

ORIGINAL EMAIL

From: Hughes, Ceri
To: Rawé, Noëlle
Cc: Dunworth, Jeanette; Bassett, Peter R
Subject: Your appraisal discussion

Sent: Wed 17/10/2007 12:33

Noëlle

I have just met with HR and in the light of your emails on Monday regarding your concerns with the way your appraisal has been handled, we feel that is not appropriate for me to continue the discussion.

This morning I had hoped to be able to identify the appropriate person to take this forwards in order to proceed with part two as planned this afternoon. However, this has not been possible because HR have advised that I discuss this with Peter Bassett and we jointly identify who can finalise this process with you. As you are aware Peter is away until next week but we will make it a priority to continue the discussion on Monday.

I understand that you will be disappointed at this delay, however it is inevitable given the correspondence between you and Jeanette this week. It is important that we finalise your appraisal as soon as possible, however this must be done in a fair way and as such it is no longer appropriate for me to be directly involved.

Peter or I will be in touch on Monday to discuss taking this forward and finalising your appraisal in a fair and independent way.

Kind regards
Ceri

Ceri Hughes
KPMG LLP
Tel: +44 (0)207 311 8852

For preceding events see:

- [my transcript](#) of Part 1 of my so-called 'performance appraisal' meeting with my line manager, [Ceri Hughes](#), on **9 Oct 07** – I secretly recorded. The recording is under **section 8.1** on the [KPMG page](#);
- my [15.10.07-10h05](#) email to [Jeanette Dunworth, Human Resources](#), cc'd Ceri Hughes (with my Comments), reporting what took place (**section 9** KPMG pg);
- the [15.10.07-17h40](#) reply from Dunworth, and her statement that 'HR' had given "*an extra extension for the completion of the appraisal process*" (also with my Comments);
- my [15.10.07-18h10](#) reply that I was "*looking for honesty*"

(NB: If the linked documents don't open, try with:



From: [Hughes, Ceri](#)

Sent: **17 October 2007 12:33**

To: [Rawé, Noëlle](#)

Cc: [Dunworth, Jeanette; Bassett, Peter R](#)

Subject: **Your appraisal discussion**

Noëlle

I have just met with HR and in the light of your emails on Monday regarding your concerns with the way your appraisal has been handled, we feel that is not appropriate for me to continue the discussion.

My [15.10.07-10h05](#) email.

However, Hughes certainly did not give up on continuing to dish out [the criminal psychological harassment](#) against me e.g. 'her' [14.12.07](#) highly threatening letter to me.

This morning I had hoped to be able to identify the appropriate person to take this forwards in order to proceed with part two as planned this afternoon. However, this has not been possible because **HR have advised that I discuss this with Peter Bassett and we jointly identify who can finalise this process with you.** As you are aware Peter is away until next week but we will make it a priority to continue the discussion on Monday.

Peter Bassett, partner - who had been the kingpin for the actions against me since Oct 06 – to please ‘the brothers’ [Andrew David Ladsky](#) et.al. in the [Jewish-Freemason mafia \(Persecution # 6\)](#) e.g.

- my [17.01.08](#) Grievance, and the [22.05.08](#) ‘response’ from KPMG (includes my Comments) – **section 11** [KPMG pg](#);
- my [03.04.08](#) Claim against KPMG (**section 12**) in the [Employment Tribunal](#) (**section 16**), and [its PACK OF LIES Defence](#) in which it demanded that it be “*struck out*” (**section 14**);
- my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#) (**section 16**)...

...– **motivated by a very sinister, Machiavellian plan: section 5.2**; my Comments on [my Draft Notes](#) of the 13 Feb 07 meeting with Bassett and Dunworth (he had brought in through the back door) (**sections 3.1 to 3.4**).

I understand that you will be disappointed at **this delay**, however it is **inevitable given the correspondence between you and Jeanette this week.** **It is important that we finalise your appraisal as soon as possible**,...

CUE TO LAUGH OUT LOUD!

As I reported in my [17.01.08](#) Grievance, under **Header 6.1**:

“I was made to endure a 10-week wait before finally having what turned out to be ‘part 1’ of my performance appraisal on 9 October 2007. [section 8 KPMG pg]

The delay, said to have been caused by “waiting to hear from HR” in relation to what I wrote in [the last section on my form](#), turned out to be untrue, as my comments were totally ignored”

What I stated is **PROVEN** by my secret recording of ‘Part 1’ of my so-called ‘performance appraisal’ meeting with **Ceri Hughes**, on [09.10.07](#) (recording under **section 8.1** [KPMG pg](#)) and related **sections 8.1, 8.2 and 8.3**.

And, it **CONTINUED to be ignored** by **Bassett and Kathy Woodhouse, HR**, during ‘Part 2’, on [24.10.07](#) (I also secretly recorded; recording under **section 9.1**) and related **sections 9.2 to 9.7**.

(NB: I only revealed this evidence in 2015, when I launched the KPMG page). (Ditto about the evidence I had that KPMG’s previously associated firm of solicitors, **McGrigors**, had looked at [121 page of my website in March-April 2007](#))

Note also my [07.11.07-09h53](#) email to Bassett, Woodhouse, Dunworth and Ceri Hughes **that 2 WEEKS AFTER** the completion of Part 2 of so-called ‘performance appraisal’ on [24.10.07](#), [the form](#) had NOT yet been returned to me.

...**however this must be done in a fair way** and as such **it is no longer appropriate for me to be directly involved.**

“this must be done in a fair way” = ANOTHER CUE TO LAUGH OUT LOUD. IN FACT:

VERY, VERY LOUD...

...as, I repeat, **EXACTLY THE SAME TREATMENT** as dished out by Hughes during Part 1 of my so-called 'performance appraisal', on [09.10.07](#), **CONTINUED** during Part 2 on [24.10.07](#) - with Bassett and Woodhouse...

who was “*there to ensure that my appraisal is finalised in a fair and independent way*” – as also stated by **Dunworth** in her [19.10.07-14h57](#) email to me.

(Bassett's [22.10.07-16h59](#) email setting up the meeting)

Peter or I will be in touch on Monday to discuss taking this forward and **finalising your appraisal in a fair and independent way**.

I repeat my above Comments

Kind regards
Ceri

Ceri Hughes
KPMG LLP