

If the linked documents don't open, try with:



Mrs J.S.L. Goulden, Mr J.R. Humphrys  
Dr A.M. Fox  
[Leasehold Valuation Tribunal](#)  
London Rent Assessment Panel  
10 Alfred Place  
London WC1E 7LR

Via **special delivery**

Needless to say that **Goulden did NOT respond** - letting **her judiciary mates in West London County Court** continue with the **criminal psychological harassment (Persecution # 6)** in the hope of getting me to cave in for the benefit of **'the brother' (Persecution # 6) Andrew David Ladsky.**  
  
(My **01.04.03** letter to the judge)

[Ms Noëlle K-Dit-Rawé](#)  
3 Jefferson House  
11 Basil Street  
London SW3 1AX

Your Ref: LVT/SC/007/120/02

**30 March 2003**

Dear Mrs Goulden, Mr Humphrys and Dr Fox,

**YEP! DEFINITELY PART OF HER MAJESTY'S KANGAROO COURTS!**

**Flats 1-35 Jefferson House, 11 Basil Street, London SW3**  
**Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 – Section 19 (2a)**

Last week **I received** a *Notice of a Charging Order Hearing due to take place on Friday 4 April 2003* – dated **21 March 2003**, for myself **+8 others**. (See attached).

In my reply to the [District Judge](#) dated **25 March 2003** (see attached) **I requested (once again) that the action be stayed** explaining, among others, that:

1. at [the LVT](#) pre-trial hearing on **29 October 2002** Mr J.C. Sharma JP FRICS had in effect told the residents to **not pay** the **service charge** demanded for **the major works** **until the LVT had reached a decision**
2. **you had not as yet reached a decision** as the case was currently part heard and the last day for the hearing was set for 28 April.

In its reply of **27 March 2003**, the [County Court](#) tells me that "... **your request** (for a stay) **will be considered at the hearing on 4<sup>th</sup> April 2003**". (See attached)

**How can it be that two government departments – who have been made aware of a conflict as a result of actions they are concurrently undertaking – have no line of communication?**

I may come across as very naïve and misinformed, but **I simply do not understand how this can be happening.**

It is my understanding that in terms of sequence of events **you have to reach a conclusion on the reasonableness of the global sum demanded before any other action – including through county court - can be contemplated.**

Since receiving [the claim form](#) from [West London County Court](#) on 4 December 2002 - filed by [Steel Services](#) for [the same matter you are currently dealing with](#) – in so far as the action refers to *my share of the global sum* (see attached Court claim form and [my defence](#) to the Court) **for which Steel Services has asked your Tribunal to determine the reasonableness, I have:**

- **Brought the West London County Court action to the attention of your Tribunal by writing to Ms Siobhan McGrath, President of the LVT on 9 December 2002.** (See attached).
- On **9 December 2002** I also spoke to Mr **David Stewart, Clerk to the LVT**, to ask him whether he had been informed of this action. He **said that it was the first he had heard of it.** However, in terms of dealing with this, **"no", it was not up to the LVT to contact West London County Court.** He suggested I get legal advice. **He reiterated this** advice in a letter dated **11 December 2002** (see attached).
- During **w/c 9 December 2002** I spoke to Mrs **Sheila Partridge, at the LVT**, to whom my letter to Ms McGrath had been referred. Like Mr Stewart, she told me that it was **not up to the LVT to contact West London County Court.** She also suggested I get legal advice.
- **Last week**, when I phoned Mr **David Stewart** to report the fact I had received [a Notice of a Charging Order](#) from [West London County Court](#) I said that, while I understood the LVT does not provide legal advice, **could it not at least contact West London County Court to inform them that the LVT is still in the process of hearing the case** and that it is scheduled to be completed on 28 April 2003. Again I received the same reply: **"No"; "...suggest you get advice from your lawyer".**

**"Get legal advice" = the lawyers will tell you to 'make a commercial decision' = pay monies you do not owe – and will then get a cut on it from the landlord – added to the fees you've paid the lawyers for doing nothing other than have a chat with their mates: the landlord's lawyers.**

Because [tribunal](#) and [court](#) are in cahoots with [landlord](#) – hell-bent in ensuring [ripping-off leaseholders!](#)

**How can it be – given all of the above – that I find myself forced into a situation whereby I have to contemplate spending yet more money on legal advice (as well as time) because [West London County Court](#) is going ahead with a hearing on 4<sup>th</sup> April?**

My lawyer is asking me to pay £2,000 (on account!) for advising me in relation to the County Court action.

- **How can it be that this hearing is taking place** – given the evidence provided?
- **Why is it that [your Tribunal](#) and [the Court](#) do not communicate?** (At least, on the face of it)
- **Does this mean that the decision your Tribunal will take on 28 April as to the reasonableness of [the global sum demanded](#) will have no bearing on the action through West London County Court?**

So far I **have spent in excess of £20,000 on lawyer, barrister and surveyor fees** in relation to the action with your Tribunal. The addition of a third day of hearing (for which I am grateful) on 28 April will cost me another few thousand pounds.

Under your Tribunal I cannot recoup any of these costs - I have incurred **as a result of a situation that is none of my doing**. (As you agreed on 5<sup>th</sup> February 2003, [despite numerous requests](#), I had not been provided with sufficient information to allow me to determine the reasonableness of the sum demanded for the major works and consequently *"in the interest of justice"* [you agreed to my request](#) for an adjournment of the substantive hearing).

**Am I to conclude that I have spent all this money for nothing?**

Yours sincerely

**Answer:**

**A RESOUNDING 'YES'!**

(See [LVT page](#); [WLCC page](#);

summarised under [Overview # 2 & # 3](#)

Noëlle K-Dit-Rawé

cc District Judge, West London County Court, 43 North End Road, West Kensington, London W14 8SZ (Via special delivery)

#### Enclosures

- 1 Charging order notice from West London, dated 21 March 2003
- 2 My reply to West London Court, dated 25 March 2003
- 3 Reply from West London County Court, dated 27 March 2003
- 4 Front page of West London County Court claim form dated 29 November 2002 and my defence to the Court dated 17 December 2002
- 5 My 9 December 2002 letter to Ms Siobhan McGrath, President, LVT, Leasehold Valuation Tribunal
- 6 Letter from David Stewart, Clerk to the LVT, dated 11 December 2002