

ORIGINAL EMAIL

From: Dunworth, Jeanette
Sent: 19 October 2007 14:57
To: Rawé, Noëlle
Cc: Bassett, Peter R; Hughes, Ceri
Subject: Confidential
Sensitivity: Confidential

Noelle

Appraisal discussion

Following on from the email to you from Ceri in respect of the above, Peter Bassett has confirmed that he will be taking this forward and will be contacting you to organise a time next week for you to meet to finalise your year end appraisal discussion.

Given the concerns you have recently raised about the appraisal process a member of the HR department will also attend the meeting to ensure that your appraisal is finalised in a fair and independent way.

Kind regards

Jeanette

From: [Dunworth, Jeanette](#) [LEAD HR BUSINESS MANAGER INFRASTRUCTURE, and henchwoman in my case]

Sent: 19 October 2007 14:57

To: [Rawé, Noëlle](#)

Cc: [Bassett, Peter R; Hughes, Ceri](#)

Subject: Confidential

Sensitivity: Confidential

Noelle

Appraisal discussion

Following on from **the email to you from Ceri in respect of the above**,...

Hughes' [17.10.07-12h33](#) email (includes my Comments). What had prompted these appointments was my [15.10.07-10h05](#) email to Jeanette Dunworth.

(NB: If the linked documents don't open, try with:



...**Peter Bassett has confirmed that he will be taking this forward** and **will be contacting you to organise a time next week** for you to meet to finalise your year end appraisal discussion.

Peter Bassett, partner. His [22.10.07-16h59](#) email **confirming meeting on 24 Oct 07**.

Given the concerns you have recently raised about the appraisal process a member of the HR department will also attend the meeting to ensure that your appraisal is finalised in a fair and independent way.

"a member of the HR department" – turned out to be **Kathy Woodhouse** who introduced herself to me in her [23.10.07-13h11](#) email.

"to ensure that your appraisal is finalised in a fair and independent way"

CUE TO LAUGH OUT – VERY, VERY LOUD...

...as **EXACTLY THE SAME TREATMENT** as dished out by **Ceri Hughes** during Part 1 of my so-

called 'performance appraisal', on [09.10.07](#) (I secretly recorded [\(*\)](#); recording under **section 8.1**, [KPMG pg](#)) – and related **sections 8.2 and 8.3**,...

... **CONTINUED during Part 2** with Peter Bassett, partner, and Kathy Woodhouse, HR, on [24.10.07](#) (I, likewise, secretly recorded [\(*\)](#); recording under **section 9.1** [KPMG pg](#)) – and related **sections 9.2 to 9.7**...

... – with the **objective of covering up their criminal actions against me**,...

- ...– **that had been motivated by 'RETRIBUTION' for 'my daring' to stand-up against – and expose - organized crime by KPMG's 'brothers' (Persecution # 6): Andrew David Ladsky and his gang of racketeers; the judiciary (Kangaroo courts) (KPMG was in the process of carrying out a project for the Ministry of (In)Justice); the police; politicians; the 'regulators' (Overview # 7); etc. (Case summary ; Résumé de mon cas)**

(Of course, **the criminal psychological harassment CONTINUED afterwards**: e.g. the highly threatening [14.12.07](#) letter 'from' Ceri Hughes...added to the below other examples of other documents).

[\(*\)](#) I only revealed the fact I had recorded the meetings in 2015, when I launched the [KPMG page](#)). (Ditto about the evidence I had that KPMG's previously associated firm of solicitors, **McGrigors**, had looked at [121 page of my website in March-April 2007](#)).

Some of the key documents reporting main events:

- my [17.01.08](#) Grievance, and KPMG's [22.05.08](#) 'response' (includes my Comments) (**section 11** [KPMG pg](#));
- my [03.04.08](#) Claim against KPMG (**section 12**) in the [Stratford Employment Tribunal](#) (**section 16**), and [KPMG's PACK OF LIES Defence](#) (includes my Comments) in which it demanded that it be "*struck out*" (**section 14**);
- my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#) (**section 16**), and my [02.09.08](#) letter to the Stratford Employment Tribunal (**section 16**).

Kind regards

Jeanette