

If the linked documents don't open, try with:



District Judge
[West London County Court](#)
43 North End Road
West Kensington
London W14 8SZ

[Ms N K-Dit-Rawé](#)
3 Jefferson House
11 Basil Street
London SW3 1AX
Tel:

(Via **special delivery**)

17 June 2003

YEP! THIS COURT IS DEFINITELY PART OF HER MAJESTY'S KANGAROO COURTS!

Dear Madam/ Sir

Your Ref: [Claimant No WL203 537 - Steel Services Limited](#)

[Criminal Rachman](#)
[Andrew David Ladsky](#)

Today, on my return from a trip overseas I found a 'Notice of Hearing' from your Court dated [12 June 2003](#) addressed to me as the "Defendant" stating that **the hearing will take place on 24 June 2003**. As your letter was posted on 13 June, second class post, the earliest it would have been delivered to my home would be 16 June. Therefore, this gives only **one week notice** of the hearing.

The notice gives absolutely no explanation as to what the hearing is about. Indeed, this is what it states:

"TAKE NOTICE that the Hearing will take place on 24 June 2003 at 2.00 PM at West London County Court, 43 North End Road, West Kensington, London W14 8SZ When you should attend. Please note: This case may be released to another Judge, possibly a different Court".

With now less than a week's notice, **it will be impossible for me to get a lawyer to represent me.** In addition to which, **there is also uncertainty as to the location of the hearing.**

I have informed you on several occasions that [Steel Services](#) had referred the matter to the [Leasehold Valuation Tribunal \(LVT\)](#) - *completely duplicating this action before your Court.*

1. My letter of [10 December 2002](#)
2. In my defence dated [17 December 2002](#) following receipt from your court of [a claim form](#)
3. My letter of [25 March 2003](#) in which I again gave you precise details, including the following:

13 & 14 Mar 2003 The hearing (at the LVT) took place on 13 and 14 March but, was adjourned, part heard, until 28 April 2003 allowing my Counsel a further three-hours cross-examination (see attached my solicitor's letter dated 18 March 2003).

- **Why are you therefore asking me to attend a hearing?**
- **Why aren't you instead asking me whether the LVT has reached a decision? (I have not yet received a decision from the LVT. I phoned today and was told that the letter 'should be going out today)**
- Better still, **why are you not communicating with the LVT?**

For the second time now your Court is causing me untold torment, anguish and distress. You first did this in March when you sent me [a notice to attend a Charging Order hearing](#). I was just about to pay a further £2,000 in lawyer fess (to be added to the £25,000 of professional fees this nightmare has so far cost me) **to eventually be told by your Court:** *"No, the Charging Order is not against you, it is against other residents".* (My letter to your Court of [1st April 2003](#)). The person also added, *"nonetheless, it may be of benefit for you to attend the hearing on 4th April 2003".*

No explanation because aimed at adding to [the criminal psychological harassment \(Persecution # 1\)](#) + (like the rest of the Establishment [\(book reference\)](#) Her Majesty's judiciary is dripping with hatred for 'little people' like me.

Because our dear '[brother](#)' [\(Persecution # 6\) Andrew David Ladsky](#) is desperate to get the money so that [he can make a multi-million £ jackpot](#) at your expense

Oh yes! We sure had A LOT OF FUN with that one!

Having absolutely no idea as to what would be taking place on 4th April (I have never had any dealings with courts, nor tribunals in my life), I asked my surveyor to come to your Court with me – as this would be cheaper than bringing the lawyer. When we arrived, we discovered that the hearing had been cancelled. This cost me £600 of surveyor fees, plus half a day of my very precious annual leave. All for nothing.

Today I phoned your Court to ask what the hearing on 24 June is about given that I have yet to hear the LVT's decision. The reply was that it is a "Case management hearing. It's for the Judge to understand what the current situation is".

While I will again admit to having absolutely no prior experience of dealing with courts and tribunals and, consequently, their procedures – and the meaning of their terminology (e.g. 'Case management') - this simply does not make any sense to me.

- Why is your Court putting me in this situation of needing to get very costly legal advice when in fact I have yet to hear from the LVT?
- Why is it that your Court is not waiting for this decision?
- Until there is a decision from the LVT, what can you enforce?

I simply do not understand. I feel that I need to get legal representation but cannot secure it due to the very short notice. This is making me sick with worry. I have suffered harassment, intimidation and assault as a result of challenging the service charge demanded of me. The last thing I need is to suffer torment, anguish and distress as a result of the actions of your Court.

But maybe I am going through this hell for nothing. Maybe this is a repeat of what happened in March with your notice for a Charging Order hearing: maybe this hearing on the 24th of June relates to another resident – i.e. has nothing to do with me. Is that the case?

Please, let me know.

Yours faithfully

N K-Dit-Rawé

I repeat my comment about the 'dear brother' Ladsky being anxious to get his hands on the money...
...to "replace the roof" = build a massive penthouse...
...he would then put on the market for 6.5 million.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Royal Mail
special delivery

guaranteed next working day by 12 noon

write details of where your item is going

Name *Richard Judge*
West London County

Address *43 North End Road*
London

Postcode *W14 8SZ*

You can automatically claim up to £250 compensation for loss or damaged items

tick if your item is worth more than £250 and you want a higher compensation limit for an extra price

Tick box level up to £2,000 up to £2,500

write your address on the back of the package

hand this label and your package to a post office

Reference
SU 6977 9264 1GB

12