

Document compiled by Noëlle Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawé (www.leasehold-outrage.com)

Below is a printscreen of the answer I supplied, in Oct 07, in **Note 7.7 to Question 7.1 on the Ethics and Compliance return** I had to complete when I was working at **KPMG** – because I was working in the financial services sector.

Q 7.1 asked: “*Have you had a court claim filed against you?*”

At the time, I had one, in 2002 (**29.11.02**, in **West London County Court**), ‘courtesy’ of **multi-criminal (EXTORTION) Andrew David Ladsky** and **his gang of racketeers** – that terminated in a **21.10.03** Part 36 Offer that was £8,000 less than the original demand. Although, legally, I did *not* owe this amount either, **I accepted the offer “for the sake of bringing this dispute to an end”** (I was dreaming!): **Overview # 3**.

(The 2nd, **equally fraudulent claim (06.06.08** Notice of Discontinuance of “**ALL the claim against me**”) they filed against me: **27.02.07**, again in **West London County Court**, was in process: **Overview # 11**).

Every year I repeated the same thing in the form, and every year, as I did this, it nearly brought tears to my eyes - because - ‘I’ - the glaringly obvious victim of that thoroughly evil multi-criminal vermin (*) – **was the one subjected to having to make this very distressing admission...**

...while that vermin was laughing its head off at me as it continued - and has since continued - to operate above the law of the land – without suffering sanctions of any kind...

...in spite of my providing repeatedly to the relevant authorities absolutely undeniable evidence of its criminality (as e.g. I did, again, in 2011, in the context of my **19.04.11** Claim **against the police, IPCC and Home Secretary**).

In fact, not only is that vermin *not* subjected to any kind of sanction, **ALL that I have come across (Persecution # 6)** since **the start of my case in 2002 – including KPMG – have fallen over backwards to assist it and protect it in any way they could.**

(*) I repeat my Comments under **Persecution 1(4)**

7.7 If you have answered 'Yes' to any question above, please provide details below:

replied yes as this depends on the interpretation of 'creditor' - my situation, I (and to leaseholders in my block of flats) have had a fraudulent claim filed against me by the landlord in West London County Court in November 2002 (in my case, for £14,500). 'Fraudulent' as a tribunal determined that a large part of the sum demanded was not due and payable. Realising that I was not going to get justice and redress, and for the sake of bringing the dispute to an end, in December 2003 I accepted the landlord's offer of £6,350 - even though, legally, I did not owe this sum. Hence, my point about the definition of 'creditor'. (As KPMG is aware, my situation is ongoing)

8. Fit & Proper 2

GOOD REPUTATION AND CHARACTER

Have you in the UK or elsewhere: