

## ORIGINAL EMAIL

From: Rawé, Noëlle  
To: Bassett, Peter R; Dunworth, Jeanette  
Cc: Hughes, Ceri  
Subject: 07.02.20\_2Peter\_Bassett\_Jeanette\_Dunworth\_cc\_Ceri\_Hughes\_Notes of 13 February 2007 meeting  
Attachments:  07 02 14\_DRAFT\_13Feb\_meetg\_Peter\_Bassett\_Jeanette\_Dunworth.doc

Sent: Tue 20/02/2007 11:43

Dear Peter and Jeanette

Peter, although you have told me that there would not be formal notes of the meeting that took place on 13 February, I have nonetheless compiled my own draft notes. Please, see attached.

I would appreciate your review of these notes, and your sharing your own notes of the meeting with me.

I am copying Ceri Hughes as, being my Line Manager, I felt that she should be informed of this meeting. (I met with Ceri yesterday to discuss)

Kind regards  
Noëlle Rawé

Can't open linked documents? Try with:



From: [Rawé, Noëlle](#)  
Sent: 20 February 2007 11:43  
To: [Bassett, Peter R; Dunworth, Jeanette](#)  
Cc: [Hughes, Ceri](#)  
Subject: 07.02.20\_2Peter\_Bassett\_Jeanette\_Dunworth\_cc\_Ceri\_Hughes\_Notes of 13 February 2007 meeting

Dear Peter and Jeanette

Peter, although you have told me that there would not be formal notes of the meeting that took place on 13 February, I have nonetheless compiled my own draft notes. Please, see [attached](#).

I would appreciate your review of these notes, and your sharing your own notes of the meeting with me.

I am copying Ceri Hughes as, being my Line Manager, I felt that she should be informed of this meeting. (I met with Ceri yesterday to discuss)

Kind regards  
Noëlle Rawé

### WHY did [Peter Bassett](#) not want to have a record of this meeting?

Because he et.al. had a VERY SINISTER plan in store for me ([section 5.2 KPMG page](#)).

Note that, in 'his' [07.03.07](#) email (yes, it took 'him' more than 2 weeks to respond), Bassett wrote "*I do not believe these [notes] to be an accurate account of what was discussed*".

In fact, he repeated my main points in his email (as I discuss: (1)- in my Comments on 'his' email; (2)- sections 3.2 and 3.3 [KPMG page](#); lines 82-86 of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance (section 11)).

= My producing these Draft Notes put a spanner in the works of the cabal.

(Bassett and Dunworth were not the only ones concerned about being quoted: ditto about one of his main accomplices, my line manager, Ceri Hughes: my [17.01.08](#) Grievance, lines 504 and 505; section 8 [KPMG page](#)).

In fact, as discussed under [section 13 KPMG page](#), KPMG was so concerned about my having damning evidence against it, that it / on its behalf, its 'brothers': [Andrew David Ladsky](#)

/ others in the [Jewish-Freemason 'Brotherhood' \(Persecution # 6\)](#)...

..approached, in early 2008, my (then) private doctor (who is Jewish, 'like' [Ladsky](#)), to get him to ask me whether "[I had] *recorded my conversations at KPMG*" – as I reported e.g. in my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#), line 103 up to my Comments after line 129 (\*)

**The motive behind doing this? In case I took legal action - so that it could then 'safely' deny my claims:**

(1)- its [22.05.08](#) rejection of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance (section 11);

(2)- its [PACK OF LIES Defence](#) to my [03.04.08](#) Claim, it determined should be "*struck out*" (section 14).

Er...YES: That's the KPMG that summarised its '[Values](#)' as "[Above all we act with integrity](#)"!

(\*) I HAD recorded conversations:

- on 9 Oct 07, part 1 of my so-called 'performance appraisal', with Ceri Hughes: [09.10.07](#) transcript;
- on 24 Oct 07, part 2 with Peter Bassett and Kathy Woodhouse, HR: [24.10.07](#) transcript.

The recordings are respectively under **sections 8.1 and 9.1** [KPMG page](#).

(I only revealed this evidence in 2015, at the time of launching the KPMG page).