

Please NOTE that I have yet to obtain permission from The Guardian Unlimited to reproduce these extracts from its article. (Given events - as reported in My Diary and under the Police section - I prefer to not promote my intention to relaunch the site)

As I am doing this for non-commercial purposes, I hope that The Guardian will not think me presumptuous to assume that I can settle my position with it post the re-launching of my site

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/story/0,,1963246,00.html>

(NOTE: The article has been reduced to c. 35% of the original) (1)

The Guardian – Monday 4 December 2006

## Afraid of the daylight

### Given their record, no wonder ministers want to sabotage the Freedom of Information Act

David Leigh - david.leigh@guardian.co.uk

...

Although the act only came into force last year, the prime minister and "first flatmate" Lord Falconer...are already plotting to sabotage this infant example of what a genuinely open democracy could be like.....

...ministers have set up a **fantastical bureaucratic structure with the aim of obstructing inquirers at every turn.**

At the **Home Office** for example...lists of requests have to be submitted twice weekly to the home secretary, John Reid, in case they are politically embarrassing. Questions from journalists have to be referred to the Department of Constitutional Affairs, which has set up a clearing house to make sure one department does not release something another might prefer to conceal.

The **Home Office** orders say: "All cases which fall within the DCA referral triggers or which are in any other way sensitive must be the subject of an individual submission to the home secretary ... the submission should be addressed to the home secretary and copied to the Information Policy Team, their IAP [information access practitioner], other relevant ministers, officials and press officers."

...

**Falconer's second proposal** is even stranger.... Organisations...and the BBC - will be allowed to ask only four questions a year. **In other words, any body such as a newspaper that tries to make use of the act will be banned from doing so.**

....

\*\*\*\*\*

### (1) The following is copied from The Guardian Unlimited website at

<http://users.guardian.co.uk/help/article/0,,933909,00.html>

#### 3. Use of material appearing on Guardian Unlimited

For the purposes of this agreement, "material" means material including, without limitation, text, video, graphics and sound material, published on the Guardian Unlimited network, whether copyright of Guardian Newspapers Limited or a third party.

You may download and print extracts from the material and make copies of these for your own personal and non-commercial use only. You are not allowed to download or print the material, or extracts from it, in a systematic or regular manner or otherwise so as to create a database in electronic or paper form comprising all or part of the material appearing on Guardian Unlimited.

You must not reproduce any part of Guardian Unlimited or the material or transmit it to or store it in any other website or disseminate any part of the material in any other form, unless we have indicated that you may do so.

Our content distribution system which you can find at [www.guardian.co.uk/headlineservice](http://www.guardian.co.uk/headlineservice) gives details of the manner in which we allow you to reproduce parts of our material on your site.

We may be prepared to allow you to distribute or reproduce other parts of Guardian Unlimited or the material in certain circumstances. Please email our Permissions Administrator Penny Jones at [penny.jones@guardian.co.uk](mailto:penny.jones@guardian.co.uk) if you wish to apply for permission to do so.