

ORIGINAL EMAILS

From: Rawé, Noëlle
To: Burch, Seraphina
Cc:
Subject: RE: Occupational Health review

Sent: Tue 08/05/2007 13:29

Hello Seraphina

I have just tried to call you, but it's still lunch time.

I am not clear what you mean by "review". However, I am at my desk if you wish to phone me back

Kind regards
Noëlle Rawé
Tel: +44 (0)20 7 034 3000 (international)

[Pitching Toolkit](#)

From: Burch, Seraphina
Sent: 08 May 2007 13:06
To: Rawé, Noëlle
Subject: Occupational Health review

Good afternoon Noelle,

I hope you are well and had a good weekend.

I would be very grateful if you can contact me for a review please.

Many thanks for your help.

Kind regard,
Sera

Seraphina Burch
Occupational Health adviser
Bupa Wellness at KPMG

From: [Rawé, Noëlle](#)
Sent: 08 May 2007 13:29
To: [Burch, Seraphina](#)
Subject: RE: Occupational Health review

Hello Seraphina

I have just tried to call you, but it's still lunch time.

I am not clear what you mean by "review". However, I am at my desk if you wish to phone me back

Kind regards
Noëlle Rawé

From: Burch, Seraphina
Sent: 08 May 2007 13:06
To: Rawé, Noëlle
Subject: Occupational Health review

Good afternoon Noelle,

I hope you are well and had a good weekend.

I would be very grateful if you can contact me for a review please.

Many thanks for your help.

Kind regard,

Sera

Seraphina Burch

Occupational Health adviser

Bupa Wellness at KPMG

(NB: If the linked documents don't open - try with:



TRANSLATION of the above email: 'And please: bite on the hook this time! We must get our specialists' endorsement that you are suffering from mental issues'

(See **section 5.2** [KPMG page](#); my Comments in my [14.02.07](#) Draft notes of the 13 Feb 07 meeting with Peter Bassett, partner, and Jeanette Dunworth, Human Resources) (**sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3** KPMG pg).

By 'coincidence', in the morning, before coming to work, I had loaded an update on my website that included exposing the game played by [West London County Court](#), as well as a section in which I said "*what will you tell my family and friends when they say to you: you killed her because...*"

I reported this event under **Header 9.2** of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance stating that **KPMG was monitoring my website (as well as my telephone conversations)**.

It most definitely was doing this e.g. KPMG's previously associated firm of solicitors, **McGrigors**, looked at [121 pages of my website in March-April 2007](#).

By then – before the above from BUPA Wellness - in its blind determination to get 'specialist' endorsement of ITS FABRICATED 'assessment of my state of mind',

...**as well as its ulterior motive** of claiming e.g. under **para.12(a)** of [its PACK OF LIES Defence](#) (includes my Comments) to my [03.04.07](#) Claim in the [Stratford Employment Tribunal](#) (**sections 14 and 12** [KPMG pg](#)) that:

"the Respondent believes that it went far beyond what could be reasonably expected of an employer in such circumstances in terms of the support it offered"

(As I pointed out in my [01.07.08](#) Subject Access Request (**section 15.1** KPMG pg), it *failed* to define how it did this. However, I concluded that Well Being and the other things it pushed at me over the following months was what it was referring to).

...**KPMG was short of throwing in the kitchen sink:**

(1) – It made me see a representative of '**Well Being**', Julie Bennett, on **22 Feb 07**. I should say 'forced me' to do it – as I captured in my [14.02.07 Draft Notes](#) of 13 Feb 07 meeting with Peter Bassett and one of his flunkeys, Jeannette Dunworth, HR **(*)** (**sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3**

[KPMG pg](#)) (see also my Comments on the [07.03.07](#) 'response' to my Notes 'from' Bassett):

Lines 52 – 54: "I relate my first-hand experience with the Well Being service when the person had totally misunderstood my situation. Peter says "that's history". And Jeanette adds that there has been a change of staff".

Lines 127-128: "At some point Peter repeats the suggestion of my meeting with somebody in the Well Being Team"

Lines 130-131: "Jeanette gives me the card of Julie Bennett, Well Being, and says she will ask her to contact me."

I agreed because I could see that they were not going to let go of it. (And, as detailed below, and also discussed under **section 5** [KPMG pg](#), they kept at it, on, and on, and on, and on, over the following 3 months).

(*) **My Draft Notes** - following Bassett telling me he had not intention of issuing notes of the meeting (**Header 3.1** of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance).

= **Did NOT want to have a record of what he and Dunworth had told me.**

(As I reported in my [17.01.08](#) Grievance, **line 58:** "The situation felt to me like an ambush" ; at **lines 61 & 62** that "I was extremely upset by the manner in which the meeting had been handled"; **lines 74 & 75:** "I also told PB "The only thing that was missing from the situation yesterday was a pair of handcuffs")

With my [20.02.07](#) email, I sent my Draft Notes of the meeting to Bassett, Dunworth, and cc'd Ceri Hughes.

It took 'Bassett' more than 2 weeks to respond: this [07.03.07](#) email. (My view: because the content of this email had been drafted and redrafted ad-inifinitum in KPMG, no doubt, in particular by its legal department, including perhaps as 'a freebie' by [McGrigors](#), (and possibly some party/ies in [the Jewish-Freemason 'Brotherhood' \(Persecution # 6\)](#))...

...– to ensure 'damage limitation' – in the face of my clearly unexpected capture of what was said at the meeting, including the way it took place).

In the [07.03.07](#) email, 'Bassett' claimed "**I do not believe these [notes] to be an accurate account of what was discussed**". In fact, **he repeated my main points in his email (sections 3.2 and 3.3 [KPMG pg](#)).** (*)

Note 'his' explanation for involving Human Resources - by the back door: "**Jeanette was invited to discuss with you the support that Well Being could possibly offer you and to encourage you to meet with Julie Bennett**"

WHY DID [PETER BASSETT](#) DECIDE TO DO THIS?

Had I shown 'signs' of 'needing help from WellBeing'? **NOP! I was happily getting on with my work.**

On the personal side, [Rachman Andrew David Ladsky](#) had, through [his racketeer solicitor, Jeremy Hershkorn, Portner and Jaskel](#), making false accusations against me to my then website

host, succeeded in getting the closure of my website in Oct 06 ([Overview, Introduction, # 8, # 9](#))

However, I had then found my current (wonderful) US website Host, HostDime, and I had relaunched my site on 25th Dec 06 ([Overview Introduction](#))).

([The Ladsky mafia FRAUDULENT 27.02.07 claim](#) was filed against me in [West London County Court 2 weeks after the 13 Feb 07 meeting with Bassett and Dunworth](#)) ([Overview # 11](#)).

It was preceded by the mafia's illegal [16.02.07](#) threat of "bankruptcy and forfeiture" ([Overview # 11](#)) i.e. **3 DAYS after** the 13 Feb 07 meeting).

ANSWER TO THE QUESTION: BECAUSE PETER BASSETT WAS POSITIONING HIS PAWNS WITH THE OBJECTIVE OF IMPLEMENTING HIS SINISTER, MACHIAVELLIAN PLAN. (section 5.2 [KPMG pg](#); my Comments in my [14.02.07](#) Draft notes of the 13 Feb 07 meeting)

(I may be wrong, but I suspect that [Peter Bassett](#) is Jewish – 'like [Andrew David Ladsky](#)'. From Feb 07 onwards he certainly demonstrated the same extremely sick, vicious, cruel, sadistic, perverse psyche as [Ladsky and his Jewish gang of racketeers](#), as well as their Jewish supporters in [the judiciary \(Kangaroo courts\)](#), etc., etc.)

(* Another one absolutely determined to cover-up their Machiavellian ploy was my line manager, **Ceri Hughes** (who, by Feb 07 already was? became) **one of Bassett's 2 main accomplices and henchwomen** (the other one was **Jeanette Dunworth, HR**).

E.g. on 30 July 07 Hughes called me into a meeting room, and told me, in an angry, aggressive tone, that she did not like my email of [27 July 07](#) "being quoted on what I said on 19 July" ([lines 504 and 505](#) of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance) (section 8 [KPMG pg](#)).

(2) – Julie Bennett, WellBeing, made me contact 'Well Direct' which, as explained in e.g. my [23.02.07](#) email, I spoke to and did not take further. (I have included Comments on the emails).

As I stated in the email (and **to get Bennett off my back**): "[My experience with the Well Direct contact] *led me to decide that I would see the therapist I have seen previously... The last time was c. three years ago...I've booked to see him on Monday.*"

(3) - On [5 Apr 07](#), KPMG got **Nuffield Proactive Health** to send me "**an invitation for a Health & Wellbeing Assessment**". It was the **1st time in my 10 years at KPMG** I had received this. 'By **amazing coincidence**', it was:

- **2 hours after** I had broken in tears on the phone when talking to a friend as I related some of the horrendous treatment I was being made to endure by various parties [since 2002](#)

(I reported this event under **Header 9.2** of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance stating that [KPMG was monitoring my telephone conversations](#).)

- **within 2 hours** of my reporting - **for the 4th time since 30th March** - that my access to ALL the internal sites, as well as internet had been cut-off – and leading me to be sobbing uncontrollably at my desk during that time (section 4 [KPMG pg](#))...

...– from which they were ALL - very clearly - getting endless sadistic kicks, including 'Dear Mr

[Andrew David Ladsky](#) (*) and others in 'the Brotherhood' (Persecution # 6) (including [KPMG's mates in the Ministry of \(In\)Justice](#) I had 'dared' expose on [my website](#)) - to whom they were – for sure - reporting their 'great success'.

(*) Whose "*allegations KPMG could not ignore*" e.g. lines 25-37 of my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#) (section 16 [KPMG pg](#)) – quoting from KPMG's [PACK OF LIES Defence](#) (includes my Comments) to my [03.04.08](#) Claim (sections 14 and 12 [KPMG pg](#)).

I did NOT respond to [the email](#) (to which I have added my Comments). Among other, it stated:

"This is...completely confidential."

As "*confidential*" as KPMG / its 'brothers' approaching my private doctor? (see # 4, below)

"[The questionnaire] covers full medical, social, domestic and work history."

Translation: so that [KPMG](#) et.al. in 'the Brotherhood' ([Persecution # 6](#)) can find things they can use against you in the pursuit of their ultimate objective: to get rid of you once and for all. ([Persecution # 1](#))

"Contact the Occupational Health and Rehabilitation Manager, KPMG Human Resources"

Sounds like e.g. China reported in the British media to be sending 'dissidents' to 'rehabilitation centres' = lock up 'inconvenient' people who 'dare' rock the boat.

Behind its hypocritical condemnation: this is exactly what this island-Kingdom does as well e.g. [Media page](#): 'Use of blacklists, persecution of whistleblowers, and secret prisoners'.

(If you are a normal human being – who is [an innocent victim of organized crime](#), who had, by then, been consistently denied access to justice and redress ([Case summary](#)) - you will understand that when you get [the messages](#) I was getting from attempting to access the internal sites (more examples in e.g. my [17.04.17 – 10h44](#) email to IT)...

...that this would be extremely distressing, making you feel like a criminal (as I reported in e.g. my [05.04.07-10h37](#) email to Jeanette Dunworth, HR ; my [18.04.07-12h26](#) email to Serena Patching, IT (By then, it was DAY 19 of my being cut-off from ALL the sites)...

...– added to the fact that you simply could *not* get on with your work – due to being DELIBERATELY - deprived of the means to do it with.... motivated by 'retribution' on behalf of 'the Brotherhood' (Sections 3.4 and 4 [KPMG pg](#))

The response from [KPMG](#)?

- During [PART 1](#) of my so-called 'performance appraisal' meeting, on [9 Oct 07](#) (I secretly recorded; recording under [section 8.1 KPMG pg](#)) (see below KPMG getting my doctor to ask me whether I had done this; given the content of the recording, you can see why KPMG was worried)...

...my line manager [Ceri Hughes](#), refused point blank to take into consideration the actions taken against me by KPMG that had not only prevented me from doing my work, but also had a devastating impact on me – and threatened me with ending the meeting if I

persisted in raising it: [lines 47 to 148](#):

CH - Lines 53-54: "**We won't be focusing on some of the issues you brought up in your form [at end of Performance Appraisal form]**, around what's going on in your life outside of KPMG"

Me – Lines 57-58: "**And the things I have highlighted that have actually impacted on me for half the year. Who will discuss that?**"

CH – Line 59: "**We won't be discussing it in this meeting**"

Me – Line 60: "**Because that had an impact on me and on my performance**"

CH – Lines 66-68: "**Well, previously,...although you had this awful thing happening to you outside of work, you kept a very distinct line between work and non-work. (*) As you have demonstrated in this form, now you see the two as criss-crossing, as running** [I interrupted]"

(*) THIS WAS A FALSE ACCUSATION. IT WAS A KEY PART OF KPMG'S STRATEGY FOR COVERING-UP THE IMPACT ON ME OF ITS CRIMINAL ACTIONS AGAINST ME.

This accusation was expanded on during the **24 Oct 07** meeting with Peter Bassett and Kathy Woodhouse, HR ([section 9 KPMG pg](#)) - using the fabricated [05.08.07](#) 'feedback' 'from' 'my colleague', **Finbarr Geaney** ([section 9.4 KPMG pg](#)) - that claimed:

"You have a well known personal legal difficulty with your house. This problem does get you down a lot and it sometimes impacts negatively upon your mood in the office and thus your impact with colleagues" – [lines 188-244; 367-392; 734-737](#).

(NOTE that **KPMG** repeated this **FALSE** accusation under para.11 of [its PACK OF LIES Defence](#) ([section 14 KPMG pg](#)) to my [03.04.08](#) Claim).

Me – Lines 69-70: "**Because of what happened. Because it has invaded my work life. Because it came into my work life. Because KPMG when [Ladsky](#) [CH interrupted]**"

CH – Line 71: "**Do you think it is KPMG's fault it invaded your work life?**"

Me – Lines 72-73: "**Let me finish, please. When [Ladsky](#), apparently came and made accusations against me, right, [KPMG](#) then took action against me**"

CH – Line 74: "**Noëlle we are not going to discuss that**"

Me – Lines 75-78: "**Yeah but, which is why I captured it, because, I said, before I had not captured it, but now it has invaded my work life, and it has impacted.**

I have had a month, practically the month of April, without having intranet access; and getting the messages.

As I captured in my form, the effect of that on me was absolutely devastating."

CH – Line 79: CH: "**And I read that, and I accept what you are saying, but we are not going to discuss it today**"

Me – Lines 87-88: "...**YES, that's a fact: it HAS impacted on me. What has happened**

here has impacted on me – which is not surprising”

CH – Lines 89-91: “Can you not appreciate that this discussion, in the same way as everybody else’s discussion around this document, is how you progressed against your goals, and your performance against your goals”

Me – Lines 92-93: “You cannot dissociate the two; the environment under which I have had to perform - which is why I have had to put that in” [at the back of my [2006-07 Performance Appraisal form](#)]

CH – Lines 94-95: “But I have to. That has to be the basis that we progress this discussion. And if you don’t agree to that, then I can’t progress the discussion”

Me- Line 96: “All I am saying is that it cannot be put aside and ignored”

CH – Line 97: “But it has to be; has to be”

Me – Line 98: “It has impacted on the way I have worked”

CH – Line 99-100: “I would suggest to you that, actually, that’s something we need to address for the future as development [UNBELIEVABLE!]. It can’t impact”

Me – Lines 101-102: “It’s not a question of development. It’s just a question of my being treated differently from others”

CH – Lines 103-105: “I am not treating you differently... Because you chose, in your Dialogue form, to raise issues that are related to what is going on outside of KPMG”

Me – Lines 106-109: “No, I did not. I very specifically say in my form that, at mid-year, I had not put in, even though I was going through absolute sheer utter hell. [Overview # 10, # 11, # 13] I made no reference to it whatsoever in my form. I did not bring it in. And I do specify the reason I am bringing it in is because it has now invaded my work life”

CH – Lines 119-120: “This discussion and this document is about your goals, your performance against those goals in KPMG. The two have to be separate”

Me – Line 121: “My being deprived of the tools to work with for a whole month, surely [interrupted]”

CH – Line 122: “What about the other 11 months?”

Me – 123-125: “We are going to talk about the other 11 months. I am saying that you cannot cross out the fact that, for a whole month, I was reduced in tears at my desk, getting all these messages making me feel as though I was a criminal. With no intranet access” [Header 4 of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance; section 4 [KPMG pg](#)]

CH – Line 126: “That’s not something we can discuss today Noëlle”

Me – Lines 127-129: “But that, what I am saying is that it cannot just be ignored. That is something that has impacted on my performance; because I did not have the tools for starters, never mind anything else”

CH – Lines 130-135: “We are not going to revisit that. I think that we have discussed

this enough times [WHEN? She NEVER discussed it - in spite of being copied on my numerous emails reporting endlessly that **I was totally cut off from ALL the sites** e.g. my [17.04.07-10h44](#) email to IT - FOR 24 DAYS!]

Again, I have not been part of the discussion you had with HR [Ditto about being copied on EVERYTHING] [involving more than 1 party = [a typical criminal psychological harassment tactic: Header 2](#)],

but I have been assured, or it has been assured to me that it was explained that that was a mistake, that you were meant to have intranet access [A 'mistake', [KPMG](#), 'the IT specialist', made last for 24 DAYS! at which point [it made sign a letter](#) 'agreeing' to be barred from accessing the Internet].

It was unfortunate, regrettable, and something that we should and would wish to avoid [cue to laugh out loud], *but nevertheless it did happen. There is nothing more that I can do, or anyone else can do to change that*" [As we have agreed to say with our dear 'brothers' including [Andrew David Ladsky](#) in the [Jewish-Freemason 'Brotherhood' \(Persecution # 6\)](#)].

Me – Lines 136-137: *"Funnily enough, [when I signed the letter](#), I immediately got the intranet back. It must be the best IT fix ever. I am just mentioning that."*

CH – Line 138: *"What can I say to you? I absolutely was not involved in any of that"*

Me – Line 139: *"As my performance appraisal manager, you need to know that..."*

CH – Lines 142-143: *"As I said at the beginning, the purpose of this discussion is not to focus on the things that are happening outside of KPMG"*

Me – Line 144: *"But that took place inside KPMG"*

CH – Lines 145-147: *"Okay, but we are not going to focus on it in this discussion. I need you to understand and accept that before we can go on, because we are going to be talking at cross purposes"*

Me – Line 148: *"Well, there we are"*

Ceri Hughes also FALSELY accused me of *"letting [my personal problem](#) interfere with my work"*: [lines 61-68](#). More on this in the following paragraphs.

(Henchwoman **Ceri Hughes** was always ready to assist KPMG in any way she could. Other example: 'her' highly threatening letter to me of [14.12.07](#))

- During **PART 2**, on [24 Oct 07](#), (I also secretly recorded; recording under **section 9.1 KPMG pg**). [Peter Bassett, partner, and Kathy Woodhouse, HR](#), did the same thing. In order TO CONTINUE TO IGNORE the criminal actions they had taken against me ([Protection from Harassment Act 1997](#)), AND COVER THEM UP,...

...they continued to use the fabricated story Bassett and Hughes had fed to Geaney (above, [05.08.07](#) email) by claiming that the way I felt from Apr 07 *"was NOT KPMG's fault", but "[MY] fault for letting my house problem affect me"* – [lines 375-379](#):

Me: "Yeah, but he assumed that it was "my house problem". Right?"

Peter Bassett: "Okay, but I mean, we don't need to discuss what was behind it"

"Whether it was caused by one thing or another, isn't really the issue"

Of course not! Let's "not discuss what is behind it": [Peter Bassett and his henchmen, Ceri Hughes, Jeanette Dunworth, HR, IT staff, etc](#), morally depraved, repulsive, gutter play to cover-up THE TRUE REASON: the criminal actions they took against me...

...(see [Protection from Harassment Act 1997](#) ; lines 286-307 of my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#)) - from Feb 07 onwards to please the dear 'brothers', [Andrew David Ladsky](#) et.al. in the [Jewish-Freemason 'Brotherhood' \(Persecution # 6\)](#).

- NOTE that KPMG repeated this FALSE accusation in [its PACK OF LIES Defence](#) to my [03.04.08](#) Claim in the [Employment Tribunal](#) – under para.11 - stating:

"The Respondent believes that the Claimant has been unable to disentangle her "personal issues" from her work and that as a result her performance has suffered"

See my reply in e.g. my [05.08.08](#) letter to ACAS, line 148 to my Comments after line 180 (the 'points' in brackets refer to paras in my [03.04.08](#) Claim))

- NOTE that the 23 Apr 07 letter issued 'by' Jeanette Dunworth, HR (= Peter Bassett) that Bassett asked me to sign in his [24.10.07-09h10](#) email (I returned with my [25.04.07-13h45](#) email) – states:

"...following a meeting attended by you, Jeanette Dunworth and me on 30 March 2007, you were informed that your internet and intranet access would be restricted."

NO! At the 30 Mar 07 meeting (section 3.4 [KPMG pg](#)) Jeanette Dunworth, HR, mentioned **ONLY the internet** – (as I reported: (1)- in my [09.07.07](#) Subject Access Request (section 7 [KPMG pg](#)); (2)- under lines 134-135 of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance (section 11 [KPMG pg](#)); (3)- para.8 of my [03.04.08](#) Claim against KPMG (section 12)):

"Because of [Andrew David] Ladsky [FALSE, MALICIOUS] communication [to KPMG against me] (*) claiming that "your website contains anti-Semitic comments" it has been decided that, to protect you and KPMG, it would be best you no longer have access to the internet"

(*)- As in the case of other communications from Ladsky to KPMG, they did NOT show me the communication: a [26.03.07](#) letter (discussed under section 3.5 [KPMG pg](#)). I had to battle with KPMG to finally get this highly redacted version – 7 MONTHS LATER (section 7 [KPMG pg](#))

WHY restrict my access to the intranet i.e. the internal sites? (as I stated under lines 233-236 of my Grievance).

To claim that this is what I was told would prove that KPMG had been intent on stopping me from doing my work...which is exactly what 'The Best Company to Work for' DID - over a 24-DAY period! A FACT it ADMITTED in [the letter](#):

"Since then you have been unable to access the external internet or the global

intranet"; [= the internal sites]

"in order to enable you to carry out your day to day work" ;

"decided to reinstate your access solely for the purposes of allowing you to do your job"

...providing an **UNDENIABLE ADMISSION** that **KPMG HAD DELIBERATELY PREVENTED ME FROM DOING MY WORK – FOR 24 DAYS** ([23.04.07-11h34](#) email from IT).

I also highlight the fact that the **ban on my usage of the internet extended to the KPMG websites**: I was taken off from dealing with enquiries: my [24.04.07-09h34](#) email to Bassett and Hughes; [25.04.07-15h28](#) reply from Hughes – even though the role limited my contacts to within KPMG.

(4) – Also set-up by Julie Bennett, WellBeing - on **10 Apr 07**, I saw a so-called “*specialist*”, **Shirley Caplin**, in Harley St (e.g. my [07.04.10](#) email to my line manager, Ceri Hughes). (**Section 5 KPMG pg**)

(To ensure that I was in the ‘required’ frame of mind, by then, it was **DAY 11 of my being cut-off from ALL the internal websites, as well as the internet** e.g. my [10.04.07 – 12h08](#) email to Hannah-Maria Talbot, IT. NOTE the automated messages I was getting back when trying to access internal sites).

As I reported in my [17.01.08](#) Grievance, **lines 1040 to 1042**, it was at the insistence of **Julie Bennett, Well Being** and “**I decided to play the game and help her ‘tick the box’ by going along with the idea**”. As I also reported: **Caplin did not listen to a word I said**.

I noted this in my diary, quoting the example that, having told her that every day, including weekends, I stayed in the office until 1 a.m. or later because I dreaded going back to the apartment, she then asked me whether “*I have friends who come and visit me in the apartment?*”

Also, that at the end of the 50 minutes, she had said: “**there is a lot going on; that she was very worried about me, and that she would report back that I needed to see somebody else**”

OF NOTE: Following my [01.07.08](#) Subject Access Request to **KPMG**, in its [31.07.08](#) ‘reply’ it claimed that it “**was not provided with a copy of Caplin’s report**”. (**section 15.1 KPMG pg**)

REALLY? ‘Perhaps’ because it was “**confidential**”

As “confidential” as KPMG / on its behalf, its ‘brothers’: [Andrew David Ladsky](#) / others in the [Jewish-Freemason ‘Brotherhood’ \(Persecution # 6\)](#) **approaching my (then) private doctor** (of 37 years!) (who is Jewish, ‘[like Ladsky](#)’), **to**:

- determine **what evidence I had** against KPMG – by getting him to ask me **whether “[I had] recorded my conversations at KPMG”**, and
- **get him to lie that I had not seen him 1 year previously**, when **he had prescribed me an anti-depressant and tranquilisers**, and
- **use his lie as an excuse to not give me a referral to a psychiatrist** – for the purpose of ‘mitigating my loss’ in relation to my Claim against KPMG?

Discussed under **section 13 KPMG pg**; in my [05.08.08](#) letter to ACAS, **line 94 to my Comments after line 129**.

The motive behind doing this? So that KPMG could then 'safely' deny my claims:

- its **22.05.08** rejection of my **17.01.08** Grievance (section 11 [KPMG pg](#));
- its **PACK OF LIES Defence** to my **03.04.08** Claim, in which it demanded that it be “*struck out*”.

Er, YES, that’s the KPMG that had ‘Values’ it summarised as: “**Above all, we act with integrity**”!

Oh! **It also** “*did not know Shirley Caplin’s qualifications*”! (**31.07.08** letter) = KPMG sends its employees to see “*specialists*” it knows nothing about!

Because, as I reported in my **17.01.08** Grievance, **lines 1134 to 1138**, I had just one conversation with **Seraphina Burch, BUPA Wellness at KPMG, on 8 May 07** (above email), and **did not take up her** “*open invitation to contact [her]*”...

(5) - ...11 days later, on **17 May 07**, **Julie Bennett, WellBeing**, sent me “*Just a courteous email to see how you are*”.

In her subsequent email of **21 May 07**, she was *still* trying to get me to bite on one of the hooks.

My **21.05.07-19h25** reply amounting to telling her - et.al. - to back-off, is included in the email.

She followed this the next day (same email) by stating: “*I would like to keep in contact with you just to ensure you are okay*”.

She never did = **THE MESSAGE HAD FINALLY SUNK IN** that I was not going to bite on any of the hooks they kept throwing at me.

As I stated under **lines 136-140** in my **05.08.08** letter to ACAS (section 16 [KPMG pg](#)), quoting **Header 8** of my **17.01.08** Grievance:

“As to the offers of help and assertions of being “concerned” about me, considering the treatment I have been made to endure since February 2007, I have come to view them as KPMG ‘beating me up’ on the one hand, and offering to attend to my wounds on the other.

Furthermore, as having the ulterior motive of finding something to use against me”

NOTE also that the mafia had also secured the cooperation of my 12-year acquaintance from whom I ended-up renting a room, in East London, from Apr 07 (*) – to spy and report on me

...- as I reported under **paras 122 & 123** of my **19.07.11** Witness Statement to [the Home Secretary, Theresa May](#), following my filing a **19.04.11** Claim against her et.al. in the [Queen’s Bench Division](#).

(*) Following [Peter Bassett](#), complaining during [the 13 Feb meeting](#): “*It has also been noted that you stay in the office very late, up to the early hours of the morning*” – and due to hating being in my apartment – for obvious reasons e.g. [the repeated criminal floodings](#), and [other forms of criminal psychological harassment \(Persecution # 1\(4\)\(16\)\)](#) .

And then, in April 08, it secured the cooperation of my doctor! **There really is NO END to the number of people who will fall over backwards to help criminals!**

REMEMBER the ROOT CAUSE for what took place / failed to take place:

A thoroughly evil, cruel, greed-ridden, vampiric, sadistic, Rachman (*) crook, [Andrew David Ladsky](#) – deciding he was ‘entitled’ [to make a multi-million £ jackpot](#) - through [extortion](#), [persecution](#), etc. - at my expense (and that of my fellow leaseholders)...

...to which everyone in that army of henchmen – including [KPMG](#) ([Case summary](#); [Résumé de mon cas](#)) – said:

Yes, of course! O’ Great One!



(*) Dictionary definition: “Rachmanism: The exploitation and intimidation of tenants by unscrupulous landlords; 1960’s after the notorious landlord [Peter Rachman](#)”

My view of the conduct of ‘my [KPMG](#) colleagues’, including in the ‘health services’, of the KPMG partners (associating themselves with [their ‘brothers’ \(Persecution # 6\)](#)), of my doctor, of my acquaintance in East London (*) : it supports the findings of [the Stanley Milgram’s ‘obedience experiments’](#)...

...- and in particular, the conclusion, 50 years later, that:

“people follow leaders because they see them as representative of an identity that they share;

they don’t inflict harm because they are unaware of doing wrong but because they believe what they are doing is right”

From: “[Stanley Milgram taught us we have more to fear from zealots than zombies](#)”, The Guardian, 1 Sep 11

(*) To which I add ALL the other parties involved in one way or another in my case [since 2001](#) – bar the 3 exceptions listed under [Overview # 7](#)

MY OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF ALL OF THEM: THOROUGHLY EVIL MONSTERS.