

ORIGINAL EMAIL

Follow up

From: Sales Support [sales.support@nhhs.uk.com] Sent: Thu 05/04/2007 15:25

To:

Cc:

Subject: KPMG's Health & Wellbeing Assessment Invitation with Nuffield Proactive Health

nuffield
proactive health

www.nuffieldproactivehealth.com

Dear Sir / Madam,

As part of the KPMG employee benefits package you are entitled to attend a health and lifestyle assessment with Nuffield Proactive Health. This market leading assessment is an ideal way to assess your current lifestyle and health, with the focus on creating an individual behavioural change plan from the outcome of the assessment. This is entirely voluntary and completely confidential.

Prior to your health assessment you will be sent a detailed, confidential questionnaire. This covers full medical, social, domestic and work history. All health assessments are carried out by qualified screening doctors and a highly trained health and wellbeing physiologist.

Following the assessment you will receive a personal medical report that contains a full review of all the clinical findings, test results, conclusions and recommendations on how best improve and maintain your health.

Should you wish to use this benefit please call our central bookings hotline on 08452 302040 or reply to this email with a contact number to arrange an appointment at one of our nationwide centres. If, however, you have already arranged your assessment, please disregard this message.

If you have any questions relating to this KPMG employee benefit please contact Catherine Bailey, Occupational Health and Rehabilitation Manager, KPMG Human Resources by email at Catherine.bailey@ <http://uknow.uk>

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

The Bookings Team
Nuffield Proactive Health

(NB: if the linked documents don't open - try with:



From: Sales Support [sales.support@nhhs.uk.com]

Sent: 05 April 2007 15:25

Subject: KPMG's Health & Wellbeing Assessment Invitation with Nuffield Proactive Health

The text I highlighted:

- "As part of the KPMG employee benefits package entitled to attend a health and lifestyle assessment with Nuffield Proactive Health".

In my 10 years at KPMG, I had NEVER been contacted by this organisation. (The 'health services' KPMG kept throwing at me between Feb – May 07 are discussed under section 5 KPMG page)

WHY NOW?

- Because by then KPMG had been subjecting me to 5 DAYS of criminal psychological harassment – by cutting me off from ALL the internal sites, as well as the Internet e.g. my 05.04.07 email to Jeanette Dunworth, HR – which, by then, was my 4th EMAIL to her since 30th March (section 3.4 KPMG page), highlighting my plight and asking for the situation to be

addressed.

I discuss this under **section 4** [KPMG page](#).

I reported it under:

- o **header 4.2** of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance (**section 11** KPMG pg);
- o **Paras 9 & 10** of my [03.04.08](#) Claim against KPMG;
- o **Lines 87-129** of my [05.08.08](#) letter to ACAS (**section 16** [KPMG pg](#)).

NOTE that under **para.12(2)** of its [PACK OF LIES Defence](#) to my Claim (**sections 6(4) and 14** KPMG pg), KPMG *denied* that it had caused me distress.

- 'By coincidence', [2 hours earlier](#), when talking to a friend on the phone, **I had broken in tears** as I related some of the horrendous treatment I was being made to endure by various parties [since 2002](#)

I reported this event under **Header 9.2** of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance stating that [KPMG](#) was monitoring my telephone conversations.

The evil, sadistic, perverse monsters were getting their kicks from seeing me sobbing uncontrollably at my desk from 2 Apr 07 onwards, for the best part of April: **header 4.4** of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance; **section 4.1** [KPMG pg](#)...

...and, on the other hand, sending me "invitations" for "Health & Wellbeing assessment", etc. (summary in the [08.05.07](#) email from BUPA Wellness at KPMG). **HOW SICK IS THAT?**

And, desperate to CONTINUE getting their sadistic kicks, they FAILED to take action...until 23 Apr 07 when, [having made me sign a letter](#) 'agreeing' to be barred from accessing the internet (**section 4.2** [KPMG pg](#)), they finally restored my access: [24.04.07-9h10](#) email from Peter Bassett, partner:

"As I mentioned to you yesterday, it has been agreed that while IT cannot put in place the necessary arrangements, your intranet / internet access will be restored, subject to your signing a letter in which you undertake not to go outside the permitted sites"

"while IT cannot put in place the necessary arrangements" = **A COVER UP** that would 'support' KPMG's claim that it had been trying 'ever so hard' to resolve 'the issue'. (It was followed by a [01.05.07-10h00](#) email from IT that had the same objective)...

...as [KPMG had DELIBERATELY CUT ME OFF FROM THE NETWORK FOR 24 DAYS](#) ([23.04.07-11h34](#) email from IT).

The **23 Apr 07** letter issued 'by' [Jeanette Dunworth, HR \(= Peter Bassett\)](#) that Bassett asked me to sign (I returned with my [25.04.07-13h45](#) email) – states:

"...following a meeting attended by you, Jeanette Dunworth and me on 30 March 2007, you were informed that your internet and intranet access would be restricted."

NO! On 30 Mar 07 (**section 3.4** [KPMG pg](#)) Jeanette Dunworth, HR, **mentioned ONLY the internet** - as I reported: (1)- in my [09.07.07](#) Subject Access Request (**section 7** [KPMG pg](#)); (2)- under **lines 134-135** of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance:

"Because of [Andrew David] Ladsky [FALSE, MALICIOUS] communication [to KPMG against me] claiming that "your website contains anti-Semitic comments" it has been

decided that, “to protect you and KPMG, it would be best you no longer have access to the internet”

WHY restrict my access to the intranet i.e. the internal sites? (as I stated under lines 233-236 of my Grievance).

To claim that this is what I was told would prove that KPMG had been intent on stopping me from doing my work...which is exactly what **‘The Best Company to Work for’ DID** over a 24-DAY period! **A FACT** it ADMITTED in [the letter](#).

“Since then you have been unable to access the external internet or the global intranet”; [= the internal sites]

“in order to enable you to carry out your day to day work” ;

“decided to reinstate your access solely for the purposes of allowing you to do your job”

...providing an **UNDENIABLE ADMISSION** that **KPMG HAD DELIBERATELY PREVENTED ME FROM DOING MY WORK.**

I also highlight the fact that the **ban** on my usage of the internet **extended to the KPMG websites**: I was taken off from dealing with enquiries: my **24.04.07-09h34** email to Bassett and Ceri Hughes, my line manager; **25.04.07-15h28** reply from Hughes – **even though the role limited my contacts to within KPMG.**

■ **“This is...completely confidential”**

As “confidential” as KPMG / on its behalf, its ‘brothers’: [Andrew David Ladsky](#) / others in the [Jewish-Freemason ‘Brotherhood’ \(Persecution # 6\)](#) **approaching my private doctor** (of 37 years!) (who is Jewish, [‘like Ladsky’](#)) to:

(1) - determine **what evidence I had** in support of my claims in my [03.04.08 Employment Tribunal Claim](#) against KPMG, in particular: getting me to ask me **whether “[I had] recorded my conversations at KPMG”** (**section 13 KPMG pg**)

(Needless to say that I did not tell him e.g. my secret recording of my so-called ‘performance appraisal’ meeting of [09.10.07](#) and [24.10.07](#)) (**sections 8.1 and 9.1 KPMG pg**) and

(2) - getting my doctor to lie that I had not seen him 1 year previously - when he **had prescribed me an anti-depressant and tranquilisers** (my [10.04.08](#) letter to him), and

(3) - use his lie as an excuse to *not* give me a referral to a psychiatrist – for the purpose of ‘mitigating my loss’ in relation to my [03.04.08](#) Claim? (**section 13 KPMG pg**)

Events detailed in e.g. my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#), **line 94 to my Comments after line 129.**

The motive behind doing this? So that KPMG could then ‘safely’ deny my claims:

- its [22.05.08](#) rejection (includes my Comments) of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance (**section 11 KPMG pg**);
- its [PACK OF LIES Defence](#) (includes my Comments) in which it demanded that my [03.04.08](#)

Claim be “*struck out*” (section 14 [KPMG pg](#)).

Er...YES: that’s the KPMG that summarised its ‘Values’ as: “[Above all, we act with integrity](#)”!...and was voted ‘[The Best Company to Work for](#)’.

- **“you will be sent a detailed, confidential questionnaire.”**

Ditto re. “*confidential*”

- **“This covers full medical, social, domestic and work history.”**

TRANSLATION: so that [KPMG](#) et.al. in ‘the Brotherhood’ ([Persecution # 6](#)) can find things they can use against you in the pursuit of their ultimate objective: to get rid of you once and for all. (section 5.2 [KPMG pg](#))

- **“All health assessments are carried out by qualified screening doctors and a highly trained health and wellbeing physiologist”**

Of course! Let’s not forget “*the physiologist*”...that will turn out to be a so-called ‘psychologist’ on whose ‘assessment’ great reliance would be placed:

section 5 [KPMG pg](#); my Comments in my [14.02.07](#) Draft Notes of the 13 Feb meeting with Bassett and Dunworth - referring to Kay Sheldon, Care Quality Commission).

- **“Occupational Health and Rehabilitation Manager”**

Sounds like e.g. China reported in the British media to be sending ‘dissidents’ to “*rehabilitation centres*” = lock up ‘inconvenient’ people who ‘dare’ rock the boat.

Behind its hypocritical condemnation: **this is exactly what this island-Kingdom does as well** e.g. [Media page](#): ‘Use of blacklists, persecution of whistleblowers, and secret prisoners’.

REMEMBER the ROOT CAUSE for what took place / failed to take place:

A thoroughly evil, cruel, greed-ridden, vampiric, sadistic, Rachman (*) crook, [Andrew David Ladsky](#) – deciding he was ‘entitled’ [to make a multi-million £ jackpot](#) - through [extortion](#), [persecution](#), etc. - at my expense (and that of my fellow leaseholders)...

...to which everyone in that army of henchmen – including [KPMG](#)

([Case summary](#); [Résumé de mon cas](#)) – said:

Yes, of course! O’ Great One!



(*) Dictionary definition: “*Rachmanism: The exploitation and intimidation of tenants by unscrupulous landlords; 1960’s after the notorious landlord [Peter Rachman](#)*”

My view of the conduct of 'my [KPMG](#) colleagues', including in the 'health services', of the KPMG partners (associating themselves with [their 'brothers' \(Persecution # 6\)](#)), of my doctor (below) (*): it supports the findings of [the Stanley Milgram's 'obedience experiments'](#)...

...- and in particular, the conclusion, 50 years later, that:

"people follow leaders because they see them as representative of an identity that they share;

they don't inflict harm because they are unaware of doing wrong but because they believe what they are doing is right"

From: "[Stanley Milgram taught us we have more to fear from zealots than zombies](#)", The Guardian, 1 Sep 11

(*) To which I add ALL the other parties involved in one way or another in my case since 2001 – bar the 3 exceptions listed under [Overview # 7](#)