

If the linked documents don't open, try with:



Ms Siobhan McGrath
President, LVT
[Leasehold Valuation Tribunal](#)
10 Alfred Place
London WC1E 7LR
(By special delivery)

[Ms N K-Dit-Rawé](#)

Your Ref: LVT/SC/007/120/02

6 October 2003

Dear Ms McGrath

**Flat 1-35 Jefferson House, 11 Basil Street, London SW3
Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 – Section 19 (2a)**

**My next letter of 09.11.03,
and the 2nd 'Get lost' of
26.11.03**

I discuss this and subsequent correspondence to/from McGrath on [the LVT page # 7](#). Those from McGrath represent perfect examples of the '[Frustrate and Discourage](#)' tactics ([Header 2](#)) used so often by the British Establishment's henchmen and flunkies.

What McGrath did is *continue* to fall over backwards for the 'dear brother' ([Persecution # 6](#)) [Andrew David Ladsky](#) and [his gang of racketeers](#) – as the tribunal had done from the time it filed its [17.08.02](#) application. And [the corrupt tribunal](#) continued on its merry-way to the very end by issuing its so-called '[summary of the case](#)'.

YEP! DEFINITELY PART OF HER MAJESTY'S KANGAROO COURTS!

In your reply of [12 September 2003](#) to my letters of [6](#) and 9 September [?] you state: “.. *neither I nor [the Tribunal](#) have the power to re-open a decision... on that basis it is not possible to either change the decision or to provide a supplement to it...*” Later on you state that “...*these sections (of the LTA 19(2A) and 19(2)) confine the Tribunal's power to deciding the reasonableness of relevant costs ... to be incurred in the service charge...*”.

what

It seems that my letters may have been confusing. Let me stress that **I want from you is:**

A SUMMARY OF YOUR 17 JUNE 2003 TRIBUNAL'S DETERMINATION

i.e. what is it exactly – in monetary terms - that your Tribunal has considered – **drawing from the main body of its [17 June 2003](#) report** - to be:

- 1. Fair and reasonable costs – specifying the monetary value**
- 2. What costs has it considered to be unreasonable – specifying the monetary value**
- 3. What other key determinations/ views has it included in the main body of its report**

Providing me with this information:

- Falls precisely within the remit's of your Tribunal:** “..*the Tribunal's power to deciding the reasonableness of relevant costs*”
- And **does not amount** to “*re-opening a decision*” – **rather it is about your Tribunal completing an unfinished report**

As I have explained before, as it stands – without a summary of its determination – **your report makes it impossible to determine what costs your Tribunal considers to be fair and reasonable, and those that it considers to be unreasonable.**

Thank you for your assistance

Yours sincerely

Noëlle Rawé

Royal Mail Specialdelivery

Monday to Friday 9am to 5pm

write sent after 5pm will then be sent on the next working day

Name **Stopham & Rank**

Address **10 Stopham Place**

Postcode **W2 1E7LR**

Your Royal Mail delivery is guaranteed for the following day

tick if you would prefer to have your parcel insured

Tick (cost extra) up to £1,000 up to £2,500

write your address below

hand your parcel with your postage in the Post Office

SU 8401 1141 2GB

Reference

)

o