

Dr M[ ] P[ ]  
[ ]  
[ ]  
London [ ]

[Ms Noëlle Rawé](#)

[ ]

(By 'Recorded delivery')

11 May 2008

This is **the psychiatrist** I had found through a contact, with the objective of mitigating my loss in relation to my **03.04.08** Claim against **KPMG** (in the [Stratford Employment Tribunal](#)).

I sent this letter after my first 'consultation' with him.

**He had very clearly conspired with KPMG** – thereby following in the footsteps of 'my' doctor who had refused to give me a referral – [section 13 KPMG page](#) .

Dear Dr P[ ]

Please note that I wish to cancel my second appointment, set for 27 May 2008.

Following further consideration since the consultation on 7 May 2008, I have come to the conclusion that I do not need a medical assessment for the purpose of my claim against KPMG in the [employment tribunal](#).

Should my claim reach the hearing stage, as these hearings are public, my main consideration will be the ability to add KPMG on [my website](#) as another party to my case of what can happen to an honest, decent, law-abiding leaseholder who 'dares' to challenge [a landlord's fraudulent service charge demands](#) - and concurrently, the supporting infrastructure comprising of [lawyers, courts, accountants, surveyors](#), etc. (My 'crime' is that I 'dare' to demand the rights I have been told I have the right to demand).

For your information, in reply to your suggestion, (within c. half-an-hour of the consultation), that I book myself "into a clinic for two weeks", my non-expert but, carefully considered opinion, is that the parties listed on the attached copy of my 6 May 2008 entry on my online diary <sup>1</sup> ([www.leasehold-outrage.com](#)) are those in dire need of psychiatric treatment.

To these must of course be added the driver behind all of their actions: Mr [Andrew Ladsky](#), 'my landlord' / person fronting the ownership of my block who, in my equally non-expert opinion, I view as a psychopath. It is beggars belief that such a man (and his associates?) can so freely command such outrageous acts against me (and my fellow leaseholders) - in the 21<sup>st</sup> century, in a country that calls itself 'civilised' and has signed-up to the European Convention on Human Rights.

As to your comment, (in the early part of the consultation), that if I cannot work for a company because of my experience with [KPMG in 2007](#), I could "do charity work": I view exposing the unbelievable details of my case on my website as my 'charity work' - as I know for a fact that my website is of help to other leaseholders. Considering what it takes to do what I am doing, it must surely count as one of the most demanding charity work around.

Having failed to gain justice and redress, I very dearly hope that my exposing the actions by the parties involved in my case will spare other leaseholders from going through the horrendous suffering I have been made, and continue to endure since 2002. As I said to you: "that's my way of 'giving back' to this society". Unfortunately, this 'good cause' which, 'thanks' to Mr Ladsky and his aides, added to my bad luck in opting to buy a leasehold flat in the block - chose me - happens to be one that adversely concerns some very powerful people in this country.

= [The Establishment](#) (boof ref.);  
['the Brotherhood'](#) (Persecution # 6)

Yours sincerely

Noëlle Rawé

---

<sup>1</sup> Copy of my 6 May 2008 entry in my online diary

Royal Mail  
recorded

Signed for

Our rare postal service with signature and barcode scanning on delivery. Ideal for tens of you, it just need to arrive here received. We job applications or other documents.

Use **Special Delivery** for guaranteed next working delivery with the security of barcode tracking throughout and compensation for loss or damage.

Reference to

DV 0287 6172 7GB

Signature of the recipient of the package