

[Claimant's 14<sup>th</sup> June 2011 Reply to First Defendant's Defence](#) -  
[EXHIBIT 6](#)

CLAIMANT'S TRANSCRIPT OF THE CONVERSATION BETWEEN  
THE CLAIMANT AND PC BELKY GILES (125BS), AT [CHELSEA  
POLICE STATION](#) ON [16<sup>th</sup> OCTOBER 2010](#), FROM c.19H40  
(Original recording on enclosed CD-ROM – [EXHIBIT 7](#))

**Introduction**

Paragraph 40 of the First Defendants' Defence, dated [23<sup>rd</sup> May 2011](#) (posted to the Claimant on 1<sup>st</sup> June 2011) states: *"As regards paragraph 117, the comments relied upon by the Claimant were in the context of PC Giles explaining to the Claimant that her allegations as explained on 20 July 2010 did not appear to constitute evidence of racial harassment. PC Giles did not accuse the Claimant of "following the man". For this reason, PC Giles declined at the time to open a crime report. She noted the Claimant's complaints, but did not state that this would be filed as an "intelligence report".*

**Pre-recording**

The Claimant asked PC Belky Giles why she had not contacted the Claimant as she said she would, on 4<sup>th</sup> October 2010, at the end of the Claimant's first visit to Chelsea police.

**Start of recording**

PC Giles: *"I'll explain why in a minute. I've got that reference number if you want that. The reason I have not put on a crime report is because there were two incidences that you mentioned to me that you thought you were being followed"*

Claimant: *"Hu, hum"*

PC Giles: *"On both occasions you took pictures of this male"* (see Exhibits 3 and 4)

Claimant: *"Yes"*

PC Giles: *"Listen, and this was in South Kensington, around there"*

Claimant: *"Yeah"*

PC Giles: *"It might that he lives round South Kensington. I know that you feel that you are being harassed"*

Claimant: *"He was circling around me"*

PC Giles: *"But **what you must appreciate** is **you followed him as well**"*

Claimant: *"Of course I followed him"*

PC Giles: *"Both times"* (NB: Incorrect; the Claimant only followed the man on 27<sup>th</sup> July 2010 – as detailed in [Exhibits 9 / 10](#))

Claimant: *"Of course I followed him, because if I come and see you without that evidence you would just tell me to leave"*

PC Giles: *"I've got the evidence, but like I advised you last time"*

Claimant: *"Why don't you talk to that person?"*

PC Giles: *"At that stage he's given you"*

Claimant: *"You have the car number, the number plate of the car"*

PC Giles: *"I know"*

Claimant: *"You have a photograph of the person"*

PC Giles: *"I know, this all on the report that I have done"*

Claimant: *"No, you talk to the person. This is a crime report"*

PC Giles: *"I am not going to stand here and argue with you. I have done what I told you I was going to do"*

Claimant: *"Under which rule of law do you consider yourself entitled to discriminate against me when I report evidence?"*

PC Giles: *"I am not discriminating against you"*

Claimant: *"You are discriminating against me"*

PC Giles: *"How?"*

Claimant: *"If somebody else comes here and actually report that you have a duty to investigate"*

PC Giles: *"I have"*

Claimant: *"You have a statutory duty to investigate"*

PC Giles: *"Yes I have"*

Claimant: *"I also filed another crime report as well (See [Exhibit 11](#)), on the Friday, another person who was also following me. Why is it that when I come and report that, with evidence, with number plate, you refuse to file a crime report. But when [Andrew Ladsky](#), probably just makes a phone call, right"*

PC Giles: *"This is [your landlord](#)?"*

Claimant: "Yes. Andrew Ladsky makes a phone call, does not provide any evidence whatsoever – and immediately it goes as a crime report"

PC Giles: "I don't know about that. I did not deal with that"

Claimant: "In 2002"

PG Giles: "I don't know anything about that"

Claimant: "Yeah, but what I am saying"

PC Giles: "I don't know about that"

Claimant: "is that you are discriminating against me relative to him who does not provide any evidence; who actually files a false"

PC Giles: "Listen you've come here to talk"

Claimant: "a false crime report"

PC Giles: "I did not deal with that"

Claimant: "I am explaining to you the discrimination"

PC Giles: "That's up to the officer at the time"

Claimant: "I am explaining to you the discrimination – and that is the discrimination that when Ladsky"

PC Giles: "If that's what you believe, that's fine"

Claimant: "When Ladsky comes, or probably just makes a phone call, then everything is okay. He actually claims that I have harassed him? Yes of course she's harassed you! And a crime report is filed against me!"

PC Giles: "Hu, hum"

Claimant: "Yeah? Without, on just his say so"

PC Giles: "I don't know the details about that before I am afraid"

Claimant: "I come here. This is discrimination. Under which rule of law do you consider yourself entitled to discriminate against me?"

PC Giles: "When you came here to report these two incidences, you claimed that there were being racist"

Claimant: "Yes"

PC Giles: "That your landlord is being racist. He has given you no reason to think that he is being racist"

Claimant: "But you have to talk to him: who has put him up to it? And the person who has done that"

PC Giles: "You can't prove that though, can you?"

Claimant: "Yeah, because you are not talking to him. So, of course, because that's very inconvenient evidence: Oh dear! I followed him! My God, I come back with photographs and a number plate. That's very annoying evidence for the police and for Ladsky. Isn't it? Because it's much better to pass me off as mad"

PC Giles: "I understand fully what you are saying. If I thought someone was following me I would do exactly the same thing and go to a police station. I fully agree with you. But on the information you have given me"

Claimant: "But that's very inconvenient, because now I have the evidence"

PC Giles: "Listen. On the information that you've given me it was not sufficient enough for a crime report to be put on. That's why an intelligence report was put on"

Claimant: "It is harassment"

PC Giles: "If that's what you believe"

Claimant: "But you are not talking to him. Why aren't you talking to him?"

PC Giles: "To whom?"

Claimant: "To that person. You have the number plate, you can very easily find who actually is the owner of that car and you can talk to him and say that a complaint has been filed. I mean, you have no problem actually filing a complaint against me, a crime report against me"

PC Giles: "I don't know anything about that"

Claimant: "I don't care about that. It's not my problem; you are the face of the police for me. In 2007, a crime report was filed against me – you never contacted me at any point in time"

PC Giles: "Me personally?"

Claimant: "I am saying"

PC Giles: "Right, I have nothing to do with that; this is a separate matter"

Claimant: "I am saying to you, as the face of the police. I am just talking about my experience with this police station"

PC Giles: "I'll tell you what I'll do. I'll write down the reference number of the report I have done. Give me a minute; I'll get a piece of paper"

Claimant: "I want to talk to your superior"

(PC Giles leaves the public area to go into a room)

PC Giles: "Right"

Claimant: "I want to talk to your superior"

PC Giles: *“That’s fine. This is the reference number of the report that I have made”*  
(see [Exhibit 8](#), 1<sup>st</sup> Note – stating *“CRIMINT REPORT BSRT00327225”*)

PC Giles: *“This is me”* (see [Exhibit 8](#), 2<sup>nd</sup> Note – stating *“PC GILES (125BS)”*). *“This is  
my line manager. Sergeant Allen”* (see [Exhibit 8](#), 1<sup>st</sup> Note – stating *“Sergeant Allen  
(PS17BS)”*) *“He is not in tonight”*.

Claimant: *“No, of course!”*

PC Giles: *“If you wanted to talk to someone tonight, you need to speak to Inspector  
Griffiths”* (see [Exhibit 8](#), 1<sup>st</sup> Note – stating *“Inspector Griffiths”*)

Claimant: *“Okay”*

PC Giles: *“If you want to speak to him he is based at Kensington police which is on  
Earls Court Road”*

Claimant: *“Okay”*

PC Giles: *“Alright?”*

Claimant: *“Until what time is he going to be there?”*

PC Giles: *“He is there all night, 7 until 7 tomorrow morning”*

Claimant: *“Okay”*

- END -