

[The Rt Hon Theresa May MP](#)
Secretary of State for the Home Department
Home Office
2 Marsham Street
London SW1P 4DF

Noëlle Klosterkötter-Dit-Rawé
www.leasehold-outrage.com

(NB: If the links don't open, try with:



(By Special Delivery) (NB: Predictably, the **ONLY** 'response' to my (twice-sent) letter has been a **CONTINUATION OF THE PERSECUTION by the British State** (concurrently with the **Andrew David Ladsky mafia** – incl. **Martyn Gerrard**...
...thereby **PROVING** – **for the nth time** – **that the British State is controlled by organized crime**...while being funded by taxpayers).

25 November 2014

(Distribution list at the end of this document)

(This [22 Jan 15 Guardian article](#) showed **Theresa May** holding a placard "**Je suis Juif**" (should be 'Juive'). No wonder she ensures the police and related agencies' unlimited protection of, and assistance to [the 'Jewish' multi-criminal Andrew David Ladsky gang](#)).

Home Secretary,

In 2011, [you ensured the dismissal](#) of my [Queen's Bench Division Claim](#) against your Office for "Damages, including under the Human Rights Act 1998, by reason of unlawful interference by the State with my private life" – by claiming that "[I] should have approached the Investigatory Powers Tribunal". I did not do that, as I was not prepared to waste yet more of my time and money to end up with a cover-up verdict of "neither confirm nor deny".

In any case, in the course of [my Claim](#) against you, as well as against the police and Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC), I supplied you with / copied you on a mountain of black-on-white evidence, including comprehensively supported witness statements proving beyond *any doubt* that [I am a glaringly obvious innocent victim of organised crime](#).

In spite of this, my experience since then with the police and related agencies lead me to conclude that you (and Foreign Secretaries) have continued to endorse warrants upon warrants under the [Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2002 \(RIPA\)](#), [Intelligence Services Act 1994](#) and, evidently, concurrent (I presume: unwritten) instructions, to have me hounded, and more specifically: persecuted – thereby adding to going well beyond what is permitted by law, and amounting to committing deliberate criminal psychological harassment against me.

In the light of this, when I saw your speech to the September 2014 Conservative Conference on the Guardian's website (¹), I was so incensed by your below comments that I had to respond by quoting examples from my case.

(The events reported in this document are covered on my website, www.leasehold-outrage.com, with many referred to on the [Persecution page](#), and a few covered on [YouTube](#)).

1. Extracts from your speech

"...imagine...being stopped by the police, having done nothing wrong...even though the police need "reasonable grounds for suspicion" that you have broken the law."

"Imagine... the indignity of this happening to you... [many] times. Imagine what it's like to feel, deep down, that this is only happening because you are young, male and black."

"...it's simply not right that young men like Alexander, who have worked hard, respected the law, and done all the things expected of them...by society, should be treated this way"

¹ <http://press.conservatives.com/post/98799073410/theresa-may-speech-to-conservative-party-conference>

“...we are allowing organised criminals to operate undisturbed. Crimes are going unpunished”

“Since 2010 I have prevented more foreign hate preachers coming to Britain... than any Home Secretary before me...I want to target extremists who...spread poisonous hatred.”

“...many dream of building a life here because we have a free society. We celebrate different ways of life, we value diversity, and we cherish our freedom to lead our lives as we choose.

“You have to respect British values and institutions. The rule of law. Democracy. Equality. Free speech....These are the values that make our country what it is. These are our values.”

“We know the overwhelming majority of British people want to be free. Free from danger. Free from fear. Free from prejudice. Free from discrimination.... Free to get on with their lives.”

“We must not become a society where these things are no longer possible.” “We must face down extremism in all its forms.”

2. Background and summary



→ “Imagine” in reading this document that you are a woman who, having fallen in love with the United Kingdom, decided to make it your home, wanting to be “Free from danger. Free from fear. Free from prejudice. Free from discrimination. Free to get on with your life” - and have always been, through “your very hard work” and moral values, “[a net contributor] to this society” (paying over £500,000 in tax) – never calling on the State for anything.

→ “Imagine” that after 33 years of never having any dealings with [the police](#) or [the courts](#) (because “[law-abiding]”) you are suddenly forced to deal with these institutions, because [your Rachman landlord and his racketeering aides](#) decide that you (and fellow leaseholders) “should pay” for [the addition of a penthouse and other apartments to your block](#), so that he can realise [a multi-million £ jackpot](#) – and do this [through fraudulent claims, etc.](#)

→ “Imagine these institutions [you had always] respected” joining forces with your landlord and his aides to help them achieve their objectives – and that all then engage in an ongoing barbaric vendetta against you for ‘daring’ to stand-up to them and their criminal activities – resulting in your being treated even worse than a terrorist - in this “democracy” that claims to be governed by “the rule of law and equality” and to respect the right to “free speech”.

3. More specifically:

3.1. “Imagine” [since at least 2005, being hounded and persecuted by the State, acting in tandem with your landlord’s resources](#)

- “Imagine”, on a daily basis, since at least 2005, from the time you leave your home, your place of work, police and related agencies tracking your every move through, among other: the myriad of CCTV cameras in the streets and on public transport; resources on foot, in marked and unmarked police cars, etc. that circle around you like vultures.
- “Imagine” that at times they leave you in no doubt about their activity by harassing you, including: uniformed police officers, as you walk past them, deriding you, laughing at you, aiming to provoke you; plain clothes police on the underground chasing you from platform to platform, acting like a pack of demented blood-thirsty hyenas going for the kill; chasing you in public toilets; following you in church; tracking you in shops, and then harassing you.
- “Imagine” [police helicopters](#) joining the pack when you have ‘dared’ deviate from your ‘expected’ journey; ‘dared’ to challenge those who are hounding you, harassing you, etc. – by tracking you, hounding you, harassing you and persecuting you [for up to \(so far\) 30](#)

[minutes](#); flying suddenly very low immediately over you, as well as [diving straight at you](#). And “*imagine*” the police helicopters doing that more than 90 times since at least 2007. [Persec # 4](#)

- “*Imagine*” these State resources operating as a fully integrated team with those of your landlord, including exchanging information on your movements, as well as throwing the ball back at each other in order to ‘punish’ you for ‘daring’ to stand-up to them. [Persec # 2.1 to 2.3](#)
 - “*Imagine*” the British State and your landlord resources ensuring you are also hounded when you are overseas, and, likewise, ensuring you are made aware of it, in case you had the ‘foolish hope’ of escaping the persecution by being out of this Kingdom. [Persec # 7](#)
 - “*Imagine*” that this tracking and hounding can only take place as a result of photographs and / or film footage of you being circulated to a very wide audience for now many years.
- “*Imagine what it feels like*” to know that you cannot go anywhere without the State watching your every move – including having informants to report on you in places you use regularly – and because of your experience, cannot even trust a medical practitioner.

(Should have said: The objective of the ongoing tracking is to also seize on potential opportunities to act against you)

3.2. “*Imagine*” since at least 2005, the State interfering with ALL your means of communication

“*Imagine*” the State interfering with your post e.g.

[Persecution # 3.2](#)

- withholding an express letter informing you of a death in your family – leading you to miss the funeral;
 - stealing your financial post – leading you to resort to have it sent to your family overseas - and “*imagine*” needing to make overseas trip to collect your post e.g. a new cheque book; a new cash card; financial statements; [trips](#)
 - intercepting your financial post and returning it to the sender by falsely claiming that you ‘no longer live at / use this address’;
 - leaving you unknowingly uninsured due to stealing policy renewal documents;
 - intercepting and retaining for long periods of time important post from various authorities;
 - continuing to do the same thing after you set-up a new PO Box at the other side of town, in the vain hope that a different sorting office would put an end to the interception. (You had set-up a PO Box because your post was intercepted by [your landlord](#)).
- And “*imagine*” the hundreds of hours spent over the last 10 years making all these trips to your PO Box in order to ‘perhaps’ get your post.

“*Imagine*” the State interfering with your phones e.g.

[Persecution # 3.1](#)

- intercepting messages – leading you to miss a family funeral;
- with the objective of worrying you / scaring you – sending you e.g.: bogus messages ‘from’ your friends; a recording on ‘how to get help re. bankruptcy’;
- going after you in phone boxes (other means?) to determine who you phoned – and then approaching the contact (a medical practitioner) to get the individual to act against you;

“*Imagine*” the State interfering with your e-mail address e.g.

[Persecution # 3.3](#)

- intercepting and keeping an e-mail about a death in your family;
- intercepting and keeping other important e-mails with the objective of worrying you, causing you problems (and seeing one of the plain clothes local police coming to the internet café where you are, in anticipation of getting a sadistic kick from knowing what has been done);

- sending you bogus e-mails ‘from’ friends;
- as you are typing an e-mail (in an internet café), inserting a visual and some text from your website (not connected with your e-mail address) – with the very clear objective of demonstrating that the State has open door access to your (French-US) e-mail address;
- giving your e-mails / the content of your e-mails and the e-mail address of at least some of your correspondents to [your landlord](#) - to, among others, help him set-up traps for you.

“Imagine” the State hacking into your computer e.g. [Persecution # 3.4](#)

- with the objective of deleting your website and causing concurrent problems – and doing this at a time when your landlord, his aides and supporters in the State were clearly planning to unlawfully dispossess you of your apartment. [Martyn Gerrard # 17](#)
- ➔ “Imagine what it feels like” to know that you cannot rely on ANY of your means of communication; you cannot say or write anything without it being listened to / read by the State’s snoops.
- ➔ “Imagine” the above happening to you, and “what it feels like” when the Home Secretary claims: “For years the police and security services have had access to communication data...but not its content.”

3.3. “Imagine” the State setting-up plants with [your landlord](#), with malicious intent

[Persec # 2.8](#)

- “Imagine” the State and your landlord doing this with the objective of trapping you e.g.
 - a plain clothes police officer telling you: *“Hitler should have killed them [Jews] all, don’t you think?”*(hoping to get evidence to back-up the false accusations against you);
 - attempting to ‘assess your state of mind’ (hoping to be able to get you sectioned);
 - to fish information from you about your plans, etc.;
 - attempting to worry you, scare you, to make you give up your fight for justice and redress, waste money, etc.

3.4. “Imagine” the State helping [your landlord](#) to bug your apartment [Persec # 3.5](#)

Doing this by, among other, placing equipment in the ceilings of your apartment.

- ➔ “Imagine what it feels like, deep down, to be treated [as per the above]” when you can categorically state (as you did in e.g. [your Witness Statement to the Home Secretary](#)) that: *“In the 40+ years that [you] have lived in this country, [you] have never been engaged in any activity and/or conduct that justifies this extreme, ongoing surveillance, as well as monitoring and interception of [your] means of communication.”*...

...- and you then hear a previous Foreign Secretary ⁽²⁾ say: *“If you are a law-abiding citizen of this country going about your business and your personal life you have nothing to fear – nothing to fear about the British state or intelligence agencies listening to the contents of your phone calls or anything like that.”* [Persecution # 4.1](#)

- ➔ “Imagine”, (as you also wrote in [your Witness Statement](#) to [the Home Secretary](#)) that: *“In effect, it has stopped [you] from having a normal life – a Fundamental Human Right, as [you] have done nothing wrong. In the process, in order to survive, it has led [you] to ‘shut down’ parts of [you] that are about being a human being – another Fundamental Human Right.”*

² The Rt Hon William Hague MP, to Parliament, following the revelations by the NSA whistleblower, Edward Snowden

3.5. “Imagine” [the police](#) refusing to deal with your complaints of harassment

- “Imagine” the police refusing to deal with your complaints of ongoing harassment against [your landlord](#), as well as against one of his parties (and with which [you supplied damning photographic evidence](#)) – in the process resorting to [fabrications, conniving, collusion, denials](#) in order to avoid taking action against them – as well as threatening you with “[further consequences](#)” if you ‘dare’ persist with your complaint. Overview # 17 (recording)
- “Imagine” [the police](#) lying (under a statement of truth) as to what it told you at the time of your complaint ([you were able to prove](#), as you had secretly recorded the conversation).
- “Imagine” this attitude by the police giving [your landlord – et.al.](#) – carte blanche to continue with the harassment and persecution, including requesting [your “security lock” provider to supply him with a key to your leasehold apartment](#), so that he can, among other, add to the dilapidation he has already caused – and leaving you knowing that there is *absolutely no point* your calling [the police](#) – even if your life is in danger e.g.:
 - death threat: “[Enjoy your life. You don’t have long to live](#)”, followed by an implied death threat ‘delivered’ [in front of your windows, by means of the text on a T-shirt](#);
 - (so far) 7 malicious escapes / pouring of water, and 2 [major floodings](#) in your apartment;
 - landline cut off in your apartment, preceded by 20 anonymous phone calls ([the police deliberately failed to pursue](#));
 - men laughing while banging on your windows in the middle of the night;
 - over the years, repeated hosing of your windows in the middle of the night; My Diary # 2
 - electricity, heating and hot water repeatedly cut off – only in your apartment;
 - other forms of repeated harassment, intimidation and provocation in your block, including [your landlord](#) telling you: “*I am going to get you this year*”.

Perse # (1)(4)16

3.6. “Imagine” [the police](#) processing [false “crime reports”](#) against you

- “Imagine”, following malicious, totally unsupported – and totally unchallenged - “complaints” against you to the police by your landlord, the police processing so-called “crime reports” against you that are a web of false, highly vicious, malicious and libellous accusations against you – portraying you as: Overview # 13 and # 16
 - “a criminal”;
 - “a Nazi, because of [your] Franco-German origin”;
 - somebody who “suffers from mental issues” (and “contacting social services”);
 - somebody who “defaults on contractual obligations”, while
 - portraying [your landlord, who has committed numerous offences that are classified under legislation as ‘criminal’](#), and of whom you are the victim – as [the “vulnerable victim who feels intimidated \[by you\]”](#) – by, among other, using the deliberate ploy of describing him as “*your neighbour*”.
- “Imagine” the police processing these “crime reports” against you as being “substantiated” and [claiming to have “No suspicion of false reporting”](#) - in spite of *not* contacting you at any point in time to get your side of the story...

...– thereby, among other, breaching your rights under the [Data Protection Act 1998 \(DPA\)](#) to ensure that data processed about you is: “*accurate, lawful and fair*” - while also ignoring [your statutory notice](#) to this effect under the Act...

...and, claiming, in the face of your legitimate demands, that implementing them: “*would set a new precedent for crime reporting and recording across the UK*”⁽³⁾

prosecution ■ “Imagine” the Met Commissioner⁽⁴⁾ ‘justifying’ (under a statement of truth), the processing of the “*crime reports*” as being “*for the prevention and detection of crime*” and “*for the apprehension or persecution of offenders*” – and doing this in the context of your providing the police with a mountain of black-on-white evidence of criminality against your landlord and his aides, concurrently specifying breaches of various legislation.

■ “Imagine”, in the course of your 2.5 years of soul-destroying battles, writing to numerous parties asking for help, while providing ample supporting evidence - including:

hands ○ Home Secretaries⁽⁵⁾ – who throw the ball back in your court, thereby washing their hand of their responsibility to ensure police’s compliance with, among other, the legislation, regulations and codes of conduct they have issued;

○ the Met Commissioner - who demonstrates the same blind eye attitude, thereby endorsing the unlawful actions of the below levels, their conniving, colluding, fabrications and cover-ups, including by the police’s Directorate of Professional Standards;

○ the Independent Police Complaints Commission (staffed by police officers on secondment) - that illegally grants dispensation to the police from compliance with legislation, while also turning a blind eye to other breaches of legislation and regulations;

○ your MP⁽⁶⁾ (who, among other, happens to be the Chair of the Intelligence and Security Service Committee) – playing along with the police;

○ the Prime Minister⁽⁷⁾ - who does not even bother to respond to your letter;...

...– and being repeatedly fobbed-off, stonewalled, lied to, kept in limbo through prolonged silence; in effect, told to: ‘Get lost!’ (If are ‘lucky enough’ to get an answer).

■ “Imagine” having to resort to filing a claim aimed at ending the processing of the data as, at the pre-action stage, the police continued to fail to address your rights – with the implicit agreement of the Home Secretary who was also a Respondent on the claim.

■ “Imagine” then facing a judiciary that (like all the above parties) suffers from extreme blindness to the evidence and amnesia about the rule of law, as well as adds to the malicious and vicious accusations against you by, among other, fabricating ‘evidence’...

...– resulting in your claim being unlawfully struck-out (at the pre-trial stage) claiming that “[your] action amounts to no more than a most obvious attempt to re-write history and is completely devoid of merit” – thereby implying that you are a liar; somebody who fabricates a vast amount of false evidence – and who, consequently, has no qualms committing contempt of court. And, capturing this in Orders that are then available in the public domain.

■ “Imagine” the police’s QC telling you *before* a hearing: “*You are going to lose*”.

³ Yes: That’s what the police’s ‘22.01.10’ Application for Dispensation to the IPCC states. In the light of numerous media reports on the police, including e.g. “*doctoring witness statements to remove criticisms*”; “*fixing the crime statistics*” - processing the truth would certainly be a new departure for the British police.

⁴ Sir Paul Stephenson

⁵ The Rt Hon Alan Johnson MP; The Rt Hon Theresa May MP

⁶ The Rt Hon Sir Malcolm Rifkind MP

⁷ The Rt Hon Gordon Brown MP

- “*Imagine*” the outcome: the police given the green light to continue processing [the highly damaging, defamatory data against you](#).

3.7. “*Imagine*” the police defaming your name and character among a wide audience, including to external parties

- “*Imagine*” that these so-called “*crime reports*” are being processed on the police databases – accessible by many in the public sector – and that, no doubt, the police ensures a wide circulation – thereby perpetuating ad-infinitum the defamation of your name and character.
- “*Imagine*” the police also aiming to do the same thing with your website Host by making equally false, totally unsupported accusations, racist and xenophobic claims against you, of your being “[a Nazi and an anti-Semite](#)” – because of [your “franco-german \(sic\) origin”](#).
- ➔ “*Imagine*” spending tens of 000’s of £s of your very hard-earned life-savings trying – in vain – to get the State to respect and implement your rights, rights legislators have told you you have the right to demand.
- ➔ In other words: “*imagine*” being treated by the State as a non-entity who does not have the right to have rights, there to be used, abused and tormented at will - by all.
- ➔ “*Imagine*” [your landlord and his aides](#) laughing their head off at you [since 2002](#) – in spite of [the very damning evidence of criminality against them](#) – and capitalising on the carte blanche granted to them by the State [to persecute you at will – in tandem with the State](#).
- ➔ “*Imagine*” the psychological trauma from all of the above happening to you - knowing that you have done ABSOLUTELY NOTHING WRONG.
- ➔ “*Imagine, [as a glaringly obvious victim of organised crime], the indignity of being treated in that way; what it's like to feel, deep down, that this [tyrannical, barbaric persecution] is only happening to you because*” you 'dared' to stand-up against what is defined by [the legislation of this Kingdom](#) as criminal activities by your landlord and his aides, and by those in the public sector, including the Home Secretary, (as well as some in the professions), who have and continue to aid and abet their criminal activities. [Persecution # 6](#)
- ➔ “*Imagine*” this happening to you in the context of the Home Secretary claiming that “[organised criminals cannot be left operating undisturbed: crimes going unpunished](#)” – and that, in the course of [your Claim](#) against the police and Home Secretary [you supplied them](#) with a massive amount of very damning evidence of organised crime activities against you.
- ➔ “*Imagine what it feels like, deep down*” when you see the Home Secretary claim to “[have prevented...foreign hate preachers coming to Britain...to spread poisonous hatred](#)” – while, behind closed doors, *the same* Home Secretary is (with the concurrent Foreign Secretary) “[creating, fuelling and] *spreading poisonous hatred* [against you]” by endorsing warrants upon warrants against you - with the understanding that the objective is to cause you maximum [psychological harassment](#) in order to destroy you.

Home Secretary, you, like [your predecessors](#), and [concurrent foreign secretaries](#), *most categorically cannot* claim that your repeated warrants and associated (no doubt: unwritten)

instructions are compliant with the requirements of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2002 ⁽⁸⁾ for: surveillance, use of plants, and interception of communications ⁽⁹⁾.

And, as the interception of my communications also entails (among other) reading / listening to them: *nor* are they compliant with the requirements of the Intelligence Services Act 1994 ⁽¹⁰⁾.

Considering what is contained in this document, and under RIPA s.81(3)(b) (below), your comment that “[organised criminals cannot be left operating undisturbed](#)” is equally outrageous.

ALL of you, as well as those only too happy to implement your illegal warrants and equally illegal concurrent instructions to have me subjected to this [ongoing, horrendous psychological harassment](#) – redefine the concept of evilness, cruelty, sadism and perversion – and of tyrannical abuse of power. No wonder [you defend the doctrine of “neither confirm nor deny” the State’s ‘surveillance activities’](#). ⁽¹¹⁾

Rest assured: I am not expecting a response from you – *nor* from those listed below – *unless* it is about finally ensuring that I get justice, redress and compensation for the [extremely traumatic, life-destroying treatment I am being subjected to since 2002](#). (You know my address).

Yours utterly repulsed.

Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawé

Distribution list

- The Rt Hon David Cameron MP, Prime Minister
- The Rt Hon Nick Clegg MP, Deputy Prime Minister and Leader of the Liberal Democrats
- The Rt Hon Ed Miliband MP, Leader of the Labour Party
- Natalie Bennett MP, Leader of the Green Party
- The Rt Hon Philip Hammond MP, Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs
- The Rt Hon Chris Grayling MP, Secretary of State for the Ministry of Justice
- The Rt Hon Simon Hughes MP, Minister of State for Justice and Civil Liberties
- The 10 members of Parliament’s Home Affairs Select Committee
- Boris Johnson, Head of Mayor’s Office for Policing and Crime ⁽¹²⁾
- Sir Bernard Hogan-Howe, Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police
- Others

⁸ [RIPA s.5 – Grounds for issuing warrants](#): Overall: must be: “*necessary; proportionate to what is sought to be achieved*”; **s.5(3)** – (a) “*in the interests of national security*”; (b) “*prevention or detection of serious crime*”; (c) “*safeguarding the economic well-being of the United Kingdom*”

[s.81\(3\) – Definition of serious crime](#): (a) “*offences that would lead to imprisonment for 3 yrs+*”; (b) “*conduct...resulting in substantial financial gain, or conduct by a large number of persons in pursuit of a common purpose*”

⁹ [RIPA s.28 – Directed surveillance](#); [RIPA s.29 – Covert human intelligence source](#); [RIPA s.5 – Interception of communications](#): same grounds as per s.5(3), above.

¹⁰ [Intelligence Services Act 1994 – s.3\(1\)\(a\)](#) – “*right to monitor or interfere*”; [s.5](#) – “*must be necessary*”; **s.5(3B)** defines the conduct as per RIPA s.81(3), above.

¹¹ E.g. “[Theresa May defends secrecy over intelligence services](#)”, The Guardian, 16 Oct 14

¹² Reported in the Telegraph of 27 Mar 14 to have said, at a London Assembly’s Police and Crime Committee meeting, to be: “[utterly fed up of reading attacks on police officers in London](#).”

(NB: This appendix is a subsequent addition to the letter)

(Both mailings: I separately sent a copy of the letter to each of the 10 members)

Home Affairs Committee - membership (At Nov 14)

(Sourced from: <http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/home-affairs-committee/membership/>)

“The members of the Home Affairs Committee were appointed on Monday 12 July 2010 except Ian Austin, Paul Flynn and Yasmin Qureshi, who were all appointed on Monday 4 November 2013.”

Member	Party and constituency
Keith Vaz (Chair) Rt Hon ...MP	Labour (Dudley north)
Ian Austin MP	Labour
Nicola Blackwood MP	Conservative (Oxford)
James Clappison MP	Conservative (St Albans)
Michael Ellis MP	Conservative (Northampton)
Paul Flynn MP	Labour (Newport)
Lorraine Fullbrook MP	Conservative (Preston)
Dr Julian Huppert MP	Liberal Democrat (Cambridge)
Yasmin Qureshi MP	Labour (Bolton)
Mr David Winnick	Labour (Walsall)

“The Home Affairs Committee is one of the departmental select committees, the powers of which are set out in [House of Commons Standing Orders](#), principally in SO No 152.

The Committee consists of 11 Members of Parliament [] drawn from the three largest political parties. The House of Commons appoints the Committee with the task of examining the expenditure, administration, and policy of the Home Office and its associated public bodies.*

The Committee chooses its own subjects of inquiry and seeks written and oral evidence from a wide range of relevant groups and individuals. At the end of an inquiry the Committee will often produce a report setting out its findings and making recommendations to the Government. The Government must respond to each of the report’s recommendations within two months of publication.”

(*) Mark Reckless, no longer part of the Committee, was the 11th member

Post Office Ltd.
Your Receipt

410

11 Lower Regent Street
London
Greater London
SW1V 4LR

Date and Time:	26/11/2014 09:27
Session ID:	4-57129
Dest:	UK (EU)
Quantity:	1
Weight:	0.032 kg
Special D by 1	£0.00 £6.40

Total Cost of Services	£6.40
Posted after Last Collection?	No

Barcode: AE6469927376B

DESTINATION ADDRESS	
Building Name or Number	Postcode
2	SW1P 4DF
Address Validated?	N

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU RETAIN THIS RECEIPT AS IT IS YOUR PROOF OF POSTING

PLEASE REFER TO SEPARATE TERMS AND CONDITIONS

SD Guaranteed is an express next day service for the UK offering a money back guarantee for delay and compensation for loss and damage to your item. Check delivery at www.royalmail.com or call 03457 001 200 quoting your ref no.

This is not a VAT Receipt
Thank You

OVER

POST OFFICE

PRIZE DRAW SEE OVER

POST OFFICE

PRIZE DRAW SEE O

Progress of your item

Item: AE646992737GB
via Special Delivery Guaranteed™

Status: **Delivered**

Your item with reference AE646992737GB was delivered from our VICTORIA Delivery Office on 27/11/14 .

Thank you for using our Special Delivery Guaranteed™ service.

Proof of delivery



Printed name: TERRY

Print 

Date: 27 November 2014, 06:30am

Last update: 27 November 2014, 06:30am

[Help with this item](#) 

[Can you tell me more?](#)

[Help with this item](#)

Services

[Service up to you](#)
[International](#)

More

[Arrange a delivery](#)

[Find a post office](#)

[Get a price](#)

[The Rt Hon Theresa May MP](#)

Secretary of State for the Home Department
Home Office
2 Marsham Street
London SW1P 4DF

Noëlle Klosterkötter-Dit-Rawé
www.leasehold-outrage.com

(By Special Delivery)

25 November 2014

Dec 14 - TO Theresa May, and named individuals on the distribution list: In the light of your psyche:

A 2nd copy to remind you of an excuse to have a joyful time over the festive season.

(Of course, YES, since first sending this letter, I have *continued* to be persecuted by the British State) (See [My Diary 2014](#))

(Distribution list at the end of this document)

Home Secretary,

In 2011, you ensured the dismissal of my Queen's Bench Division Claim against your Office for "*Damages, including under the Human Rights Act 1998, by reason of unlawful interference by the State with my private life*" – by claiming that "[I] *should have approached the Investigatory Powers Tribunal*". I did not do that, as I was not prepared to waste yet more of my time and money to end up with a cover-up verdict of "*neither confirm nor deny*".

In any case, in the course of my Claim against you, as well as against the police and Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC), I supplied you with / copied you on a mountain of black-on-white evidence, including comprehensively supported witness statements proving beyond *any doubt* that I am a glaringly obvious innocent victim of organised crime.

In spite of this, my experience since then with the police and related agencies lead me to conclude that you (and Foreign Secretaries) have continued to endorse warrants upon warrants under the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2002 (RIPA), Intelligence Services Act 1994 and, evidently, concurrent (I presume: unwritten) instructions, to have me hounded, and more specifically: persecuted – thereby adding to going well beyond what is permitted by law, and amounting to committing deliberate criminal psychological harassment against me.

In the light of this, when I saw your speech to the September 2014 Conservative Conference on the Guardian's website (¹), I was so incensed by your below comments that I had to respond by quoting examples from my case.

(The events reported in this document are covered on my website, www.leasehold-outrage.com, with many referred to on the Persecution page, and a few covered on YouTube).

1. Extracts from your speech

"...imagine...being stopped by the police, having done nothing wrong...even though the police need "reasonable grounds for suspicion" that you have broken the law."

"Imagine... the indignity of this happening to you... [many] times. Imagine what it's like to feel, deep down, that this is only happening because you are young, male and black."

"...it's simply not right that young men like Alexander, who have worked hard, respected the law, and done all the things expected of them...by society, should be treated this way"

¹ <http://press.conservatives.com/post/98799073410/theresa-may-speech-to-conservative-party-conference>