

If the linked documents don't open, try with:



District Judge
[West London County Court](#)
43 North End Road
West Kensington
London W14 8SZ

[Ms N K-Dit-Rawé](#)
3 Jefferson House
11 Basil Street
London SW3 1AX
Tel:

(Via special delivery)

1 April 2003

Dear Madam/ Sir

= [Criminal rachman](#)
[Andrew David Ladsky](#)

Your Ref: [Claimant No WL203 537 - Steel Services Limited](#)
CHARGING ORDER HEARING ON 4 APRIL 2003 – NOT AGAINST ME

This morning I spoke to your Court Manager stating that you cannot possibly have a Charging Order against me (your notice of [21 March 2003](#) and of [27 March 2003](#)) given that **there is no judgement against me.**

The reply was: **"No, the Charging Order is not against you, it is against other residents".**

While I was very relieved to hear this, I am appalled by the unbelievable anxiety and stress your Court has caused me – and the fact you have failed to point this out to me – despite several opportunities to do so:

That was the judiciary's objective

- Your [21 March 2003](#) notice - addressed solely in my name – does not in any way indicate that this Charging Order is not against me.
- When I phoned your Court early last week to ask how, given the circumstances, this could be happening, I was not told that the Charging Order did not apply to me.
- I wrote your Court a letter on [25 March 2003](#) explaining (yet again) why this action could not be taking place. (At the time, I had not realised that a Charging Order action could only be taken if there had been a judgement against me).
- In your reply of [27 March 2003](#) you state: "...your request (for a stay) will be considered at the hearing on 4th April 2003". So, yet again, this confirmed that the Charging Order was against me.

What Ladsky and his judiciary lapdogs were counting on to make me give up. (By then I had already spent £28,000 re. the tribunal: [Overview # 2](#))

As a result of your actions, I was just about to incur over £2,000 of additional costs on legal advice and representation for the hearing on Friday. Because I called your Court this morning – 'armed' with the correct information – I discover that, in fact, this will not be necessary.

Saying: There cannot be a charging order against me, because there has been NO judgment against me.

This is appalling. It evidently stems from the fact that your Court has not issued a separate summons for each leaseholder according to their respective contribution in the lease – and from, what I am bound to conclude, mismanagement.

Your Court Manager has suggested that, although the Charging Order is not against me, it may nonetheless be of benefit for me to attend the hearing on Friday. I will do so.

Yours faithfully

While their criminal psychological harassment (Persecution # 1) had failed to make me cave in i.e. pay monies I did not owe ([21.10.03 Part 36 offer](#); my [19.12.03](#) Notice of acceptance) to 'their brother' (Persecution # 6) Andrew David Ladsky, ...
...they still ensured that they and Ladsky could get more sadistic kicks – as the hearing did NOT take place (costing me £600 in surveyor fee + half a day of income). Ladsky had struck a deal with one of the leaseholders: [WLCC # 5](#); [Lord Falconer of Thoroton # 1](#).

N K-Dit-Rawé

cc. Mrs J.S.L. Goulden, Mr J.R. Humphrys, Dr A.M. Cox, [Leasehold Valuation Tribunal](#)

To whom I had sent this [30.03.03](#) letter

