

Mr John Hutchings
Tenancy Relations Officer
Housing and Social Services
The Town Hall
Hornton Street
London W8 7NX

- Discussed under
**Kensington & Chelsea
council, and
Local Government
Ombudsman**
- Snapshots: **Doc library #
5.3 & # 5.4**

Ms Noëlle Rawé
3 Jefferson House
11 Basil Street
London SW3 1AX

By Special Delivery

25 June 2004

Dear Mr Hutchings

The reason the **Ladsky mafia** had not sent me the 'accounts' was because **it had lied to the Jefferson House leaseholders in order to defraud them - and I knew** this for a fact because I had challenged the demand in the **tribunal: Overview # 2 and # 3** ; more detail: **'Major works' ; Extortion... so that Ladsky could make his multi-million £ jackpot - and the council and Local Government Ombudsman helped him**

Getting the landlord, Steel Services and managing agents, Martin Russell Jones, to comply with my rights under Landlord & Tenant legislation to be provided with the year-end accounts for Jefferson House, as well as copy of the trustee accounts

= Andrew
David
Ladsky-
see e.g.
CKFT-
Intro

Background information

Thank you for your correspondence dated 21 June 2004.

You indicate that you intend to familiarise yourself with my case. As I am sure you will appreciate, there have been many developments since I was last in contact with Mr McDougall.

I think that the best way for me to provide you with this update is by means of my attached Witness Statement, dated 19 October 2003.¹

Because, more recently, it seemed that there might be a possibility of my case ending-up in a court hearing, I also started to write a Supplemental Witness Statement. Please see attached – which is still at the draft stage².

As you will see from my draft Supplemental Witness Statement, my ex. solicitors sent a reply to Steel Services which did not include the points we had agreed. I eventually opted to write directly to CKFT on 19 December 2003. Please see attached³

The more recent developments – for which I attach the supporting correspondence - are:

- 1) On 26 May I sent a signed Consent Order to CKFT⁴ I had amended relative to what they had sent me on 27 April 2004⁵
- 2) In a letter dated 28 May 2004, CKFT stated that they accepted it⁶
- 3) I replied on 8 June⁷
- 4) In a letter dated 15 June, CKFT said that they were sending the Consent Order for approval and endorsement by the court⁸. I have had no communication since.

Other key events

5. I received an invoice dated 24 May 2004 from Martin Russell Jones, stating a "*Brought forward balance*" of £13,430.50 – with no explanation whatsoever as to what this refers to⁹. The original

¹ My Witness Statement, dated 19 October 2003

² My Draft Supplemental Witness Statement – not dated

³ My Notice of Acceptance to CKFT, dated 19 December 2003 – as well as covering letter

⁴ My letter of 26 May 2004 to CKFT, with Consent Order

⁵ Consent Order from CKFT (sent in a correspondence dated 27 April 2004)

⁶ Letter from CKFT, dated 28 May 2004

⁷ My letter to CKFT, dated 8 June 2004

⁸ Letter from CKFT, dated 15 June 2004

⁹ Invoice from Martin Russell Jones, dated 24 May 2004-06-25

claim for the major works filed against me in court by Steel Services was for £14,400.19¹⁰ - and Steel Services has now had £6,350 from me for many months – and the works have not yet been started.

Hence, this correspondence from Ms Hathaway of Martin Russell Jones was her reply to my letter to her dated 19 May 2004 (of which I have already sent you a copy).

6. Due to the fault of West London County Court, I did not attend the 28 May hearing. An Order has been made with which I disagree – point 4 of the attached¹¹ while another one, dated 9 June 2004 states that my case has been transferred to Wandsworth County Court for “*listing for trial before Circuit Judge*” – but does not provide any explanation whatsoever as to the reason for the the transfer¹²
7. I have written to the court on 20 June 2004 to ask for clarification¹³. To date, I have not received a reply.

I have also enclosed the following additional documents as further evidence:

- 1) My letter to West London County Court, dated 22 June 2003 in which I summarised the main findings from the 17 June 2003 determination by the LVT – and to which I attached copy of parts of the LVT report¹⁴
- 2) Letter from CKFT to me dated 25 June 2003¹⁵
- 3) My calculation (based on my surveyor’s assessment) (Mr Brock, LSM Partners, London) of the impact of the LVT determination of 17 June 2003 on my share of the costs. As you can see, only 32% of the original sum demanded was perceived by the LVT as reasonable. Viewed globally, it means that of the £736,206.08 demanded by Steel Services, £500,000.00 was actually deemed by the LVT as unreasonable¹⁶
- 4) Martin Russell Jones ‘Major works apportionment 24 June 2002’ – for 6 flats including mine giving ‘original’ and ‘revised’ cost – given to me and the court by CKFT at the 24 June 2003 court hearing. It amounts to a reduction of 24.19% relative to the original amount demanded¹⁷
- 5) Another document with the same heading ‘Major works apportionment 24 June 2002’ – this time covering all the 35 flats in the block¹⁸. It was handed to me and the court by CKFT at the 26 August 2003 court hearing. There is only one sum per flat, in each instance it has been reduced by 24.19%.
- 6) My calculations of the impact of this reduction for the whole block¹⁹
- 7) Steel Services offer to me, dated 21 October 2003²⁰

I hope that these documents prove helpful.

Please, let me know if you need anything else.

Thank you in anticipation of your assistance. As you can see, I desperately need it.

Yours sincerely

Noëlle Rawé

¹⁰ Details of claim against me court by Steel Services on 27 November 2002

¹¹ West London County Court ‘General form of judgment or order’, dated 8 June 2004

¹² Notice of transfer of Proceedings, dated 9 June 2004

¹³ My letter to West London County Court, dated 20 June 2004

¹⁴ My letter to West London County Court, dated 22 June 2003

¹⁵ Letter from CKFT, dated 25 June 2003

¹⁶ My calculation of the impact of the 17 June 2003 LVT determination on my share of the costs

¹⁷ Martin Russell Jones’ ‘Major works apportionment 24 June 2002’, covering 6 flats

¹⁸ Martin Russell Jones’ ‘Major works apportionment 24 June 2002’, covering the 35 flats

¹⁹ My calculations of the impact on the whole block of the reductions detailed by Martin Russell Jones

²⁰ Steel Services offer to me, dated 21 October 2003

Royal Mail
special delivery



guaranteed by 12 noon

next day

to

Name John Hutchings
Address Tenancy Rel. Officer
Health & Social Sec
Town Hall, Hornston
Postcode London
W 8 7NX

Moneyback guarantee for delay. You can claim compensation of up to £250 for lost or damaged items.

● Tick if you want a higher than standard compensation limit (this costs more).

Tick one level Up to £1,000 Up to £2,500

● Write your address below.

● Hand this label and your package in at a Post Office® branch.

Ref: ZU 1612 6932 9GB