down when challenged by my website Host. I supplied the emails from the police as evidence. In spite of this, I was told that, “to protect me and KPMG”, my access to the Internet would be immediately cut-off. Very trusting of KPMG and concerned that Mr Ladsky had brought his long-standing personal vendetta against me to KPMG, I did not challenge the rationale of the decision, nor asked for evidence of the accusation (it was not offered to me).

Cutting-off my access to the Internet resulted in my also being cut off from the majority of the internal sites for nearly one month, making it near impossible for me to do my work. I kept highlighting my plight as the impact on me was devastating: the automated messages generated from trying to access the sites made me feel as though I was a criminal. I was in tears at my desk practically every day. By mid-April 2007, I sought medical treatment to help me cope with the situation. My access to the internal sites was only resumed on 25 April 2007, once I agreed to sign a letter permanently barring me from using the Internet. As my work required some Internet access, I was dependent on colleagues for information, making it a demeaning and humiliating experience.

Feeling increasingly ostracised by my Line Manager, colleagues and Partners, on 9 July 2007, I filed a Subject Access Request (DPA 1997). KPMG refused to provide me with any of Mr Ladsky’s communications. As a result of challenging its position, on 5 October 2007 KPMG finally supplied me with: (1) a 26 March 2007 letter from Mr Ladsky in which over 50% of the content has been blocked out. (KPMG claimed the parts blocked out do not concern me); (2) a few notes said to have been taken by KPMG Counsel on 9 February 2007 following a telephone call from Mr Ladsky. KPMG said to have received other calls from Mr Ladsky, but that no notes were made of these conversations.

The documents demonstrate that Mr Ladsky had made other false accusations against me. Among others: that I used “KPMG’s internet services to work on my website” (26 March 2007 letter) and “update [it]” (accusation made on 9 February 2007). These accusations are false as I had my personal computer in the office and connected it to the Internet by means of my personal 3G card. In his 26 March 2007 letter Mr Ladsky also claimed that the “police confirmed that they are dealing with a racist incident”. This too was false - as the police had backed down when challenged by my website Host.

In August 2007, I was ‘allowed’ to access the Internet on the condition that I use a spare computer. As the ban imposed on me was not computer dependent, it led me to perceive it as intended to humiliate and demean me (need to ask colleagues to look up information for me), as well as ‘punish’ me for ‘daring’ to stand-up and fight for my rights in relation to my personal problem. These perceptions were further reinforced in the context of my performance appraisal.

On 1 August 2007, I filed my performance appraisal form in preparation for my year-end appraisal on 7 August 2007. My Line Manager, Ceri Hughes (CH), cancelled the meeting, giving as reason that she had sent my form to HR due to what I had written.
14. **I wrote** that I had “managed to achieve my objectives in spite of the very distressing conditions under which I have had to work for half the year to date”. I then related the main events that had taken place stating “While by mid-year, I was suffering greatly from events taking place in my private life (unlawful threat of bankruptcy, fraudulent claim filed against me, false accusations, etc.).” I nonetheless opted to leave this out of my mid-year performance appraisal. Being at work and concentrating on it gave me the possibility to forget about my problems. I can no longer leave this out of the equation as my personal situation has entered my work life and has led to events that have impacted on my ability to perform my work, as well as my wellbeing.

15. The official deadlines for completion were: 31 August for the performance appraisals; 30 September for setting the goals for 2007-08. A policy email stated that completion by 30 September was required “to ensure eligibility for salary/bonus review consideration.”

16. Three times during August and September I reminded CH that I had not had my appraisal. Every time she attributed the delay to HR not getting back to her. The fourth occasion finally led to my appraisal being set for 9 October 2007.

17. During the meeting, CH refused to discuss my being cut-off from the Intranet and the Internet. I kept raising it, saying that it could not be ignored as it had affected my ability to perform my work. Every time, CH threatened to immediately end the meeting if I persisted. It led me to perceive the sole objective of the 10 week-wait “to hear from HR” as intended to torment me and humiliate me.

18. Having led me to believe at the beginning of the meeting that my appraisal would take place the ‘KPMG way’, a third of the way through, CH apologised for not telling me at the start that she needed to go to another meeting, and would schedule another meeting by the end of the week to complete my appraisal. This had never happened to me in my 10 years at KPMG. It is a breach of KPMG’s policies comprised in the ‘Guidelines for Performance Management 2007 – Managing for Excellence’.

19. With no meeting set-up by 11 October 2007, I was very anxious as the policy email of 5 October 2007 stated “...if you do not have your year-end review for 2006/2007 recorded in the Dialogue system by 12 October 2007, you are not eligible to receive any bonus award for the 2006/2007 financial year.”

20. CH’s reply to my 11 October 2007 email was (1) her “diary is completely committed at the moment”; (2) the 12 October deadline had been extended. As she ignored my request for evidence of this, on 15 October 2007 I contacted JD relating what had taken place, and stated that I was “looking for honesty” in relation to my position at KPMG. CH was pulled out of the process and replaced by PB and Kathy Woodhouse (KW), HR “to ensure a fair and independent assessment”. ‘Part 2’ of my appraisal took place on 24 October 2007, hence nearly three months after I had filed my form on the system.

21. Following agreement that CH assessed my work as being of “very high quality”, “superb quality”, they continued to build on the criticisms stated by CH on 9 October 2007.

22. Firstly, my “impact on others”. CH claimed that I had “let my personal problem come into my work life”. I denied it saying that KPMG had done this. This is evidenced by the fact that no comment of the kind was made at my mid-year appraisal (4 April 2007). Aside
from 2002 (point 6 above) I have never been accused of letting my personal problem impact on my work life - in spite of the fact that my very traumatic personal experience has been ongoing since 2002.

23. Feedback from a colleague, Finbarr Geaney, was used as ‘evidence’. He wrote “You have a well known personal legal difficulty with your house. This problem does get you down a lot and it sometimes impacts negatively upon your mood in the office and thus your impact with colleagues”. I objected to his assumption pointing out that I had been in tears at my desk because of the actions taken against me by KPMG – not because of my personal problem. Evidence suggests that Finbarr Geaney’s feedback was ‘influenced’.

24. PB and KW gave prominence to Finbarr Geaney’s feedback over that of another colleague which contradicted his feedback, and was highly complimentary.

25. Secondly, that I had “not completed two of [my] goals”: ensuring that the service lines and industries had the necessary sales and delivery collaterals on their internal sites. This was attributed to my “not taking the initiative”; “not driving things forward”; “not being sufficiently assertive”; “lacking in determination”. I objected to the criticisms by stating that CH had kept me ‘out of the loop’, thereby preventing me from moving to the next stages. Another criticism was “insufficient interaction with other team members”. I counteracted this by pointing out that I had been tasked with very large, solo projects. To support their overall assessment, they suggested I have a training coach.

26. These failings against me were fabricated (and had clearly been planned since April 2007) as they are: (1) diametrically opposed to the assessment at my mid-year performance appraisal (4 April 2007); (2) strongly contradicted by the highly complimentary feedback I received in previous years when I was tasked with the same responsibility; (3) contradicted by PB’s 2006 assessment of my ability to be promoted to senior manager as “a realistic target given Noëlle’s experience and capabilities”.

27. Like CH, they totally ignored my comments about the impact of KPMG’s actions against me. Their reply when I (twice) raised them was “it has not been the best year for you”.

28. While a difference of view was agreed, I ended up with a ‘8-NI’ overall rating: “Your overall performance does not meet the requirements and immediate improvement is required. While you deliver strong performance results, you are not demonstrating KPMG’s Global Values and Skills and Behaviors. It is important that you develop a plan focusing on changing your behaviour and / or improving your skills and behaviours”.

29. I view this rating as highly unfair and unjust because based on false assertions. My performance has always been rated as ‘5-SP’ “You are consistently achieving strong overall performance by demonstrating KPMG’s Global Values and Skills and Behaviors and delivering strong performance results. Your contribution is recognized and appreciated”.

30. As a result of my chasing it, my performance appraisal form was returned to me on 8 November 2007. I had missed the absolute deadline stated in the 22 October 2007 policy email “if you do not have your agreed Goals for the year ahead recorded in the Dialogue system by 31 October 2007, your bonus will be postponed until February 2008”. My hope that my comments on 24 October 2007 would lead to a change in
position did not materialise. This was the ‘last straw’.

31. A 26 October 2007 policy email stated “[you] will have [been] spoken to about your salary review and any bonus award”. Nobody did this with me. My December 2007 payslip shows that: my salary remained unchanged; my bonus was £2,000. (Bonus in previous years: 2006: £6,000; 2005: £7,500; 2004: £10,000; 2003: £9,000; 2002: £6,000)

32. There are other events. They amount to harassment / victimisation / invasion of my privacy e.g. being monitored to the extent of interfering with my ability to work on my computer; indications of monitoring of my website, of my telephone conversations, etc.

33. KPMG breached the implied trust and confidence term of my contract of employment:

1. It sided against me in the context of my personal problem – ironically – for behaving in the manner it prescribes in its Code of Conduct and its Values. A manner it imposed on me during my 10 years of employment through yearly compulsory Ethics and Compliance training and completion of a questionnaire confirming adherence.

2. It took action against me in the knowledge that Mr Ladsky’s accusations were false as: (i) had I tried, the configuration of the KPMG Internet system would not have allowed me to perform the actions contained in his accusations; (ii) on 30 March 2007 I had supplied to KPMG the emails from Kensington & Chelsea police demonstrating that it had backed down – six days before Mr Ladsky’s letter of 26 March 2007.

3. Having denied me the right to defend myself against Mr Ladsky’s accusations, it attempted to deny me access to his communications about me to KPMG.

4. It took advantage of my trust in KPMG, as well as concern that Mr Ladsky had brought his long-standing personal vendetta against me to KPMG – leading me to sign a letter agreeing to be permanently barred from using the Internet.

5. In April 2007, it let me suffer nearly one month of extreme distress, and subsequently demonstrated inconsistency in applying the ban it had imposed on me.

6. Having claimed that the ten-week plus delay in conducting my appraisal was due to lack of response from HR regarding what I wrote in my appraisal form, it then refused to discuss the impact of the actions it had taken against me and therefore did not take them into consideration in my performance appraisal.

7. It fabricated failings against me in order to mark down my performance – leading me to suffer detriment in relation to my promotion prospects, as well as remuneration.

8. In the course of my performance appraisal process, it treated me differently from my colleagues by disregarding its own policies, including timings.

9. On several occasions between July 2007 and January 2008 it refuted my interpretation of events that it wanted me to leave.

34. I seek compensation for unfair dismissal including damages for injury to feelings.
5.2 Were you in your employer's pension scheme? Yes ☑ No 

5.3 If you received any other benefits from your employer, please give details.
- Private Medical Insurance
- Life Assurance
- Lunch Subsidy

5.4 Since leaving your employment have you got another job? Yes ☐ No ☑
If 'No', please now go straight to section 5.7.

5.5 Please say when you started (or will start) work.

5.6 Please say how much you are now earning (or will earn). £ £ £ £ £ .00 each

5.7 Please tick the box to say what you want if your case is successful:
   a) To get your old job back and compensation (reinstatement)
   b) To get another job with the same employer and compensation (re-engagement)
   c) Compensation only
6 Discrimination

Please fill in this section only if you believe you have been discriminated against.

6.1 Please tick the box or boxes to indicate what discrimination (including victimisation) you are complaining about:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discrimination</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (including equal pay)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual orientation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion or belief</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 Please describe the incidents which you believe amounted to discrimination, the dates of these incidents and the people involved.
7 Redundancy payments

Please fill in this section only if you believe you are owed a redundancy payment.

7.1 Please explain why you believe you are entitled to this payment and set out the steps you have taken to get it.

8 Other payments you are owed

Please fill in this section only if you believe you are owed other payments.

8.1 Please tick the box or boxes to indicate that money is owed to you for:

- unpaid wages?
- holiday pay?
- notice pay?
- other unpaid amounts?

8.2 How much are you claiming? £__ , __, __, __, __

Is this: before tax? after tax?

8.3 Please explain why you believe you are entitled to this payment. If you have specified an amount, please set out how you have worked this out.
9 Other complaints

Please fill in this section only if you believe you have a complaint that is not covered elsewhere.

9.1 Please explain what you are complaining about and why. Please include any relevant dates.

10 Other information

10.1 Please do not send a covering letter with this form. You should add any extra information you want us to know here.

FIVE ADDITIONAL SHEETS: PARTICULARS OF CLAIM, ARE ATTACHED TO THIS FORM
11 Disability

11.1 Please tick this box if you consider yourself to have a disability: Yes [ ] No [ ]

If ‘Yes’, please say what this disability is and tell us what assistance, if any, you will need as your claim progresses through the system.

12 Your representative

Please fill in this section only if you have appointed a representative. If you do fill this section in, we will in future only send correspondence to your representative and not to you.

12.1 Representative’s name: [ ]

12.2 Name of the representative’s organisation: [ ]

12.3 Address: Number or Name: [ ]

Street [ ]

+ Town/City [ ]

County [ ]

Postcode [ ]

12.4 Phone number: [ ]

12.5 Reference: [ ]

12.6 How would you prefer us to communicate with them? (Please tick only one box)

Post [ ]

Fax [ ]

E-mail [ ]

Fax number: [ ]

E-mail address: [ ]

13 Multiple cases

13.1 To your knowledge, is your claim one of a number of claims arising from the same or similar circumstances? Yes [ ] No [ ]

Please sign and date here

Signature: [ ]

Date: 03/04/2008

Data Protection Act 1998. We will send a copy of this form to the respondent(s) and Acas. We will put some of the information you give us on this form onto a computer. This helps us to monitor progress and produce statistics. Information provided on this form is passed to the Department of Trade and Industry to assist research into the use and effectiveness of Employment Tribunals.
Equal Opportunities Monitoring Form

You are not obliged to fill in this section but, if you do so, it will enable us to monitor our processes and ensure that we provide equality of opportunity to all. The information you give here will be treated in strict confidence and this page will not form part of your case. It will be used only for monitoring and research purposes without identifying you.

1. What is your country of birth?
   - England
   - Wales
   - Scotland
   - Northern Ireland
   - Republic of Ireland
   - Elsewhere, please write in the present name of the country
   - FRANCE

2. What is your ethnic group?
   Choose ONE section from A to E, then check the appropriate box to indicate your cultural background.

   A White
   - British
   - Irish
   - Any other White background please write in
   - FRENCH

   B Mixed
   - White and Black Caribbean
   - White and Black African
   - White and Asian
   - Any other Mixed background please write in

   C Asian or Asian British
   - Indian
   - Pakistani
   - Bangladeshi
   - Any other Asian background please write in

   D: Black or Black British
   - Caribbean
   - African
   - Any other Black background please write in

   E Chinese or other ethnic group
   - Chinese
   - Any other, please write in

3. What is your religion?
   - None
   - Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and all other Christian denominations)
   - Buddhist
   - Hindu
   - Jewish
   - Muslim
   - Sikh
   - Any other religion, please write in
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