

ABSOLUTELY OUTRAGEOUS

The police's poodle

ipcc

independent
police complaints
commission

90 High Holborn
London WC1V 6BH

Tel: 020 7166 3000
Fax: 020 7404 0430
Minicom: 020 7404 0431
enquiries@ipcc.gsi.gov.uk
Web: www.ipcc.gov.uk

Our reference number: 2010/002173

I took delivery on 7th Mar 10. This letter was NOT in my PO Box on 28th Feb.
= Yet again colluding with the local Kensington police mafia (Persecution # 3.2), my PO Box supplier had withheld the letter so that I would 'conveniently' miss the "seven-day deadline"

Private and Confidential
Ms Noëlle Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawé

And the predictable outcome from the lapdog: 02.03.10

- Events discussed under Kensington & Chelsea police # 5
- Snapshots: Doc library from # 4.7 to # 4.12

22nd February 2010

No, I referred to my original complaint of 13.08.09 and 20.09.09

Dear Ms Rawé

2 December

I am writing about the complaint you made on 7th December about officers of the Metropolitan Police Service.

By law, the police have to investigate or locally resolve every complaint they record. However if, by the time the complaint is made, more than 12 months have passed since the incident giving rise to it, the police force may ask us to agree that they dispense with the complaint. If the complaint is dispensed with then they do not need to carry out any further investigation. = What we are planning to do

A

The incidents you are complaining of appear to have occurred in 2002, 2003 and 2007 and therefore the Metropolitan Police Service has applied to us for a dispensation. If you would like this investigation to continue, you must write to me within seven days of this letter, providing good reasons for the delay in making your complaint. We will then consider the application taking your reasons into account.

B

We are taking steps to ensure we don't see above

If we do not hear from you, we may grant the request and your complaint will not be investigated further. If you do provide a sound explanation for the delay I should make you aware that am also considering the dispensation on the grounds of 'abuse of process', (that the complaints procedure does not exist in order for crime reports to be amended - there being another, more appropriate, remedy in the form of the Information Commissioner), and 'not reasonably practicable to investigate', (the length of time that has passed since the incidents occurred brings the practicality and value of any investigation into question).

C

B

Yeah! Right! Given that it was dictated by the MPS

We have sent a copy of this letter to the Metropolitan Police Service.

Yours sincerely

(A)-The lapdog was being dictated to by (among others) DI Crispin DPS who, in his 04.02.10 letter (dated 21 Jan) stated the same thing (my 18.02.10 reply cc'd IPCC).

Matthew Johnson
Casework Manager

It refers to Reg.3(2)(a),(f) and (4)(b)(ii) of the Police (Complaints and Misconduct) Regulations 2004 - that is totally irrelevant, as it cannot interfere with my rights under the Data Protection Act 1998

Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC)

Phone:
E-mail:

(B) = Ensuring as well as that ALL the corrupt Kensington & Chelsea police 'officers' get away scot-free

(C)- Heil Hitler! This is absolutely outrageous. It was a continuation of Her Majesty's police not only totally ignoring my rights since my first contact in 2002, but also of piling on the criminal psychological harassment (Persecution # 1).


Return Address
PO Box 49781
London
WC1V 6WX

06
0A
10
0F
27
48
78



Tuesday

1st class



- I went to my PO Box
on Sunday 28 Feb
- The letter WAS NOT
there.
= This letter WAS
WITHHELD!