



KPMG LLP
Transaction Services
1-2 Dorset Rise
London EC4Y 8EN
United Kingdom

Tel +44 (0) 20 7311 8852
Fax +44 (0) 20 7311 3311
DX 38050 Blackfriars
ceri.hughes@kpmg.co.uk

Noëlle Rawé
3 Jefferson House
11 Basil Street
Knightsbridge
London
SW3 1AX

Our ref ch/521

14 December 2007

Dear Noëlle

I am writing further to our telephone conversation on Wednesday about your recent absence from work. When we spoke you confirmed that you are well and that there is no issue with your health. You have not requested holiday and as far as I am aware there is no legitimate reason for your recent absence from work. To be absolutely clear, the fact that you are being advised by someone in KPMG about work matters of concern to you and are waiting to hear back from them is not a valid reason for your recent absence from work and you are required to return to work immediately. Unauthorised absence from work is a serious matter and can lead to disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal. We may also withhold your salary for the period of your unauthorised absence. It is therefore in your best interests to return to work without further delay, at which point I will arrange a meeting for you and Peter Bassett to discuss your conduct over recent weeks. You will be given every opportunity to explain your absence and can bring a colleague with you, if you wish, to provide support.


In the meantime, if you have any queries please contact Jeanette Dunworth or Kathy Woodhouse.

Yours sincerely

Ceri Hughes

Ceri Hughes

ORIGINAL EMAIL



From: [Hughes, Ceri](#) [My line manager, KPMG Director and henchwoman in my case]
Sent: **14 December 2007 11:30**
To: [Rawé, Noëlle](#)
Subject: Confidential

Dear Noelle, please find attached **copy of a letter sent to your home address today**.
Regards, Ceri
<< File: NR141207.pdf >> [**SEE ATTACHED LETTER**]

(NB: If the linked documents don't open, try with:



BACKGROUND (Events are covered extensively in my [17.01.08](#) Grievance to KPMG - [section 11 KPMG page](#))

I had 'part 2' of my so-called 'performance appraisal' with **Peter Bassett, partner, and Kathy Woodhouse, HR**, on [24.10.07](#) (I secretly recorded [\(1\)](#); recording under **section 9.1 KPMG pg**).

To cover-up their criminal actions against me [\(2\)](#) that had prevented me from doing my work, they continued with what **Ceri Hughes, my line manager**, had started, on [09.10.07](#) (recording under **section 8.1 KPMG pg**) during 'part 1' of my 'appraisal':

they voiced fabricated failings against me, as well as a false, highly vicious, cruel and perverse accusation that "the way I had felt from Mar 07 onwards was not KPMG's fault, but my fault for letting my house problem affect me at work".

To do this, they fed their fabricated story to one of 'my colleagues', **Finbarr Geaney** who, in 'his' [05.08.07](#) 'feedback' on 'my performance' (**section 9.4 KPMG pg**) stated:

"You have a well known personal legal difficulty with your house.

This problem does get you down a lot and it sometimes impacts negatively upon your mood in the office and thus your impact with colleagues" – [lines 187-244; 367-392; 734-737](#).

NOTE Bassett's morally repugnant comments when I challenged this: [lines 375-379](#).

NOTE also that **KPMG repeated this FALSE, libellous, vicious and perverse accusation under para.11 of its PACK OF LIES Defence** (includes my Comments) (**section 14 KPMG pg**) to my [03.04.08](#) Claim (**section 12**) in the [Stratford Employment Tribunal](#) (**section 16**):

"The Respondent believes that the Claimant has been unable to disentangle her "personal issues" from her work and that as a result her performance has suffered"

See my reply in e.g. my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#), line 148 to my Comments after line 180 the

'points' in brackets refer to paras in my [03.04.08](#) Claim)).

To my repeated challenges of the accusations, they stated endlessly that there was "a *difference of opinion*", and 10 times that it "[had] *not been a good year for me*" – and variations on this theme – **sections 9.1 to 9.7** [KPMG pg](#); (I have included a summary in the Comments I added to my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#)).

Nonetheless, they marked down my overall performance significantly (**section 9.7** [KPMG pg](#)) and, to seal their 'assessment' - determined that 'I needed' no less than "a *training coach*": [Line 815](#); [25.10.07-08h30](#) email from Bassett; **section 9.6** [KPMG pg](#).

When [the form](#) was returned to me, and I saw that: the rating had remained unchanged; what I said during the meetings had been totally ignored – in the same way as what I wrote on [the last 2 pages of my form](#)) – this was THE LAST STRAW for me. **No way was I going to let myself be treated in that way by accepting this fabricated, cruel and perverse 'assessment'.**

To ask me to endorse [the form](#) was, for me, like asking an individual to plead guilty to a crime s/he has not committed – and, as a result, end-up being imprisoned.

I am sure that, at the end of the [24.10.07](#) meeting, Peter Bassett thought he had 'got me' – counting on the fact that I was 8 years from retirement, to make me swallow the poisoned pill that would have given him et.al. a freehand to continue abusing me at will i.e. continue feeding their insatiable craving for sadistic kicks.

As 'my daring' to not endorse the form had led to my being totally marginalised by Ceri Hughes, I saw no point going to work.

Going through absolute agony and, concluding that a solicitor I was consulting was batting for KPMG, in December, I had off-the-record meetings with partners outside of my group. I was told to take "*compassionate leave*" until the next meeting in early Jan 08 (**section 10.1** [KPMG pg](#)).

(I resigned from KPMG in Jan 08 – events from **section 10.2** [KPMG pg](#) demonstrate that KPMG CONTINUED with its unbelievable moral depravation...providing yet more evidence that [its 'Values'](#) it summarised as: "**Above all, we act with integrity**" were just window dressing).

(1)- KPMG was so concerned that I might have recorded conversations that it / on its behalf, its 'brothers': [Andrew David Ladsky](#) / others in [the Jewish-Freemason 'Brotherhood' \(Persecution # 6\)](#) got 'my' doctor to ask me whether I had done it. (Needless to say that I did not tell him).

They also got 'my' doctor (who is 'Jewish' '[like Ladsky](#)'... and Peter Bassett?) to do other things:

- *lie* that I had not seen him one year previously (in Apr 07), when he had prescribed me an anti-depressant and tranquilisers: my [10.04.08](#) letter to him;
- he then used this as an excuse to *not* give me a referral to a psychiatrist – for the purpose of 'mitigating my loss' in relation to my Claim against KPMG.

Discussed under **section 13** [KPMG pg](#).

In May 08, one month after I saw 'my' doctor, the colluding and conspiring then extended to the psychiatrist I had found through a contact.

Within less than half an hour of 'the consultation' he was trying to get me locked up in a mental institution (**section 13(3)** [KPMG pg](#))...thereby continuing with KPMG's attempts, the previous year, to have me 'officially certified' as 'suffering from mental issues': **section 5** [KPMG pg](#); summary of events added to the [08.05.07](#) email from BUPA Wellness at KPMG.

The motive behind doing this?

So that KPMG could then 'safely' deny my claims:

- its [22.05.08](#) dismissal (includes my Comments) of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance (**section 11** [KPMG pg](#));
- its [PACK OF LIES Defence](#) in which it demanded that my [03.04.08](#) Claim (**section 12**) in the [Stratford Employment Tribunal](#) (**section 16**) be "[STRUCK OUT](#)".

(NOTE that [Ladsky](#) also stood to gain from this: re. his (2nd) fraudulent claim against me of [27.02.07](#): I had to serve [my Witness Statement](#) in [West London County Court](#) by [4 Jun 08](#). The outcome of my doing it: it resulted in a [06.06.08](#) Notice of Discontinuance of "ALL the claims against me") ([Overview # 11](#)).

(2)- The motive behind the criminal actions against me from Feb 07 onwards (exemplified below)?

[KPMG](#), one of the pillars of the 'Establishment', appointing itself as executioner to dish out 'RETRIBUTION' against me for '[my daring](#)' to stand-up against – and expose – organized crime by its 'brothers' ([Persecution # 6](#)): [Andrew David Ladsky and his gang of racketeers](#);...

...the judiciary ([Kangaroo courts](#)) (KPMG was in the process of carrying out a project for the Ministry of (In)Justice); the police; politicians; the 'regulators' ([Overview # 7](#)), etc. ([Case summary](#) ; [Résumé de mon cas](#));...

...because (like the other 'Big 4' accountancy firms) (among others), KPMG does a lot of highly lucrative work (£100m+ annual fees) for the public sector (Media section [KPMG pg](#)).

Letter addressed sent to my apartment.

IN SPITE of my ensuring that my [KPMG](#) employee file stated that ALL correspondence needed to be sent to my PO Box – my line manager, [Ceri Hughes](#) – et.al. - sent it to my home address.

WHY?

So that 'Dear Mr [Ladsky](#)', for whom [Hughes, Peter Bassett, partner, Jeanette Dunworth, HR, Kathy Woodhouse, HR, KPMG 'health services', IT services et.al. at KPMG](#) had fallen over backwards to help since early 2007 could intercept the letter - (which is exactly what happened, as I NEVER received it)...

...and see that KPMG was more than ever on his side, determined to assist him in any way it could, including not relenting on the criminal psychological harassment ([Persecution # 1](#); [extracts from a Canadian website](#)).

NOTE the highly threatening language in the letter. The objective was to continue covering up KPMG's criminal actions against me.

"no legitimate reason for your absence from work"...

...because what I have done to you **(1)**, and what Peter Bassett, Kathy Woodhouse and Jeanette Dunworth did to you **(2)** - 'is perfectly acceptable; that's the KPMG Way!'

That's our interpretation of [the KPMG Values](#)...for which we have top level endorsement!

(1)- (1)- Endorsing my being cut-off from ALL the internal websites for 24 DAYS, and unable to do my work (**sections 3.4 and 4 KPMG page**) - followed by endorsing my then being banned from using the internet (**section 4.2 KPMG pg**).

(2)- Determined to assist Bassett et.al. in KPMG and 'Brotherhood' ([Persecution # 6](#)) very sinister Machiavellian plan: **section 5.2 KPMG pg** - picking up the baton by portraying me as "*suffering from mental issues*": **section 5.1**.

(3)- Being the key implementer in inflicting other forms of criminal psychological harassment ([Persecution # 1; extracts from a Canadian website](#)) against me e.g.:

- excluding me deliberately on communications, and from meetings that impacted on my work – with the objective of holding this against me during my so-called 'performance appraisal': **sections 8.2 and 9.3 KPMG pg**;
- from 5 May 07, making me hotdesk, principally for monitoring me and reporting on my movements externally to the State goons and Ladsky mafia – with the associated consequences: unable to divert my phone; being made to change desk half-way through the day, etc.: **section 6(3) KPMG pg**;
- prying into my personal life to assist [the persecution](#) against me: **section 6(3) KPMG pg**;
- making me use a spare computer to access the internet (**section 6(4) KPMG pg**) – 4 months after Peter Bassett, partner, sent me a [24.04.07-09h10](#) email to which he attached a letter he asked me to sign, that stated that I was "*barred from using the internet*". (I returned it with my [25.04.07-13h45](#) email to Bassett and Hughes) (**section 4.2 KPMG pg**).

The reason for my being suddenly 'allowed to access the internet' - 4 months later? (In addition to the opportunity to get additional sadistic kicks) - because Hughes needed me to carry out a project – emails from Hughes: [07.08.07-19h10](#); [08.08.07-10h04](#); [14.08.07-12h11](#) – EVEN THOUGH I could access the internet from my dedicated computer: my [08.08.07-10h19](#) email to Hughes.

- In Aug 07, unable to stop having their fun, Ceri Hughes asked me whether I wanted to be the 'Privacy Liaison Officer' for the group: [15.08.07 and other emails](#). As detailed under **section 6(5) KPMG pg**, it amounted to taking the sadism and perversion to a new depth – as **KPMG**:
 - **(i)-** was listening to my personal conversations (e.g. [timing of emails from its 'health services'](#)) (**section 5 KPMG pg**);
 - **(ii)-** was monitoring my computer real-time (**section 6(2) KPMG pg**) – that includes Hughes taking it upon herself to report to IT the flickering on my computer screen (the outcome of the spy software): her [18.07.07-16h50](#) and [19.07.07](#) emails (a reporting I could have done myself);
 - **(iii)-** was reporting on my movements to its 'brothers' (**section 6(3) KPMG pg**), etc.

(4)- During my so-called 'performance appraisal', on [09.10.07](#), following the script by refusing to acknowledge that the actions taken against me had prevented me from doing my work, and fabricating false, malicious, highly vicious, cruel and perverse 'failings' and accusations against me: **sections 8.1 to 8.3 KPMG pg**.

(5)- The information Hughes fed for 'part 2' of my so-called 'performance appraisal' with Bassett and Kathy Woodhouse: **section 9 KPMG pg**.

(2)- ALL the entries under **section 9** [KPMG pg.](#) (More comments, below, on Peter Bassett and Jeanette Dunworth, HR).

Also: how dare you deprive us of the opportunity to see you in a terrible state - demonstrating that our actions have hit you really hard? (We, and the 'dear brothers' we communicated this to, had so enjoyed seeing you sobbing uncontrollably at your desk for the best of Apr 07 - **section 4.1** [KPMG pg.](#)).

"Unauthorised absence from work is a serious matter and can lead to disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal."

Unlike your conduct [Ceri Hughes, 'KPMG Director'](#) - and highly vicious and cruel henchwoman?
I repeat my Comments under **(1)**, above.

"your best interests"

Translation: 'our best interest'. I repeat the 2nd part of Comment # 1, above.

"I will arrange a meeting for you and Peter Bassett to discuss your conduct over recent weeks"

Oh dear, Oh dear! 'My daring' to challenge the way I was being treated, followed by 'my daring' to not swallow the poisoned pill: **section 9.7** [KPMG pg.](#)

And WHO will discuss YOUR conduct, Ceri Hughes - and THE CONDUCT OF PETER BASSETT, partner, the kingpin executioner:

- his unbelievably underhanded handling of the 13 Feb 07 meeting: **sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3** [KPMG pg](#) – that included his refusal to issue notes of the meeting, followed by denying – falsely – in 'his' [07.03.07](#) email response, more than 2 weeks later, to [my Draft Notes](#) of the meeting, that they "[were] *not an accurate account of what we discussed*";
- ditto about his handling of the 30 Mar 07 meeting during which Jeanette Dunworth, HR, (=Bassett) said to use [Andrew David Ladsky](#) malicious accusation against me as an excuse to cut me off from the network: **sections 3.4 and 3.5** [KPMG pg...](#)

...– IN SPITE of my proving that the accusation was false – and OF KNOWING that I was [a blatantly obvious victim of organized crime](#) from (among other) KPMG's previously associated firm of solicitors, **McGrigors**, that looked at [121 page of my website during March-April 2007](#);

- ensuring that I was (criminally) cut-off from ALL the internal sites for 24 days, and unable to do my work: **section 4** [KPMG pg](#) - in order to get sadistic kicks from seeing me sobbing uncontrollably at my desk: **section 4.1**;
- adding to the criminal psychological harassment ([Persecution # 1](#); [extracts from Canadian website](#)) by [making me sign a letter](#) 'agreeing' to be barred from using the internet (letter I returned with my [25.04.07-13h45](#) email): **section 4.2** [KPMG pg](#);
- his being very clearly behind other forms of psychological harassment: **section 6** [KPMG pg](#);
- at [the 13 Feb 07 meeting](#), forcing me to contact Julie Bennett, Wellbeing: **sections 3.3 and 5** [KPMG pg](#) - as part of his - et.al. in KPMG and 'Brotherhood' ([Persecution # 6](#)) very sinister

Machiavellian plan to have me certified as “*suffering from mental issues*”: [section 5.2 KPMG pg](#);

- his being very clearly behind what you and Jeanette Dunworth, HR, did to me in relation to my so-called 'performance appraisal': all the entries under **sections 8 and 9 KPMG pg**;
- continuing, during part 2 of my so-called 'performance appraisal', on [24.10.07](#), with the concocted script of false, highly vicious, malicious, cruel and perverse accusations you had started on [09.10.07](#): all the entries under **section 9 KPMG pg**.

And, no doubt, Bassett was also involved in the subsequent, equally morally repugnant, gutter tactic events - starting with this letter 'from' you.

(I may be wrong, but I suspect that [Peter Bassett](#) is Jewish – ‘like’ [Andrew David Ladsky](#). From Feb 07 onwards he certainly demonstrated the same extremely sick, vicious, cruel, sadistic, perverse psyche as [Ladsky and his Jewish gang of racketeers](#), as well as their Jewish supporters in [the judiciary \(Kangaroo courts\)](#), etc., etc. – and for whom he fell over backwards to assist).

"You will be given every opportunity to explain your absence"

Translation: 'which, as in the case of your 'performance appraisal' ([sections 8 and 9 KPMG pg](#)), **WE WILL TOTALLY IGNORE** - because we know that we won't want to hear what you have to say'.

"can bring a colleague with you, if you wish, to provide support"

Trying to make up for the [13 Feb 07](#) ([section 3.1 KPMG pg](#)) and [30 Mar 07](#) ([section 3.4](#)) meetings?

And 'perhaps' recommending that I use 'my colleague', **Finbarr Geaney** who so willingly assisted in the extremely sick, highly vicious and perverse fabricated story against me: 'his' [05.08.07](#) 'feedback on my performance' ([section 9.4 KPMG pg](#))?

"contact Jeanette Dunworth [Human Resources] "

The other vicious henchwoman who would have CONTINUED to IGNORE me TOTALLY - as:

- when I told her (and Bassett), at the [30 Mar 07](#) meeting (she led) that [Andrew David Ladsky](#)'s accusations (she did NOT show me) were false – she ignored what I said; then, she also failed to ensure I was issued with notes of the meeting: **section 3.4 KPMG pg**;
- having also failed to ensure that notes of the [13 Feb 07](#) meeting were issued: **section 3.3** - when I issued [my Draft Notes](#), she did not provide any feedback, giving Peter Bassett a freehand to falsely deny them in 'his' [07.03.07](#) 'response': **sections 3.2 and 3.3**;
- when, as a result of her KEY part, I was cut off from all the network for 24 days, and reported my plight endlessly ([section 4 KPMG pg](#)), including to her e.g.
 - (i)- my exchange of emails with her between [30 Mar and 5 Apr 07](#) – saying that it made feel like a criminal;
 - (ii)- my [10.04.07-10h28](#) email to her that it was the 10th day, and that I still could not

access any site;

- o (iii)- my [13.04.07-17h38](#) email to her that by then it was day 14, and I still had no access...

...- she just sat back and enjoyed 'the show': **sections 4 and 4.1** [KPMG pg...](#)

...- and then issued a 23 Apr 07 letter demanding my 'agreement' to be barred from using the internet – which Bassett sent me with his [07.04.24-09h10](#) email (I returned with my [25.04.25-13h45](#) email): **section 4.2**;

- when, in the background, determined to assist Bassett et.al. in KPMG and [Jewish-Freemason 'Brotherhood' \(Persecution # 6\)](#) very sinister Machiavellian plan: **section 5.2** [KPMG pg](#), she kept having 'health services' thrown at me - in spite of my stating very clearly at [the 13 Feb 07 meeting](#) that I did not want to use them: **section 5** [KPMG pg](#);
- when she failed to ensure that what I reported on [pages 22 & 23 of my Performance Appraisal form](#): **section 8** [KPMG pg](#), was actually taken into consideration during my 'appraisal' meeting on [09.10.07](#) – **section 8.1**, and [24.10.07](#) - **section 9.1** (IN SPITE of my [15.10.07](#) email) - having first claimed re. the latter that she wanted to ensure "*a fair and independent assessment of your performance*": her [19.10.07-14h57](#) email – **section 9...**

...she had preceded by holding on to my form for more than 2 months: **section 8** - in the process causing me endless anguish, as I could see from the policy emails that I was missing the deadlines for the completion of the appraisal process and goal setting.

"or Kathy Woodhouse"

FOR ANOTHER ROUND OF:

"It has not been a good year for you";

"You've had a really, really difficult year";

"Quite honestly, I think, you know, this year it has been tough", etc.?

...– as she said during the [24.10.07](#) meeting (ALL 9 occurrences extracted in my Comments added to my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#)) (**sections 9.1 to 9.7** [KPMG pg](#)).

No thanks; 9 TIMES was more than enough!

REMEMBER the ROOT CAUSE for what took place / failed to take place:

A thoroughly evil, cruel, greed-ridden, vampiric, sadistic, Rachman (*) crook, [Andrew David Ladsky](#) – deciding he was 'entitled' [to make a multi-million £ jackpot](#) - through [extortion](#), [persecution](#), etc. - at my expense (and that of my fellow leaseholders)...

...to which everyone in that army of henchmen – including [KPMG](#) ([Case summary](#); [Résumé de mon cas](#)) – said:

Yes, of course! O' Great One!



(*) Dictionary definition: "*Rachmanism: The exploitation and intimidation of tenants by unscrupulous landlords; 1960's after the notorious landlord [Peter Rachman](#)*"

My view of the conduct of Ceri Hughes, Kathy Woodhouse, Jeanette Dunworth, Finbarr Geaney, 'my other [KPMG](#) colleagues', including in the 'health services', of the KPMG partners, Peter Bassett et.al. (associating themselves with [their 'brothers' \(Persecution # 6\)](#)), of my doctor, of my acquaintance in East London ([08.05.07-13h29](#) email from BUPA Wellness at KPMG that includes my summary of events) (*) : **it supports the findings of [the Stanley Milgram's 'obedience experiments'](#)...**

...- and in particular, the conclusion, 50 years later, that:

"people follow leaders because they see them as representative of an identity that they share;

they don't inflict harm because they are unaware of doing wrong but because they believe what they are doing is right"

From: "[Stanley Milgram taught us we have more to fear from zealots than zombies](#)", The Guardian, 1 Sep 11

(*) To which I add ALL the other parties involved in one way or another in my case [since 2001](#) – bar the 3 exceptions listed under [Overview # 7](#)

IN FACT, TO SUMMARISE: I VIEW ALL OF THEM AS THOROUGHLY EVIL (*) MONSTERS. THESE PEOPLE ARE SICK BEYOND WORDS.

(*) I repeat my Comments under [Persecution 1\(4\)](#)