

Rawé, Noëlle

From: Rawé, Noëlle
Sent: 25 September 2003 11:16
To: 'Lisa Mclean'
Subject: RE: Steel Services Limited

PLEASE NOTE THAT THIS IS A PERSONAL EMAIL SENT IN MY PERSONAL CAPACITY AS A LESSEE AT 3 JEFFERSON HOUSE, 11 BASIL STREET, LONDON SW3 1AX - AND NOT IN MY CAPACITY AS AN EMPLOYEE OF ~~XXXXX~~.

Dear Lisa

Firstly, thanking for sending things by email. This ensures that it comes to my attention

A very brief note bearing in my mind that I am at work - and therefore doing this on my employer's time which, as I know, I feel extremely worried about as I see it as totally unfair that my employer should suffer as a result of my personal problem. Aside from this, I do have a lot of work to get on with.

- (1) I note that Mr Staddon should be getting back to you by lunchtime
- (2) I note his fees and your fees. Just let me know how much more of an advance you require. I will go to my building society tomorrow morning to get a cheque I will then place on my bank's current account
- (3) I note that you will contact me when you receive the letter from MRJ + written advice from Mr Staddon

Re your attendance memo

- (1) I note that CKFT are saying that we are not confirming that there is an agreement - and that if that was the case they were instructed to commence proceedings in County Court for non-performance.

As I have explained to you Lisa - while I am not a lawyer - my view as to why there is not an agreement is because MRJ came back with a counter-offer "permanently" to which we have not agreed. (My thoughts - obviously without legal knowledge + lack of understanding and experience of LVT - is that, in future, I could potentially join other residents in support of a 20C order application on the basis that without my support they would be in a very weak position: (1) they did not challenge the landlord's action in the LVT; (2) as it stands, it is impossible to figure out what the LVT determination actually is i.e. in financial terms - and hence its impact on the global sum demanded)

- (2) SHOULD, and this is a big 'should' it be considered that this amount to an agreement, I would certainly want to explore my rights - as under consumer law - for a cooling period (an issue for a law firm specialising in contracts).

- (3) I will most definitely use in my defence the horrendous trauma and horrific experience (including harassment, intimidation and assault) that the landlord has made me go through in the last 18 months that has resulted in my current state of mind of being close to a nervous breakdown, finding it very difficult to think and concentrate. My breaking into tears at work, while on the phone with you, etc. Being unable to sleep without the aid of sleeping pills. Getting psychiatric help to help keep me afloat.

The landlord can definitely count on my bringing witnesses in my defence: my doctor, the psychiatrist, my friends, [and possibly people from work - although I prefer keeping my employer out of this. As I told you on Monday, I have had to transfer from another group because I was in no state to continue doing client work].

You've seen my file, I have quite a lot of evidence as well against Mr Ladsky in relation to other residents which would back-up my claim of the enormous suffering I have suffered - by demonstrating the kind of person he is. [In relation to these various letters/ proof relating to other residents - I suppose that they would end-up being used in the context of a criminal case. Consequently, they should not be mentioned to CKFT - at least at this stage???

There is also the attitude of CKFT that has been a major contributory factor to my state of mind over recent months: e.g. the threat of forfeiting my lease (7 Oct 2002); their pursuit of a claim against me in County Court while the LVT was in the process of dealing with the action - brought by the landlord, etc. + MRJ's actions + Brian Gale's lies about me. etc.

- (4) I note the 48-72 hours deadline set

- (5) Yes, I want you to continue acting for me in relation to the LVT - including Mr Staddon. (Without him, I would not stand a chance at the 5th Feb LVT hearing)

Yours sincerely
Noëlle Rawé

How naïve of me to not see the signs! I took it for granted that Ms Mclean would act in my best interest. What a mistake!!