

(NB: My additions relative to the original document: increased the size of the text and line spacing; added line numbering; highlighted some of the text – and of course, the boxed comments are also subsequent additions).

I first sent these Draft Notes to my line manager, [Ceri Hughes](#), with my [18.02.07](#) email.

I then sent them attached to a [20.02.07](#) email to **Peter Bassett, partner, and Jeanette Dunworth, HR** – cc'd Ceri Hughes.

The meeting is discussed under **sections 3.1 to 3.3** on [the KPMG page](#).

Can't open linked documents? Try with:



1 Introduction

2 I have compiled the following because, on Wednesday [14 February](#), I asked [Peter](#)
3 [Bassett](#) from whom I should expect getting a note of the meeting held on [13 February](#) as,
4 while I have no experience of the process, what took place leads me to conclude that it is
5 a formal warning.

6 [Peter](#) replied that I would not be getting a note, as it was not a formal warning. That, as he
7 had said in the meeting, if I continued, then the next time would be.

"if I continued, the next time would be a formal warning" Clearly, *"a next time"* would have to refer to the 'previous time' i.e. this meeting. As there would be no notes of it, it would leave the door wide open to make all kinds of accusations at the 'next meeting'.

(However, it was a temporary setback. Indeed, in collusion with [Andrew David Ladsky](#) and [the Kensington police mafia \(section # 3\)](#), [Peter Bassett](#) came up with 'a solution' to 'justify' 'the next meeting' – on 30 Mar 07 ([section 3.4](#)) that resulted in my being cut me off from ALL the internal and external websites for 24 DAYS ([section 4](#))).

WHY did Peter Bassett not want to have a record of this meeting?

Because he et.al. at [KPMG](#) and in the [Jewish-Freemason Brotherhood \(Persecution # 6\)](#) had a VERY SINISTER plan in store for me (see my Comments below).

Note that, in 'his' [07.03.07](#) email (yes, it took 'him' nearly 3 weeks to respond from the time I sent my Draft Notes with my [20.02.07](#) email), **Bassett** wrote *"I do not believe these [notes] to be an accurate account of what was discussed"*.

In fact, **he repeated my main points in 'his' email** (as I discuss: (1)- in my Comments on 'his' email; (2)- **sections 3.2 and 3.3** [KPMG page](#); **lines 82-86** of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance ([section 11](#))).

= My producing these Draft Notes put a spanner in the works of the cabal.

(Bassett and Dunworth were not the only ones concerned about being quoted: ditto about another one of his main accomplices, my line manager, Ceri Hughes: my [17.01.08](#) Grievance, **lines 504 and 505**; [section 8 KPMG page](#)).

In fact, as discussed under [section 13](#), [KPMG](#) was so concerned about my having damning evidence against it, that it / on its behalf, its 'brothers': [Andrew David Ladsky](#) / others in the [Jewish-Freemason 'Brotherhood' \(Persecution # 6\)](#)...

..approached my (then) private doctor (who is Jewish, 'like Ladsky'), to get him to ask me (in vain) whether "[I had] recorded my conversations at KPMG" – as I reported e.g. in my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#), [line 103 up to my Comments after line 129](#). (*)

Oh! And 'mysteriously', 'my' doctor could not find *any* record of my seeing him one year earlier, in Apr 07, when he prescribed me an anti-depressant and tranquilisers ([section 4.2](#)): my [10.04.08](#) letter to him (also in my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#)).

'It meant' that he could *not* give me a referral to a psychiatrist – for the purpose of 'mitigating my loss' in relation to my [03.04.08](#) Claim against KPMG).

The motive behind doing this? So that KPMG could then 'safely' deny my claims: (1)- its [22.05.08](#) rejection of my [17.01.08](#) Grievance ([section 11](#)); (2)- its [PACK OF LIES Defence](#) (includes my Comments) to my [03.04.08](#) Claim – it demanded be "*struck out*".

Er...YES: That's the KPMG that summarised its '[Values](#)' as "[Above all, we act with integrity](#)"!

(*) I HAD recorded conversations:

- on 9 Oct 07, part 1 of my so-called 'performance appraisal', with Ceri Hughes: [09.10.07](#) transcript;
- on 24 Oct 07, part 2 with Peter Bassett and Kathy Woodhouse, HR: [24.10.07](#) transcript.

The recordings are respectively under **sections 8.1 and 9.1** [KPMG page](#).

(I only revealed this evidence in 2015, at the time of launching the KPMG page).

8 Given that if such meeting were to take place, the meeting on 13 February would be
9 referred to, [I wish the events to be recorded](#).

10 The following is from memory. Hence, the words may not always be exact, and the
11 sequence of what was said, not quite in the right order. It is a reduced version as [the](#)
12 [meeting lasted about 45 minutes](#).

13 The document is at the draft stage, for the purpose of review by Peter and Jeanette.

14 **Monday 12 February 2007**

15 Around lunchtime Peter asks me if I am going to be around tomorrow for a half-hour
16 "[catch-up meeting](#)"

17 I reply yes and, while I immediately know that it is connected with my website,
18 [www.leasehold-outrage.com](#), ask what I need to prepare. "[Nothing](#)" is the reply

19 After 10 minutes I go to Peter's office, saying "[I can't stand the suspense. Can you please](#)
20 [tell me what you want to discuss tomorrow](#)". Reply: "[It's just a catch-up meeting](#)"

21 **Tuesday 13 February 2007**

22 At c. 11h30 Peter comes to get me from my desk. I ask what I should bring with me, such
23 as notepad to which he replies "[if you want to write things done](#)". I respond "[I don't know if](#)
24 [you have something to say that I should write down](#)"

25 I expect that Peter wants to talk to me in his office. But, as I start moving from my desk, he
26 tells me that the meeting is taking place on the 5th floor. To this I reply: *“that sounds
27 serious!”*

28 As we are about to reach the 5th floor in the lift, Peter tells me that he has asked
29 somebody from HR to attend the meeting.

30 The [HR person, Jeanette Dunworth](#), is already in the room when we arrive. I have not met
31 her before. I am told she has replaced the previous person, Alison Woods, with whom I
32 met on 8 August 2006.

33 Peter starts off by saying the reason for the meeting is that it has come to light that I have:

- 34 • *“Been using KPMG equipment for my personal use such as, for example, an email on
35 27 December”*
- 36 • That I am *“also using it for looking at my personal website”*. That, on one day, I *“looked
37 at it thirty times”*
- 38 • *“There must not be a connection between your website and KPMG. The KPMG logo
39 and name are captured at the bottom of emails. Likewise, when looking at your
40 website there will be a record that you are doing this from KPMG equipment”*
- 41 • *“It has also been noted that you stay in the office very late, up to the early hours of the
42 morning”*
- 43 • *“If you continue using KPMG equipment, next time you will receive a formal warning”*

44 These comments, added to the manner in which this meeting has been set-up, leads me
45 to say: *“I am not [the criminal](#), [I am the victim](#). I have done nothing wrong. I have nothing to
46 reproach myself”*

47 Peter acknowledges what I say; that it is understood. He raises KPMG’s offer of help
48 when he suggested I meet with Alison Woods, and asks me what I have done about it. I
49 reply that I did meet with Alison Woods (on 8 August 2006, and informed Peter of this),
50 had explained my situation and could not see how KPMG could help – given [the
51 circumstances of my case](#) – and my experience [with lawyers and the courts](#).

Note that it was Peter Bassett who pushed me to meet with Alison Woods, HR.

I did not want to, because I knew that it would be a complete waste of time, and the only thing that it would do is upset me: below, **lines 73-77**.

52 I relate my first-hand experience with the Well Being service when the person had totally
53 misunderstood my situation. Peter says *“that’s history”*. And Jeanette adds that there has
54 been a change of staff.

Their pushing me to see somebody from Well Being (which they kept doing e.g. [17.05.07 to 22.05.07](#) emails from Well Being, while throwing in others at me as I was not biting on the hooks e.g. my summary in the [08.05.07-13h29](#) email from BUPA Wellness at KPMG) (section 5 [KPMG page](#))...

...had a VERY SINISTER ULTERIOR MOTIVE:

it was a KEY part of the overall plan – typical of the English Establishment ([book ref.](#)) ([Persecution # 6](#)) for dealing with ‘inconvenient’ ‘little people’ like me, [Proles](#) who ‘dare’

rock the boat: declaring them as e.g. “a mental health risk”, “suffering from a mental health problem” – [section 5.2 KPMG page](#) (and [Overview # 13](#)) ,...

...that contains the example of [Kay Sheldon](#), member of the [Care Quality Commission](#) board, who was victimized and smeared for reporting cover-ups and incompetence by her department. [Private Eye](#) reported, in its issue # 1364, **18 Apr-1 May 14** (pg 11):

"[Finding] *the atmosphere unpleasant to work in, she asked to speak to somebody who understood her situation, but was instead referred by Jo Williams, [then CQC chair] without her knowledge or consent, to Medigold, a private occupational health company.*" (NB!!!)

"During a 10-minute conversation with **Dr Mike Goldsmith**, executive chair of that company, she said that there had been some crossed wires and did not need to see a doctor. They did not discuss any details about her health."

"On the same day, Goldsmith [who is "not a psychiatrist"] wrote a letter to Williams: "My clinical view, based on a 20-minute telephone call, is that this lady is suffering from a mental health problem, which is likely to be one of the conditions that involve paranoia. The most common of which is [paranoia schizophrenia](#)..."

(NB: Note, below, [Ladsky](#) describing me as suffering from “clinical paranoia”)

(Among other) "**Goldsmith** offered to speak to the chief medical officer about her; stated in an email that [he would be involved in her case "behind the scene"](#)."

"Sheldon remains a vital member of the CQC board... all [of] **Sheldon's allegations were proved correct.**"

And, concurrently, they do their utmost to justify ‘their assessment’. As I wrote in my [17.01.08](#) Grievance , under **Header 8**:

["As to the offers of help and assertions of being “concerned” about me, considering the treatment I have been made to endure since February 2007, I have come to view them as KPMG ‘beating me up’ on the one hand, and offering to attend to my wounds on the other.](#)

[Furthermore, as having the ulterior motive of finding something to use against me”](#)

(The British Establishment – and as a consequence, its hangers-on and cronies, as well as henchmen and flunkies - make very extensive use of [Criminal Psychological Harassment tactics \(extracts from a website\)](#) – as can be seen by [my experience \(Persecution\)](#))

Even if, in spite of their endless [criminal psychological harassment](#) (as [happened to me from then on](#) at [KPMG](#)), they cannot manage to achieve their objective it, ‘of course’, does not stop them from portraying you as such e.g.

my line manager, [Ceri Hughes](#), telling me, on 17 July 07 that “[I am] **emotionally unstable**”, which she then changed to “**emotionally fragile**” (my [17.01.08](#) Grievance, **lines 1069-1070** ; [section 5.2](#)).

[Hughes](#) repeated this again on 28 Aug 07: “**You are emotionally fragile**”. Not ‘liking’ my reply, hers was: “**I say that and now you are going into a rant**” (my

[17.01.08](#) Grievance, **lines 1076-1079** ; **section 5.2**)

“A rant” = inability to control emotions = another psychological implication. (NB: See other example, below, re. Mr Moore)

NOTE that [KPMG](#) was also joining the dots with its ‘[brothers](#)’ ([Persecution # 6](#)):

(1)- [Andrew David Ladsky](#) who: **(1)**- in a phone call to KPMG, **on 9 Feb 07**, described me as “*clinically unwell*”; **(2)**- in his [26.03.07](#) letter to KPMG, as “*undoubtedly displaying clinical paranoia*” (= Ladsky was betting on the Establishment’s typical tactic...and reminded it of it!)

(OF NOTE): At the 13 Feb 07 meeting – which was 4 days after [Ladsky](#)’s call – [Bassett](#) **did NOT tell me that Ladsky had approached [KPMG](#)**.

In fact, as I discovered subsequently, Ladsky had allegedly started doing that from Oct 06 (= within 3 weeks of the initial launch of [my website](#)) **para.6 of [KPMG’s PACK OF LIES Defence](#)**. As I reported in:

(1)- my [05.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#), **lines 25-31**:

“*This had never been communicated to me. The first time I was told he had approached KPMG was on 30 March 2007 i.e. 5 months after the said initial contact - and it was positioned as being very recent. Furthermore, I was only told about one of his (false) accusations (that “[my] website contains anti-Semitic comments”)*”; (Bassett and Dunworth did NOT show me his letter)

(2)- my [17.08.08](#) letter to [ACAS](#), **lines 14-16** - in its **[PACK OF LIES Defence](#)**, KPMG claimed that: “*it could not ignore these allegations*”...

...– YET, even post my [03.04.08](#) Claim, it was refusing to tell me what these ‘serious allegations’ were: my [01.07.08](#) Subject Access Request, and its [31.07.08](#) ‘reply’: “*I am satisfied that you have been provided with the correct material. I enclose a second copy for completeness*”).

(2)- [the Kensington, Chelsea and Notting Hill police mafia](#) which, in its so-called “[2007 crime report](#)”, it processed against me in **Mar 07** - following a so-called “complaint” against me by Ladsky - describes me as “*suffering from mental issues*” – and was ‘ever so concerned about me’ that it “*contacted social services*” ([Overview # 13](#))

(= In the same way that [KPMG](#) was joining the dots with the Establishment in relation to [Mr Paul Moore](#), an ex. KPMG Partner, who was then head of regulatory risk at the bank, [HBOS](#), who claimed to have been dismissed “*personally*” by the then CEO, Sir James Crosby, after he warned about HBOS “*potentially dangerous sales culture*”.

The media reported that KPMG had found “*no substance*” in Mr Moore’s claims. (The UK taxpayer then paid £17bn to bail the bank out!).

Media articles also stated that, in its report, KPMG had written that “*Mr Moore was described as “prickly” and “ranting” [*] by members of the HBOS audit committee, as well as “emotional” (*)*

(More detail in [My Diary 2008, Whistleblowers # 4](#) – about ¾ down the page)

(*) Yep! Definitely a standard staple of the repertory.

The moral depravation of ALL of these people is BEYOND WORDS!

55 I nonetheless continue talking about it. I explain that my reason for contacting it was
56 because [I was being followed](#) on what appeared to be a daily basis, and hold the view –
57 as a result of my first-hand experience - that [the local police](#) is protecting [the landlord](#) in
58 my block.

59 Hence, the purpose of my call was **to determine whether [KPMG](#) could somehow influence**
60 **the situation** - given that, for example, it is a member of the initiative under which
61 employees can apparently get assistance if they are suffering domestic violence. (This
62 initiative was widely promoted in KPMG a while ago). The reply from Well Being was “no”.

63 I explain the impact on my life of knowing that, very clearly, I cannot rely on [the police](#) for
64 protection. This includes sleeping all dressed up, with a long kitchen knife next to me in
65 the bed, my rucksack by my pillow, coat on the bed – hence ready to defend myself and
66 storm out if I am attacked during the night. (**Saying that brings on the tears which I cannot**
67 **control**). I ‘think’ I also stated that this was in addition to the anguish of not knowing what
68 else would happen during the night, such as a [water leak](#), as happened, for example, yet
69 again last week.

70 Given the situation, **the reason for my staying late in the office is because I dread going to**
71 **back to the ‘hell hole’ i.e. the flat.** I add, **as it is an issue, I am going to have to start**
72 **looking for a room somewhere.**

73 I state that I am not looking for sympathy i.e. somebody to talk to. I find talking about it
74 very upsetting, as I do now. When I met with [Alison Woods](#) last August, I kept on clinching
75 my fists to stop myself from crying. I was so upset and drained that, that night, I had to
76 book myself in a hotel to try to ‘put myself back together’. (The only option open to me for
77 being in a safe environment).

78 I add that, consequently, I want meetings that result in taking actions – moving me
79 forward. Peter acknowledges this.

80 At about this stage, I once again repeat: **“[I am not the criminal, I am the victim. I have](#)**
81 **[done nothing wrong](#)”**

82 I then explain [the basis of my case](#) i.e. that it all stems from the fact that, in July 2002,
83 when I was presented with a [£14,400 service charge demand](#), I ‘dared’ to ask the
84 question “*what are you going to spend it on*” as there was no supporting evidence.
85 Consequently, that I consider this to be a perfectly legitimate question to ask.

86 Being led to believe that I had [statutory rights](#), which I now realise are just meaningless
87 pieces of paper, led me to pursue an answer to the question. That, when I finally admitted
88 to myself that the system was against me, instead of being there to help me, [I accepted](#)
89 [the offer of £6,350](#) i.e. paid it even though, legally, I did NOT owe any of it. The payment
90 was [endorsed by the court](#).

91 Due to what can only be described as an act of vengeance for my challenging the service
92 charge demand, three months later I received [an invoice for £14,500](#) – with no
93 explanation. I did not acknowledge it. It was followed by another invoice three weeks later.

94 This time, [for £15,500](#) – likewise with no explanation. I explain that I did not acknowledge
95 it either and that it prompted me to file a complaint against the lawyers and the [managing](#)
96 [agents](#).

97 I continue, the upshot of it all is that [I currently have £44,000 of invoices hanging over my](#)
98 [head](#); bogus accounts for the block; a lease for which the landlord cannot fulfil major
99 covenants as [the top floor has been sold to another company](#). So, aside from the fact that
100 it would be morally very wrong to sell my flat given the profile of [the owners of the block](#), I
101 can only sell it at a huge discount. Added to the fact that this nightmare has cost me the
102 best part of my life savings, I would be left with next nothing.

103 At some point [Peter tells me](#) ["You must resolve your situation"](#). [It makes me very angry](#):
104 (1) considering all that I have done [over the last five years](#) (e.g. as detailed under the
105 'Document library') – including [paying £6,350](#) which, legally, I did not owe, but paid for the
106 sake of bringing an end to this horrendous nightmare; (2) the fact that, in spite of the
107 [massive amount of 'black on white' evidence I have against the various parties](#), the onus
108 is, to this day, still being placed on me to resolve the situation.

["You must resolve your situation"](#) – [Translation](#): [message from 'the Brotherhood' \(Persecution # 6\)](#): shut-up about your claims of injustice, of numerous breaches of legislation, and your very large financial loss - and just walk away like a good little girl.

It was [the first of 3 times that Bassett attempted to influence my decision-making](#) – in favour of 'the brothers':

- [\(1\)](#)- on 2 May 07, I noted in my diary of events that, in a corridor, Bassett had told me: ["Why don't you bring an end to the dispute and pay \[the £10,000 demanded in the claim\]\(#\)? KPMG could get a lawyer to make this very tight"](#).

To this I replied: ["I don't owe the £10,000"](#) (and was proven right by the fact that, after I had resigned from KPMG, it eventually ended with a [06.06.08 Notice of Discontinuance of "ALL" the claim against me \(Overview # 11\)](#)).

I then related what happened in 2003 when ["for the sake of bringing the dispute to an end"](#), in my [19.12.03](#) Notice to [CKFT](#), I accepted [Ladsky's 21.10.03 Part 36 Offer](#), by paying £6,350 I did NOT legally owe either ([Overview # 3](#)).

What did psycho [Andrew David Ladsky](#) do? He repeated the original demand, as though no 'offer' had been made, accepted and paid, and sealed in [a court-endorsed Consent Order \(Overview # 6\)](#).

- [\(2\)](#)- in the [05.08.07](#) email (contains my Comments), Bassett and Ceri Hughes had clearly dictated to Finbarr Geaney – [section 9.4 – KPMG pg.](#)

NOTE that [by Mar 07 KPMG had absolute knowledge of my situation](#), not only from what I had reported, but, also, from its looking at my website, and from KPMG's previously associated firm of solicitors, [McGrigors that looked at 121 pages of my website during Mar-Apr 07](#). (As in the case of the recordings, I only revealed this information at the time of launching the [KPMG page](#), in 2015).

109 I reply: ["Do you think I like being in this situation? Having my life being totally ruined?"](#)

110 I add that I have followed all the formal processes, gone through all the loops I was asked
111 to go through and state “[Excuse my language but, everywhere I have turned to for help](#)
112 [over the last five years I have been told to ‘f off’](#). Such is the level of arrogance and
113 grossly inflated sense of power and superiority. I have given this country five years to help
114 me. It did nothing. That’s why I set-up the site “

115 I add that what I want to achieve are the objectives stated on my website – and this
116 includes getting compensation. To which Peter replies “[I don’t know about compensation](#)”.

YEP! The morally depraved [Mason \(Persecution # 6\)](#) who, like his tribe members, perceives ‘little people’ like me as non-entities who do not have the right to have rights, there to be used, abused and tormented at will – BY ALL ([Overview # 19](#)).

And [Peter Bassett](#) sure continued to demonstrate it over the following months – until [I resigned in Jan 08](#).

(I may be wrong, but I suspect that [Peter Bassett](#) is Jewish – ‘like’ [Andrew Ladsky](#). From Feb 07 onwards he certainly demonstrated the same extremely sick, vicious, cruel, sadistic, perverse psyche as [Ladsky and his ‘Jewish’ gang of racketeers](#), as well as their Jewish supporters in [the judiciary \(Kangaroo courts\)](#), etc., etc. – and for whom he fell over backwards to assist).

117 [I cannot control my anger](#) at what I perceive as a complete denial of the horrendous
118 suffering I have been going through over the last five years – and reply “[What? With all](#)
119 [that I have been made to endure by the courts, the tribunal, the lawyers, etc?](#). This
120 government pays drug addicts, who are currently in prison, the sum of £750,000 as
121 “compensation” because it stopped them from committing what is classified as a criminal
122 act and ‘I’ do not qualify for getting compensation for what I have suffered? Peter, this
123 country has turned completely upside down”

[Communicating my anger during the meeting](#) was, evidently, ‘[the wrong reaction](#)’ as, 3 days later, in their illegal [16.02.07](#) letter, Ladsky-[Jeremy Hershkorn, Portner and Jaskel](#), threatened me with “[bankruptcy and forfeiture](#)” ([definition of forfeiture](#)) if I failed to “[pay immediately £8,937](#)” to a company I had never heard of - as I reported in my [25.02.07](#) reply ([Portner # 3](#)).

‘Not liking’ my reply, [the mafia](#) filed the (above referred) fraudulent [27.02.07](#) claim against me (that resulted in the above, [06.06.08](#) Notice of Discontinuance).

124 I add “*These people (i.e. [landlord and aides](#)) should be in prison, but I don’t care what*
125 *happens to them. I want justice and redress. If somebody feels like booking them on a*
126 *world cruise for a whole year, fine by me, I don’t care”*

127 At some point [Peter repeats the suggestion of my meeting with somebody in the Well](#)
128 [Being Team](#).

129 [I agree](#) and, I think at this point add that “*I have been very badly burnt by lawyers*”

[I agreed because I could see that they were not going to let go of it.](#)

In fact, as stated earlier, [they kept at it, on, and on, and on until May 07](#) e.g. [my summary](#) in the [08.05.07-13h29](#) email from BUPA Wellness at KPMG ([section 5 KPMG page](#)).

Their other ulterior motive in pushing me to contact WellBeing was that they were also putting their defences in place, to cover-up their Machiavellian plan – should they be required at a later stage... as actually happened e.g.

[KPMG's PACK OF LIES Defence](#) to my [03.04.08](#) Claim:

Para.4(a) - "in fact the Grievance Panel felt that the Respondent went to great lengths to support the Claimant during her personal difficulties"

Para.11 - "The Respondent continued to support the Claimant and make allowances where possible."

Para.12(a) - "the Respondent believes that it went far beyond what could be reasonably expected of an employer in such circumstances in terms of the support it offered"

(As I pointed out in my [01.07.08](#) Request for information, it *failed* to define how it did this. (I did not get a reply in the [22.08.08](#) 'response'). (However, I concluded that Well Being and the other things it kept throwing at me over the following months was what it was referring to).

130 [Jeanette gives me the card of Julie Bennett, Well Being, and says she will ask her to](#)
131 [contact me.](#)

132 At the end I say that my wish is to close down the site as soon as possible. That the only
133 website I want to have is one that has my holiday photographs on it so that I can share
134 them with family and friends. I add "At least, I am getting support from my US ISP!"

135 I excuse myself, saying that I assume that they want to remain in the room.

136 [In the afternoon, by 15h30, I can no longer concentrate on my work and opt to book in a](#)
137 [hotel for which I depart by 16h00. I inform](#) Ceri Hughes, my Line Manager of this,
138 including telling her that I also intend to take the morning off.

139 I have [booked an appointment with Julie Bennett, Well Being, for](#) [22 February 2007.](#)

REMEMBER the ROOT CAUSE for what took place / failed to take place:

A thoroughly evil, cruel, greed-ridden, vampiric, sadistic, Rachman (*) crook, [Andrew David Ladsky](#) – deciding he was 'entitled' [to make a multi-million £ jackpot](#) - through [extortion](#), [persecution](#), etc. - at my expense (and that of my fellow leaseholders)...

...to which everyone in that army of henchmen – including [KPMG](#)

([Case summary](#); [Résumé de mon cas](#)) – said:

Yes, of course! O' Great One!



(*) Dictionary definition: "Rachmanism: The exploitation and intimidation of tenants by unscrupulous landlords; 1960's after the notorious landlord [Peter Rachman](#)"

140