

Ms Lisa McLean  
Piper Smith & Basham  
31 Warwick Square  
London SW1V 2AF  
Fax: 020 7630 6976

Noëlle K-Dit-Rawé  
3 Jefferson House  
11 Basil Street  
London SW3 1AX

*(By fax)*

Ref: LM.R360/1

23 November 2003

Dear Lisa

Further to your correspondence of 21 November, yes, I was under the impression that the reply had been sent. However, what am I to believe when I subsequently get a note from you stating that it has not been sent?

In relation to the conversation you said to have had with Mr Gallagher post 28 October regarding the interest, I note that this led to a change of position relative to what was agreed with him at the 28 October meeting.

Evidently, a similar 'off-line' conversation has taken place post the 28 October meeting in relation to Mr Brock's highly significant key conclusion - namely that Steel Services has not addressed any of the lack/insufficient specifications identified by the LVT in their June report (items amounting to £144,745.87) - as the reply totally omits any reference to this. Yet again, I am asking the question: why was this left out?

Can you please clarify the following points made by CKFT in their 19 November 2003:

1. What does the "28 day period" refer to?
2. "In the event that the Court has not approved the draft order by the date of payment...". Payment of what?

At the 28 October meeting with Mr Gallagher and Mr Brock, and in my reply to the offer of 7 November, I stressed that I would not accept any confidentiality restrictions,

please, confirm to me **in writing** that the consent order has no confidentiality restrictions attached to it in any way, shape or form - at any point in time.

Yours sincerely

Noëlle K-Dit-Rawé

MEMORY TRANSMISSION REPORT

PAGE : 001  
TIME : 24-11-03 11:33  
TEL NUMBER: 020 ~~76306976~~  
NAME : ~~CKFT~~ FX868

FILE NUMBER : 546  
DATE : 24-11 11:32  
TO : 902076306976  
DOCUMENT PAGES : 001  
START TIME : 24-11 11:32  
END TIME : 24-11 11:33  
SENT PAGES : 001  
STATUS : OK

FILE NUMBER : 546 \*\*\* SUCCESSFUL TX NOTICE \*\*\*

Ms Lisa McLean  
Piper Smith & Basham  
31 Warwick Square  
London SW1V 2AF  
Fax: 020 7630 6976

Noelle K-Dit-Rawé  
3 Jefferson House  
11 Basil Street  
London SW3 1AX

(By fax)

Ref: L.M.R360/1

20 November 2003

Dear Lisa

Further to your correspondence of 21 November, yes, I was under the impression that the reply had been sent. However, what am I to believe when I subsequently get a note from you stating that it has not been sent?

In relation to the conversation you said to have had with Mr Gallagher post 28 October regarding the interest, I note that this led to a change of position relative to what was agreed with him at the 28 October meeting.

Evidently, a similar 'off-line' conversation has taken place post the 28 October meeting in relation to Mr Brock's highly significant key conclusion - namely that Steel Services has not addressed any of the lack/insufficient specifications identified by the LVT in their June report (items amounting to £144,745.87) - as the reply totally omits any reference to this. Yet again, I am asking the question: why was this left out?


Can you please clarify the following points made by CKFT in their 19 November 2003:

- 1. What does the "28 day period" refer to?
- 2. "In the event that the Court has not approved the draft order by the date of payment...". Payment of what?

At the 28 October meeting with Mr Gallagher and Mr Brock, and in my reply to the offer of 7 November, I stressed that I would not accept any confidentiality restrictions.

please, confirm to me in writing that the consent order has no confidentiality restrictions attached to it in any way, shape or form - at any point in time.

Yours sincerely

  
Noelle K-Dit-Rawé