


ORIGINAL EMAIL

From: [REDACTED] **Sent from my personal email address** Sent: Sun 18/02/2007 20:58
To: "Ceri.Hughes@KPMG" [REDACTED]
Cc: Rawé, Noëlle
Subject: 07.02.18_2Ceri_Draft_13Feb_meeting_with_Peter_and_HR
Attachments:  07.02.14_DRAFT_Events_Peter_Bassett_HR.doc

Hello Ceri

As promised on Friday, I have now finished drafting what took place with Peter and HR. Please, see attached

As I state in the document, I wish to have this recorded in case there is some follow-up, as the meeting on Tuesday would then be referred to.

Once you've had a chance to look at it, I would appreciate getting some time with you to discuss. I have not yet told Peter that I would be drafting some meeting notes.

Needless to say that, I of course understand why KPMG does not want to be linked with my website in anyway - and I'll respect that.

Kind regards
Noëlle

From: [Rawé, Noëlle]
Sent: 18 February 2007 20:58
To: Ceri. Hughes @KPMG[]
Cc:
Subject: 07.02.18_2Ceri_Draft_13Feb_meeting_with_Peter_and_HR [Header from my subsequently sorting out my files]

Hello Ceri

As promised [on Friday](#), I have now finished drafting what took place with Peter and HR. Please, see [attached](#)

(NB: if the linked documents don't open, try with:



As I state in the document, **I wish to have this recorded in case there is some follow-up**, as the meeting on Tuesday would then be referred to.

Once you've had a chance to look at it, I would appreciate getting some time with you to discuss. **I have not yet told Peter that I would be drafting some meeting notes.**

Note that following my sending my Notes to **Peter Bassett, partner**, in a **20.02.07** email – more than 2 weeks later – in 'his' **07.03.07** email, he FALSELY claimed "***I do not believe these [notes] to be an accurate account of what was discussed***"

As I reported in my **17.01.08** Grievance, **lines 82-86**, comparing **my Draft Notes** with his email, **it can be seen that I captured his points.**

REALITY: my doing this had thrown a spanner in the works of the cabal. Events discussed under **sections 3.2 and 3.3** [KPMG page](#).

Needless to say that, I of course understand why KPMG does not want to be linked with [my website](#) in anyway - and I'll respect that.

In reality: my website demonstrates that I was (and still am) acting as per [KPMG's 'Values' and Code of Conduct](#).

Kind regards, Noëlle