

Confidential
Mr Julian Walker
UK Head of Compliance
[KPMG LLP](#)
1-2 Dorset Rise
London EC4Y 8EN

[Ms Noëlle Rawé](#)

[]
[]

*(By hand on 25 October)
(Also sent by post)*

24 October 2007

Your ref: jhw/lc/408-DPA SAR

Dear Julian

Thank you for posting your correspondence of [5 October](#).

The attachments to your letter include a [26 March 2007](#) letter from Mr [Andrew Ladsky](#) to KPMG in which you have blocked out over 50% of the letter. Can you please let me know the legal requirement that led you to do this.

I have the same question in relation to the letter from Mr [Lanny Silverstone, Cawdery Kaye Fireman & Taylor](#), dated [28 November 2002](#), in which over 30% of the text has been blocked out.

In relation to the documents supplied, at the [30 March 2007](#) meeting, Jeanette Dunworth, HR, told me that Mr Ladsky was "very persistent". I assumed from this comment that several communications had been sent by Mr Ladsky to KPMG. Yet, you have only supplied the aforementioned. Can you please clarify.

Thank you in anticipation of your assistance.

Please, continue to use the above address for correspondence.

Yours sincerely

Noëlle Rawé

Julian Walker's [14.11.07](#) 'reply' to this letter.

Header 5 of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance to KPMG comprises a summary of events re. my [09.07.07](#) SAR. They are discussed under **section 7** [KPMG page](#).

The initial 'response' was typical stonewalling by [KPMG](#) in its [19.07.07](#) letter (includes my Comments).

Note that in its [undated PACK OF LIES Defence](#) (posted on 17 Jun 08), under **para.8**, [KPMG denied that it "refused to comply with its obligations"](#) (section 14 KPMG pg)

(NB: If the linked documents don't open, try with:

