



Metropolitan Police Authority

10 Dean Farrar Street  
London  
SW1H 0NY

Telephone: 020 7202 0188  
Fax: 020 7202 0200

Email: natasha.porter@mpa.gov.uk  
Ref: RAW001/020809/NP

Ms Noelle Rawe  
3 Jefferson House  
11 Basil Street  
Londobn  
SW3 1AX

For the UNDENIABLE PROTECTION OF ANDREW DAVID LADSKY BY THE POLICE, including MET COMMISSIONERS, the IPCC, HOME SECRETARIES, AND JUDICIARIES - see POLICE ; QUEEN'S BENCH ; OVERVIEW ; MY POLICE WITNESS STATEMENTS: 19.07.11 and 29.08.11 ; MY 17.10.11 APPEAL REQUEST ; my Comments to the FULL OF LIES 09.08.11 Order ; my 22.08.11 letter making payment of £8,478...to the police! (Overview # 18)

See also Media page

11 July 2002

Dear Ms Rawe,

and chaser email of 31.05.02

See my reply of 04.08.02

Thank you for your letter of 5<sup>th</sup> May 2002. I have consulted the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) on this matter and have the following information.

Your initial complaint was recorded on 18<sup>th</sup> February 2002 (CRIS reference 5604102/02) under the Malicious Communications Act. As stated in your letter the telephone numbers from which the silent calls were made were identified through British Telecom on 20<sup>th</sup> February 2002.

See my Witness Statements of 19.07.11 and 29.08.11 and 17.10.11 Appeal Request

On 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2002 DC Adams discussed this information with you and suggested various options, which you declined at that time, and the telephone numbers were then submitted to the Telephone Investigation Unit for a subscriber check on 25<sup>th</sup> February 2002. Unfortunately, due to the volume of casework there was a long delay in securing this information, which clearly caused you some concern. This being the case, the investigating officer took it upon himself to ascertain the identity of the caller by telephoning the identified number (20<sup>th</sup> March 2002). It was at this time that your neighbour, Mrs ██████ was identified as the caller. When asked how you wished to proceed at this time you said that you needed more information and time to consider your position.

See my 02.04.02 letter to DI Webster relating events

Having considered your options, you informed DC Adams that you wished to pursue this matter further. This resulted in Mrs ██████ receiving a formal warning for having made the nuisance calls.

These are the circumstances as I understand them, but your letter raises two particular concerns, which I should like to address in turn.

Firstly, you seem convinced that Mrs ██████ acted under the direction of Mr Ladsky. While this may or may not be the case, the police cannot act on the basis of your suspicions, however strongly held, and must only act on the basis of established facts. This being the case they have traced all but two of the nuisance calls to Mrs ██████ who has not admitted to the police or yourself that she was put under duress by Mr Ladsky to make those calls. There was, therefore, no option other than to hold Mrs ██████ fully responsible for this crime and since she is of previously good character and showed obvious remorse, she was given a formal warning.

My conclusions of conniving and cover-up were UNDENIABLY confirmed - see my 29.08.11 MPS Wit.Stat.

!!!

LIE!

Although the subscriber for the landline was never identified, you must appreciate that officers have to act with consideration for resource and time expenditure when investigating a case and as such, it seems reasonable to assume that following Mrs [redacted]'s confession nothing further would be gained by ascertaining that information. While I can understand your frustration at this turn of events, it does seem unlikely that ascertaining this information would assist your ultimate goal, which appears to be to prove that you are being harassed by a party or parties that wish to force the sale of your home for their own financial gain.

I WAS PROVEN RIGHT! - see OVERVIEW ; SALE OF APARTMENTS

Secondly, you say in your letter that you are no longer happy to deal with Kensington police and that you would like me to arrange an independent review of the investigation. However, the Authority does not have the power to investigate or intervene in such matters, which fall within the remit of the Commissioner. Cases of this nature can be extremely difficult to prove, but given the assurances you have received from DCI Webster that any evidenced criminal allegations will be investigated as appropriate, I would suggest that you continue in your efforts to document any incidents that take place, ensuring your personal safety by only holding meetings in the presence of another witness and that you report any criminal activity to your local station.

Really???

!!

See my introductory note on the previous page

Yours sincerely,

Toby Harris  
Metropolitan Police Authority, Chair