

[West London County Court](#)  
43 North End Road  
West Kensington  
London W14 8SZ

[Ms N K-Dit-Rawé](#)  
3 Jefferson House  
11 Basil Street  
London SW3 1AX  
Tel:

(Sent special delivery)

10 December 2002

If the linked documents don't open, try with:



Dear Madam/ Sir

Your Ref: [Claimant No 203 537 - Steel Services Limited](#)

= [Rachman criminal vermin](#)  
[Andrew David Ladsky](#)

Further to the [Claim Form](#) (front page attached) I received from you on Friday 6 December 2002 – at the suggestion of the [LVT](#), I would like to bring to your attention the fact that **the claimant has brought exactly the same action** under the [Leasehold Valuation Tribunal](#) (see attached [their application to the LVT](#)):

- Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 – Section 19 (a); **case: LVT/SC/007/120/02**
- requesting an assessment of the reasonableness of the service charge for major works (my share of this being **£14,400** – as shown [in the schedule](#) sent to you by the claimant).

The process with the LVT is already under way:

- 1 There was [a pre-trial hearing on 29 October](#) (see attached)
- 2 **We have completed step 1** which entailed replying to the Tribunal by [26 November](#) identifying each item of the proposed work that is in dispute (see attached)

(I should add that, at the date of writing, **the claimant has not informed the LVT that they have applied to your court.**)

In light of this - **unless I hear otherwise from you - I will assume that I do not need to take any further action.**

Please note that I will not be not be accessing my mail or telephone from Tuesday 17 December until Monday 6 January 2003.

Yours faithfully

Somebody told me that I STILL needed to respond to the claim, otherwise a default judgment could be entered against me – *even though* there was a clear *abuse of process*, as the same action was being pursued in the tribunal and the court.

Spending most of the night compiling my Defence (before I was due to fly out of the country), I sent it with a [17.12.02](#) letter stating: "**Action to be stayed**".

N K-Dit-Rawé

The person who advised me was right: **WLCC took 7 weeks to respond** (!!)...in its [24.01.03](#) letter communicating very clearly that it could not give a damn; it was up to me, the Litigant in Person, to police the conduct of [Ladsky's corrupt solicitors, CKFT](#).

Enclosures

- 1 Front page of Claim Form from West London County Court
- 2 Steel Services/[Martin Russell Jones](#) application to the LVT – October 2002
- 3 Letter from LVT stating giving 29 October 2002 as date for the pre-trial hearing
- 4 List of actions with deadline, set by the LVT

It was the start of [Her Majesty's corrupt West London County Court judiciary](#) demonstrating that it was going to fall over backwards to help 'the brother' (Persecution # 6) [Andrew David Ladsky](#) and [his gang of racketeers](#) in his realising [a multi-million £ jackpot](#) at the expense of the [Jefferson House leaseholders](#).

**YEP! DEFINITELY PART OF HER MAJESTY'S KANGAROO COURTS!**

Royal Mail

## special delivery

guaranteed next working day by 12 noon

**write** details of where your item is going

Name New London Couriers  
Centre

Building name or number and street 43 North End Road

Postcode London  
W1 4 8 5 2

You can automatically claim up to £250 compensation for lost or damaged items

**tick** if your item is worth more than £250 and you want a higher compensation limit for an extra price

Tick one level up to £1,000  up to £2,500

**write** your address on the back of the package

**hand** this label and your package in at a post office

Reference

SU 5326 3920 4GB

**12**