

## ORIGINAL EMAILS

Please treat this as Confidential.

From: Hughes, Ceri Sent: Wed 25/04/2007 15:28  
To: Bassett, Peter R; Rawé, Noëlle  
Cc:  
Subject: RE: Confidential

Noelle - I think that this is a good opportunity to remind Jane that you aren't able to monitor dot.com anymore and that she needs to resource that activity. Other than webex.com, I am not immediately aware of other sites that you need to access. Perhaps you can have a think and let me know if there are.

Thanks, Ceri

---

**From:** Bassett, Peter R  
**Sent:** 25 April 2007 13:52  
**To:** Rawé, Noëlle; Hughes, Ceri  
**Subject:** RE: Confidential  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Thanks, Noelle.

---

**From:** Rawé, Noëlle  
**Sent:** 25 April 2007 13:45  
**To:** Bassett, Peter R; Hughes, Ceri  
**Subject:** FW: Confidential  
**Importance:** High  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Peter

As just discussed, please find attached a signed copy of the below letter you sent me yesterday. I can also give you the hard copy for my file.

<< File: 07.04.25\_Noelle\_Rawe\_Internet\_access.pdf >>  
Ceri, in relation to my lack of access to the Internet sites detailed below, or other sites, Peter said that you would need to put forward a business case. and that he was the contact for this.

(NB: if the linked documents don't open, try with:



From: [Hughes, Ceri](#)  
Sent: **25 April 2007 15:28**  
To: [Bassett, Peter R](#); [Rawé, Noëlle](#)  
Subject: RE: Confidential  
Sensitivity: Confidential

Noelle - I think that **this is a good opportunity to remind Jane that you aren't able to monitor dot.com anymore** and that she needs to resource that activity.

(NB: Preceding event: see my [25.04.07-13h45](#) email (with my Comments) to Peter Bassett, partner, and Ceri Hughes, my line manager)

Hughes **must have been getting a high dose of sadistic kicks when she wrote this.**

**But then, Hughes was always ready to assist KPMG in anyway she could. Other example: 'her' 14.12.07 highly threatening letter to me.**

**TRANSLATION:** *"a good opportunity to remind Jane [colleague] that you are...*

**...a pariah who is no longer allowed to deal with the kpmg.com enquiries...**

**...IN SPITE of the fact that:**

- *"There are a lot of people who say they that they know a lot about our range of services, but they don't.*

Because of your experience in FAS [1], and then working in RAS [2], you are one of the few people who has that overview of all the service lines” – Ceri Hughes, lines 370-372 during my so-called ‘performance appraisal’ meeting on 09.10.07 (I secretly recorded – recording under section 8.1 KPMG page).

- The work entailed principally my determining the most appropriate KPMG person/s to field the external enquiries to (thereby making good use of my knowledge); often providing them with information to help them address them; ensuring that they were followed up promptly.

But, I liked dealing with the enquiries, so: ‘a good reason to have me taken off!’

Note that, in spite of playing an active part in my being cut off from the internet, and of being copied on the various emails (section 4 KPMG pg), 5 MONTHS LATER, in her 03.09.07-09h50 email to me, Hughes wrote:

**“I think that you can assume that KPMG's internet site is not a forbidden area.”**

(= when it suited her needs, I was ‘deemed’ to be ‘allowed’ to have access!)

(1) - FAS = Financial Advisory Services

(2) – RAS = Risk Advisory Services

Other than webex.com, I am not immediately aware of other sites that you need to access.

**“Other than Webex.com” -**

As I reported under e.g. Header 4.7 of my 17.01.08 Grievance (section 11 KPMG pg), by Sep 07 KPMG HAD ALSO CUT OFF my access to the WEBEX.COM site – as can be from 26.10.07 and 21.11.07 printscreens, when I tried to access the site for an internal conference call - that returned the following message:

**“WEBSense: Your organization's internet use policy restricts access to this page at this time”**

During my so-called ‘performance appraisal’ on 09.10.07, Ceri Hughes who criticised me for “not developing contacts” while she had, in fact, been cutting me off from activities – stressed the importance of “[my being] on the Sharepoint calls”: lines 548-553 – i.e. the type of conference calls to which they cut off my access.

**= THEY WERE CONTINUING TO HAVE THEIR FUN**

**= THEY (of course) WERE CONTINUING WITH THEIR CRIMINAL PSYCHOLOGICAL REGIME (extracts from a Canadian website)**

Perhaps you can have a think and let me know if there are.

Thanks, Ceri

---

From: [Bassett, Peter R](#)  
Sent: 25 April 2007 13:52  
To: [Rawé, Noëlle](#); [Hughes, Ceri](#)  
Subject: RE: Confidential  
Sensitivity: Confidential

Thanks, Noelle.

---

**From:** [Rawé, Noëlle](#)  
**Sent:** [25 April 2007 13:45](#) [[copy with my Comments](#)]  
**To:** [Bassett, Peter R; Hughes, Ceri](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Confidential  
**Importance:** High  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Peter

As just discussed, please find attached a signed copy of the below letter you sent me yesterday. I can also give you the hard copy for my file.

<< File: **07.04.25\_Noelle\_Rawe\_Internet\_access.pdf** >>

Ceri, in relation to my lack of access to the Internet sites detailed below, or other sites, Peter said that you would need to put forward a business case, and that he was the contact for this.

Kind regards  
Noëlle Rawé