

I WAS RIGHT THAT KENSINGTON POLICE WAS PROTECTING ANDREW DAVID LADSKY – AND MANY OTHERS IN THE BRITISH STATE PROVED TO ALSO BE DOING IT. For the UNDENIABLE EVIDENCE OF THIS BY THE POLICE, including MET COMMISSIONERS, HOME SECRETARIES, AND JUDICIARIES –

Paul Webster, Detective Inspector
Metropolitan Police Service
[Kensington Police Station](#)
72-74 Earl's Court Road
London W8 6EQ

2 April 2002

see [POLICE](#) ; [QUEEN'S BENCH](#) ; [OVERVIEW](#) ; my [POLICE Witness Statements: 19.07.11](#) and [29.08.11](#) ; my [17.10.11](#) Appeal Request, against the [FULL OF LIES 09.08.11 Order](#) (my Comments attached)...
...my [22.08.11](#) letter making a £8,478 payment...to the police! (YES! [Overview # 18](#)) – following my filing a [19.04.11 Claim](#) against the Met Commissioner, Home Secretary and IPCC

[Ms Noëlle Rawé](#)
3 Jefferson House
11 Basil Street
London SW3 1AX
Tel (work)

See also [Media page](#)

Dear Mr Webster

Doc library # 4.3

Clarification required - [BS 5604102 / 02C](#)

Thank you for your correspondence of [19 March 2002](#).

The following are developments since my letter to the Police Complaints Authority on Wednesday [13 March](#) which I would like to bring to your attention.

Date	DC Adams	Me	Question/ observation
Wednesday 20 March	Called to say that: 1. the mobile phone from which the anonymous phone calls were made belongs to Mrs ☒ 2. ☒, Flat 33 Jefferson House		Given that DC Adams had previously told me that it could take weeks (and, on one occasion, four months) to get a reply from a mobile phone operator, I was impressed that within exactly one week of posting the letter to the Police Complaints Authority he had found the name of the subscriber
	3. Her phone was stolen in November . She is very sorry. Members of her family also got calls. She will come and apologise to you in person		On my return in the evening I found a message from Mrs ☒☒. She apologized profusely for what had happened
	4. Will you prosecute?	I will get back to you on this	I found it odd that: 1. somebody who had stolen a mobile phone would wait four months to start dialing the numbers stored in the memory 2. would do so 13 times on Sunday 15 February; let two days go by, and then make another 6 (? some calls were also made from a landline) calls (on Tuesday 17 February) This person clearly knew who he/she was calling
		What about the calls that were made from a landline?	
	These came from your own phone	Are you telling me I am making anonymous phone calls to myself?	
	Do you use the BT voicemail?	Yes	

Date	DC Adams	Me	Question/ observation
	Well, something must have gone wrong with it. It's been calling your number by mistake		On a previous call to BT - after DC Adams had said to me "it's very odd, there is no subscriber for the landline number" - BT told me that the landline number was from another telephone supplier. Hence, BT could not provide the name of the subscriber. At the time, I had reported this information to DC Adams
Monday 25 March – c. 10h45	You said you would phone back. Are you going to prosecute?	I do not have enough information to make this decision	
	My colleague has given you all the information	No, I want to know who, what, when, where, how? I cannot take a decision without having the facts. For all I know, Mrs X is innocent	
	We take the decision whether or not to prosecute	Why ask me if I want to prosecute if the decision is not mine to take in the first place?	
	If we find out that she has done it, will you be prepared to come and make a statement at the station?	Absolutely!	
	Are you prepared to come to court?	Absolutely!	
Monday 25 March – c. 14h10	We have interviewed Mrs X. She admits to having made the anonymous phone calls. She said that her phone mysteriously reappeared at her door. I told her we were not buying that story		I noted that in the space of approximately three hours Mrs X: (1) had been contacted (2) made to come to the police station (3) had been interviewed Of course, my suspicions proved to be correct – see my 29.08.11 Supplementary police Witness Statement
	She said she does not know why she has done it. I really probed her, asked her whether you had upset her in any way. She said know. She is very sorry she has done this		Having met Mrs X twice in the last few months, I find this very bizarre
	She said that Andrew Ladsky has been harassing her	So, there are five people now who have complained to the police about Andrew Ladsky : Mrs X, myself, Mr X in flat X, Mr X in flat X, and, I believe, Mrs X who used to live in flat X See police # 4	Some time in January Mrs X left a message on my answer phone stating she was withdrawing her consent to become a member of our residents association as she was looking to sell her flat. She said she could no longer live in it due to the noise coming from Andrew Ladsky 's flat throughout the night, and that it had been going on for weeks. She said she had complained to the local authority who had sent a letter /letters to Andrew Ladsky asking him to stop

Date	DC Adams	Me	Question/ observation	
	We are talking about anonymous phone calls here	Why are you so reticent when I mention Andrew Ladsky 's name?	On the same day, i.e. Monday 25 February, I sent a fax to DC Adams summarizing what he had told me, and requesting that he sends me confirmation (see attached)	Shld be 'March'
Tuesday 26 March			I phoned BT asking them to confirm what I had been told by DC Adams, namely that something had gone wrong with their voicemail service leading to calls being made to my phone. They totally refuted this, reiterating that the calls had been made from a landline provided by a telephone service provider other than BT. They suggested I give BT's number to the police so that they could explain this. Following this conversation, I sent a fax to DC Adams (see attached)	
Wednesday 27 March			I phoned DC Adams to determine whether he had received the two faxes I'd sent him.	
	I have only received the first one	I repeated what BT had told me		
	So, we are going to have to throw resources at this for just two phone calls! Mrs ☒☒ has admitted to making the calls			
	You won't be able to prove a link with Andrew Ladsky!	How do you know that? Are you talking to him?	Given that DC Adams has not obtained the name of the subscriber for the landline number, how can he be so certain that there is no link with Andrew Ladsky ?	
	As you have complained, my superior will be in touch with you next week. Goodbye!		When I sent the letter to the Police Complaints Authority on 13 March , I copied both, DC Adams and DC Crockett. Why bring this at this particular point?	
Thursday 28 March	We've contacted Reach Europe. There isn't a subscriber for the number. BT is wrong. Something has gone wrong with their system. We'll contact BT again but, if they got the wrong number, we won't contact you again		BT have traced some calls – TO MY phone - from a number supplied by Reach Europe. Therefore, there must a subscriber for this number.	

Mr Webster, I find these developments rather puzzling and would very much appreciate your assistance in getting clarification – including, determining the name of the subscriber for the Reach Europe number, as well as getting official confirmation of the main findings from the interview with Mrs ☒☒.

Best regards

Noëlle Rawé

NOTE: Clearly, I would *not* have captured these events – to the police - if they were not true. I subsequently found that a “*caution*” filed on **10 Oct 03** in favour of ‘[Steel Services](#)’ was entered on the [Land Registry for flat 33](#). See also the [Particulars of Claim](#) attached to the [29.11.02](#) claim filed in [West London County Court \(Overview # 3\)](#) which indicate that **the claim against apartment 33 was the 2nd highest, at £62,000.**

In his [23.04.02](#) reply, [Paul Webster](#)'s claimed: “**No crime report has been reported to this police borough regarding Mr [Ladsky](#), in your letter you mention that other occupiers had complained this may be correct, but there are no reported crimes about Mr [Ladsky](#)” This is **NOT TRUE.** See [police # 4](#) ; [Elderly Resident](#) ; [Head Residents Asso](#) ; [Other Residents](#)**

Royal Mail[®]

recorded

Standard postal service with signature and barcode scanning on delivery. Ideal for items you might need to prove were received, like job applications or legal documents

Use **Special Delivery** for guaranteed next morning delivery with the security of barcode tracking throughout and compensation for loss or damage

details of where your item is going

Name	Paul Webbyen, 1/2 Chestnut Inspector - Netas Police
Building name or number and street	Remington Police Station 72-74 Earl's Cwt Rd
Postcode	W8 6E 10

your address on the back of package

label to front top left of package

RB 6117 4361 5GB

Reference

OFFICIAL COPY OF REGISTER ENTRIES

This official copy shows the entries subsisting on the register on **26 April 2004** at 14:12:36. This date must be quoted as the 'search from date' in any official search application based on this copy.

Under s.67 of the Land Registration Act 2002, this copy is admissible in evidence to the same extent as the original.

Issued on 26 April 2004.

This title is dealt with by **Birkenhead (Rosebrae) District Land Registry**.

Land Registry

Title Number : **NGL489455**

Edition Date : 10 October 2003

A: Property Register

This register describes the land and estate comprised in the title. Except as mentioned below, the title includes any legal easements granted by the registered lease but is subject to any rights that it reserves, so far as those easements and rights exist and benefit or affect the registered land.

GREATER LONDON

LONDON BOROUGH

KENSINGTON AND CHELSEA

1. (14 May 1984) The **Leasehold** land shown edged with red on the plan of the above Title filed at the Registry and being **33 Jefferson House, 11 Basil Street, London (SW3 1AX)**.

NOTE:-Only the Third Floor flat is included in the title.

2. Short particulars of the lease(s) (or under-lease(s)) under which the land is held:
DATE : 6 April 1984
TERM : From 29.9.1979 to 1.9.2052
RENT : £300 rising to £1200 subject to review and additional rent
PARTIES : 1.Banwick Investments Limited
2.Pipers Enterprises Limited
3. There are excepted from the effect of registration all estates, rights, interests, powers and remedies arising upon, or by reason of, any dealing made in breach of the prohibition or restriction against dealings therewith inter vivos contained in the Lease.
4. (10 October 2003) The lessor's title is registered.



0324034003

B: Proprietorship Register

This register specifies the class of title and identifies the owner. It contains any entries that affect the right of disposal.

Title Absolute

1. (26 June 1991) **PROPRIETOR(S)**: _____ Flat 33, Jefferson House, 11 Basil Street, London SW3 1AX.
2. The covenants implied under section 24 (1)(a) of the Land Registration Act 1925 in the Transfer to the proprietor are negatived.
3. The Transfer to the proprietor contains a covenant to observe and perform the covenants referred to in the Charges Register and of indemnity in respect thereof.
4. (10 October 2003) **CAUTION in favour of STEEL SERVICES LIMITED** (Incorporated in the British Virgin Islands) care of CKFT Solicitors, 25/26 Hampstead High Street, London NW3 1QA. Ref: AS/17/STEEL. (11)

C: Charges Register

This register contains any charges and other matters that affect the land.

1. A Conveyance of the Freehold estate in the land tinted blue on the filed plan and other land dated 16 June 1903 made between (1) The Belgravia Estate Limited (2) Alfred Thomas Hailey and John Joseph Deadman and (3) The Honourable Ralph Pelham Nevill (Purchaser) contains the following covenants:-

"AND the Purchaser with intent to bind all persons in whom the said piece of land shall for the time being be vested but so as not to be personally liable under this covenant after he has parted with the same hereby covenants with the Company their successors and assigns that he the Purchaser his heirs and assigns will henceforth observe and perform the stipulations contained in the first part of the schedule hereto affecting the said land

THE SCHEDULE above referred to

1. Not at any time to erect or permit to be erected on the said land any building or buildings exceeding 65 feet in height
 2. Not to use or permit to be used on the said premises or any part thereof any machinery or engine of a noisy or noisome character And not to do or suffer to be done upon the said premises or any part thereof anything which shall or may be or become a nuisance to the occupiers of any of the adjoining property or the neighbourhood
 3. Not to use or permit any part of the said premises to be used for any business or trade of any description but for the purposes of a private residence or residential flats only".
2. A Conveyance of the Freehold estate in the land tinted pink on the filed plan and other land dated 14 May 1905 made between (1) Belgravia Estate Limited (2) Alfred Thomas Hailey, John Joseph Deadman and (3) Alfred Thomas Hailey contains stipulations of which the following is a copy:-

"1. NOT at any time to erect or permit to be erected on the said land any building or buildings exceeding 65 feet in height