

[Banham](#)

10 Pascal Street
London SW8 4SH

[Ms Noëlle Rawé](#)

Residential address – NOT for correspondence
3 Jefferson House
11 Basil Street
London SW3 1AX

(By 'Special Delivery')

Banham's failure to reply led me to send this
18.02.14 chaser letter

3 February 2014

Summary of my complaint: # 7.2

Dear Madam / Sir,

Why did you give my landlord access to my apartment?

At the above address, I have been a customer of Banham since September 1976. The last time you changed the lock on the front door to my leasehold apartment was in December 2008 ("account # ⌘"). (The previous invoice, in 2007, states "# ⌘").

On 17th January 2014, the landlord / freeholder got access inside my apartment – by using a key, to open the front door, I had double-locked.

I have most definitely NOT given him a key, nor to any of his agents, and nor have I lost any of the keys you gave me at the time of changing the lock.

Further, as you recommended to me in your 14th November 2007 letter: "Should you not wish an agent or any other person to obtain duplicates please state so in the special instructions section e.g. nobody to have duplicates except signatories" – this is exactly what I wrote on the authorisation form (the 2 named individuals are ⌘).

On 30 January 2014, I went to your shop at 233/235 Kensington High St, where I spoke to Mr [X]. (Among others, I showed him my passport as proof of identity).

I first asked him when your company gave a key of my apartment to my landlord. Looking at your computer system, he replied that "No key has been made".

He confirmed the parties I authorised to have a copy made, by printing the authorisation form.

I said that, as these are security keys, the only other possible explanation is that one of your people had come to my apartment to open the door for my landlord – and then waited for him while he was inside my apartment, in order to then double-lock the door on his leaving. Or else: gave him a key, so that he could double-lock the door on leaving.

Mr [⌘] told me that your coming to open the door of my apartment could only happen at the request of the police, and that only your Office i.e. Head Office, would have this information - hence, suggested I contact you.

In the light of this information - within the next week - please provide me with the police's documentation – stating:

- the full name of the police officer/s who made this request to you, with his/her/their identification number;
- the name and address of the police station / office where he/she/they are located;
- the reason/s given for requesting access to my apartment.

For your convenience, I enclosed a self-addressed stamped envelop – for which the address is: [redacted]

Thank you in anticipation of your assistance.

Yours faithfully,

Noëlle Rawé

Enc. Self-addressed, stamped envelop

S
S



Track and Trace

Banham

Enter your 13 character tracking number
Track your Parcelforce Worldwide item

AE072197877GB

How to find your tracking number

Delivered

Your item with reference AE072197877GB was delivered from our LAMBERTH SOUTH Delivery Office on 05/02/14

Thank you for using our Special Delivery Guaranteed™ service.

You can now see the electronic Proof of Delivery for this item by clicking the button below:

Post Office Ltd.
Your Receipt

Banham

19/20 High Holborn
London
Greater London
WC1U 6BS

Date and Time: 04/02/2014 11:16
Session ID: 3-392835
Dest: UK (EU)
Quantity: 1
Weight: 0.023 kg

Special D by 1 £0.00 £6.22

SENDING important or valuable mail

- Send mail in the UK
- Send mail overseas

TRACKING important or valuable mail

- It says "Delivered" but it hasn't arrived
- My item arrived but the contents were missing
- My item arrived damaged
- How can I get my item there quicker next time?
- Who signed for my item?
- What is electronic Proof of Delivery (ePOD)?

ADDITIONAL SERVICES for sending mail

- Price a delivery
- Buy pre-paid packs
- Get mail collected from your business
- Set up a business account
- Order labels for Business
- Print labels for Business
- Find a postcode

ADDITIONAL SERVICES for tracking mail

- Learn more about electronic Proof of Delivery

Total Cost of Services £6.22
Posted after Last Collection? No

Barcode: AE072197877GB

DESTINATION ADDRESS
Building Name or Number Postcode
10 SW84SH
Address Validated? N

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU RETAIN THIS RECEIPT AS IT IS YOUR PROOF OF POSTING

PLEASE REFER TO SEPARATE TERMS AND CONDITIONS

SD Guaranteed is an express next day service for the UK offering a money back guarantee for delay and compensation for loss and damage to your item. Check delivery at www.royalmail.com or call 08459 272100 quoting your ref no.

This is not a VAT Receipt
Thank You

Post Office Ltd.
Your Receipt

FAD: 050010

Grays Inn
19/20 High Holborn
London
Greater London
WC1U 6BS

VAT REG No. GB 172 6705 02
Date of Issue: 04/02/2014 11:16
SESSION: 3-392835

	Item Price ex VAT	inc VAT	Total (£)
(E)Special D by 1			
1 @	6.22	6.22	6.22
(S)=Standard Rate (Z)=Zero Rate (E)=Exempt			
TOTAL DUE TO POST OFFICE			6.22
Cash	FROM CUSTOMER		6.52
Cash	TO CUSTOMER		0.30
BALANCE			0.00

Please retain for future reference

Thank You

1 EVENTS

1.1 In my Diary 21 Oct 13, I reported that:

(1) In the morning, I had tricked one of [Andrew David Ladsky](#)'s 'dogs' who was stalking me from one bus to the next, and took photographs of him on one of the buses.



21 Oct 13 – 08h21



21 Oct 13 – 08h21

(2) On that day, on my return to my apartment, at c.22h00, I saw a wet patch on the carpet in the bathroom, and water dripping from one of the spotlights:



Photo of bathroom ceiling taken the following morning i.e. on 22 Oct 13



Ditto

The size of the patch on the carpet was that of a large dish.

Because I went past the point of caring A LONG TIME AGO [it made it the 5th MALICIOUS LEAK in my apartment: [Persecution # 1\(4\)\(16\)](#)] – and knowing that my apartment is bugged [[Persecution # 3\(5\)](#)] – I laughed.

I put a bucket under the spotlight. NO water came through, including by the following morning.




Photo taken 6 weeks later on 1 Dec 13

KEY COMMENTS – in light of subsequent events:

1. In my Diary, I stated that, **very clearly, this was payback for 'my daring' to trick the 'dog'**...
... - because, 'of course', nobody is allowed to challenge the criminal activity of a Jew controlled by "**Jewish**" **Ladsky** (in name rather than in practice) **and his mafia** - who know, from very extensive experience, that they **have the full back-up of the British State - et.al. in their criminal activities** - including on that day (and for the umpteen time), that of **HM British Transport Police** [[Persecution # 2\(4\)](#)] **acting as its private sentinels**.
2. Further: **I can imagine the scenario after I did this: Ladsky contacting his police henchmen to report what I had done** [citing previous examples] - **and the consensus that such 'an affront' should not go unpunished** (the **dominant public sector psyche** ([media pg](#)) **that makes such a perfect match with that of Ladsky and his mafia**) - added to their throwing the ball back at each other.
3. **I also reported** in My Diary that, I should have taken the photographs in the evening as, **by the following morning, the patch on the carpet had dried** – at least on the surface, as it **was no longer visible**.
4. And that: **The following evening, when I came in, and saw that there wasn't another leak, I said in French, that "[it showed] a definite lack of enthusiasm"**.

1.2 On 17 Jan 14, the mafia decided to address my above comments by coming into my apartment to throw a lot of water on my bathroom carpet

On 17 Jan 14, at c. 22h30, after unlocking the doubly-locked door to my apartment, as soon as I came in, I could smell that the carpet was soaked.



My bathroom floor on 17 Jan 14, at 22h43.



My bathroom floor on 18 Jan 14, at 10h38

The wet area is IMMEDIATELY below the spotlight from which the water had been dripping on 21 Oct 13 (above). **HOWEVER – this time:**

- **the water had NOT come from the ceiling;**
- **it had NOT come from a leak ANYWHERE else in the bathroom** – as also evidenced by the fact that it eventually dried up – without my taking any action – as there was none to take.

CONCLUSION: ANDREW LADSKY / HIS MAFIA CAME INTO MY APARTMENT TO THROW THE WATER – AND THIS TIME, TO 'ADDRESS MY COMPLAINT' THAT IT WAS ONLY A SMALL PATCH THAT DRIED-UP QUICKLY – ENSURED THAT THERE WAS PLENTY OF IT.

2 **The ONLY WAY Andrew David Ladsky / his mafia was able to come into my apartment to cause the damage was by being given access - BY my lock-supplier, Banham**

I have **2 'security locks' on the door to my apartment + permanently locked grills on ALL the windows** – ALL supplied by Banham. At 1 Mar 14 [BANHAM'S WEBSITE](#) stated:

LOCKS:

*"Banham Locks are patented, **high security locks** with a **unique key registration system**. Our wide range meets insurance industry standards and will protect your property against forced entry."*

KEY REGISTRATION:

*"Banham's key registration system ensures your **Banham patented keys cannot be copied without your consent**."*

*"Whilst other companies may purport to offer such a system **our exclusive and reliable procedures means:***

- *You are secure in the knowledge that your keys cannot be copied without your consent.*
- *Keys will only be copied for people registered or authorised on our key registration system and in possession of a Banham Gold Key Card."*

"The total number of keys issued is held on record for additional security"

"If you are not an authorised signatory, then Banham will require a signed letter of authorisation from the named signatory"

AWARDS:

"Banham's unrivalled reputation, impeccable service record and exceptional customer relationships has led to many coveted achievements"

Among others, it cites: *"Receiving the highest possible accolade from the **Metropolitan Police**"* in relation to its *"intruder alarm systems"*

2.1 **My 30 Jan 14 visit to the Banham showroom**

In the light of the above 17 Jan 14 event, **on 30 Jan 14, I went to the Banham showroom** on High St Kensington – which, of relevance, happens to be very close by **'my' local police station of Kensington**.

I reported that my landlord had been given access to my apartment:

- I asked: *"When did you give him a key?"* Reply: **"No key has been made"**. The person then printed my authorisation (that states 2 highly trusted individuals; not living in this country).
- To my saying that, **"as these are 'security keys', the only other possible explanation is that one of your people came to my apartment to open the door for my landlord – and then waited for him while he was inside my apartment, in order to then double-lock the door on his leaving. Or else: gave him a key, so that he could double-lock the door on leaving."**

The person told me that **"it could only happen at the request of the police, and that only Banham Head Office would have this information"**

2.2 Correspondence with Banham

I sent [Banham Head Office](#) a **03.02.14** 'Special Delivery' letter, headed: "**Why did you give my landlord access to my apartment?**" - reporting the above – and stating:

"...**please provide me with the police's documentation** – stating:

- *the full name of the police officer/s who made this request to you, with his/her/their identification number;*
- *the name and address of the police station / office where he/she/they are located;*
- *the reason/s given for requesting access to my apartment."*

As, **2 weeks later**, I had **NOT received a reply**, I sent an **18.02.14** chaser letter, again by 'Special Delivery', and this time, instead of my previous self-addressed stamped envelop, enclosed a self-addressed 'Special Delivery' envelop. It led to the following **20.02.14** 'reply':

"**Banhams have not cut any keys for the above listed property or for anyone claiming to be linked to the above listed property**" (1)

"...we follow extremely strict and stringent key cutting procedures and therefore keys can only be cut when instructed by those who are authorised and registered on our systems."

"...**none of our locksmiths or engineers have been to your property and have certainly not given access to anyone into your property.**" (1)

"...we would advise that you **discuss the above issues with those with whom you have given a copy of your key and discuss the possibility of control and storage.**" (2)

"Should this suggestion fail to provide you with answers then **we would suggest that you may wish to have the locks changed as soon as possible** [3] and if necessary **contact the police.**" (4)

(1) - As [Andrew Ladsky](#) / his mafia **DID COME INTO MY APARTMENT** 'to address my complaint' – **he / it could ONLY have done this WITH BANHAM'S HELP** – entailing 3 options:

1. a [Banham](#) employee came to open the door for Ladsky 'as a favour' (as per the scenario, above, I explained when I went to the showroom on 30 Jan 14).
2. Banham gave Ladsky a key to my apartment;
3. Banham provided access to my apartment "**at the request of the police**".

EVENTS SUGGEST OPTIONS # 2 AND # 3:

EVENT # 1 – To his **10.01.14** FRAUDULENT demand ([Notices # 5.2](#)), [Jon Wolbrom, Martyn Gerrard](#), attached a "**Census form**" "for [me] to complete" – stating:

"... **to ensure that in the event of an emergency, rather than having to force entry into your property**...we would have ways in contacting an alternative key holder or indeed yourself."

For the reasons discussed under [Gerrard # 19](#), in asking me to complete this form, **the psychos are in fact having a laugh**. It was the 2nd time they were sending it. The 1st one was with the **02.12.13** correspondence. **As the psychos were missing their sadistic kick**, because I did not comment about it on my website, they sent it for the 3rd time with the **27.01.14** correspondence.

ONE WEEK AFTER sending the above [10.01.14](#) letter, as reported above, **on 17 Jan 14, LADSKY / HIS MAFIA CAME INTO MY APARTMENT to cause damage...**

...- leading me to the conclusion that **BY THE TIME THE [10.01.14](#) LETTER WAS WRITTEN, LADSKY ALREADY HAD THE KEY TO MY APARTMENT.**

EVENT # 2 – **3 WEEKS AFTER** the above [10.01.14](#) letter, a letter ‘from an alleged Jane’, dated “[10 October 2013](#)” (my Comments are attached) was **delivered to my apartment on 3 Feb 14**, hence, suggesting ‘4 months later’.

The contents suggest that it **was NOT written in Oct 13, but in Jan 14**. While ‘very conveniently’ the post mark does *not* show on [the envelop](#), I submit that **it was posted within 24 / 48 hours of my visiting the Banham showroom on 30 Jan**. ([Ladsky](#) and [his Kensington et.al. police henchmen](#) are tracking me, hounding me, etc, - on a daily basis: [Persecution # 2](#)).

In particular, in [the letter](#), the statement:

“...***I had my door smashed in...***”

I read the objective of this statement as the PSYCHOS PILING ON THE FEAR AND CRIMINAL PSYCHOLOGICAL HARASSMENT TACTICS, while adding to their sadistic kicks i.e.: [dare to fight back against your \(criminal\) landlord \(and his criminal supporters\)](#) – and your door will be smashed in. HOWEVER: ‘as you know from our 17 Jan 14 entry, we don’t even need to smash the door, as we can just come in as we please’. = **WE HAVE THE KEY TO YOUR APARTMENT.**

(In [the '10 Oct 13' letter](#), there is also: “***I tried to go on your website...but access was denied.***” In Oct 13, there was NO access problem to my website. Something happened in Feb 14 (after I placed a [Summary of my Case](#) – pointing the finger to the obvious). (Is the aim to also prevent me from adding on my site, among others, the evidence contained in this document?)

In the light of my experience with [Kensington police since 2002](#) (and with [others in the police](#), including following [my Apr 11 Claim](#)) – **I SUBMIT THAT ANDREW DAVID LADSKY OBTAINED A KEY OF THE DOOR TO MY APARTMENT FROM BANHAM - WITH THE HELP OF HIS THOROUGHLY CORRUPT KENSINGTON POLICE HENCHMEN.**

HOW? (1) - **Officially**, ‘perhaps’ by giving [Banham](#) a fabricated story ([a speciality of Kensington police](#); also [Ladsky](#) and his mafia agents [Martyn Gerrard](#) are clearly preparing to, once again, drag me through one of their evidence-and-rule-of-law blind courts ([Kangaroo court](#) ; [Overview # 19](#)): [my 10.02.14 reply to their fraudulent demands](#))...

...- that led to the ‘poor, [sacrosanct landlord](#)’, ‘Dear Mr Ladsky’ being given his own key to my apartment for permanent retention - in anticipation of his judiciary henchmen granting him forfeiture of my apartment for “*non-payment*” of [his endless fraudulent demands](#)?

(2) - **Unofficially**, by a rogue element within Banham – under the influence of [the close by Kensington police mafia](#) - who gave Ladsky a copy of my key – adding to [a very long list of individuals only too happy to assist criminals](#). (See (the ever-growing) list of other examples under [Queen’s Bench # 6\(1\)](#)).

(Note that, with the objective of backing-up their portrayal of me as “*suffering from mental issues*”, the PSYCHOS: [Ladsky](#) and [his Kensington and Notting Hill police henchmen](#) captured in the so-called [2007 “crime report”](#) that “[**I**] ***sleep with a knife by me in the bed***”. **YOU CAN SEE WHY**) (Added to the [15 Jun 09](#) death threat: “*Enjoy your life. You don’t have long to live*”).

(2) - “*those [I] have given a copy to*” – I have ABSOLUTE trust in.

In any case, as is GLARINGLY OBVIOUS from events: **what took place on 17 Jan 14 was A FOLLOW-UP BY ANDREW DAVID LADSKY / HIS MAFIA to the malicious leak it caused in my bathroom on 21 Oct 13.**

THE OBVIOUS CONCLUSION: BANHAM IS FALSELY CLAIMING THAT IT DID NOT GIVE THE MEANS OF ACCESS TO MY APARTMENT. (The windows and grills were intact).

Not the first, and for sure, not the last one happy to provide assistance to **criminal Ladsky**.

(List of other examples under [Queen’s Bench # 6\(1\)](#))

(3) - “*have the locks changed as soon as possible*” – Of course! **Why don’t I give hundreds of £s more to Banham** to put other “*high security locks with a unique key registration system*” - until the next time it gives the key / opens the door to [Ladsky](#), and so on and so forth. That sure is one way of increasing sales!

(4) - “*if necessary contact the police*” – They must have been rolling on the floor with laughter when they wrote that. Probably [a Kensington police](#) input. **Is this why it took more than 2 weeks to respond** (entailing [a chaser letter](#))? **Waiting for Ladsky’s henchmen** to provide ‘the appropriate response’?

2.3 My interpretation, now, of the Banham logo:

“ANOTHER BURGLAR FOILED”

= “ANOTHER CRIMINAL LANDLORD GIVEN ACCESS TO ONE OF HIS LEASEHOLDERS’ APARTMENTS TO CAUSE DESTRUCTION, FEAR AND [CRIMINAL PSYCHOLOGICAL HARASSMENT](#)”.