

Miss A Lean
[Home Office](#)
Direct Communications Unit
2 Marsham Street
London SW1P 4DF

[Ms N Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawé](#)

[]
[]
[]

(By 'Special Delivery')

In its [23.05.11](#) 'response', 5 weeks later, it – typically – ignored my question, as well as comment about the tribunal

17th April 2011

Dear Miss Lean,

Thank you for your letter dated [7th April 2011](#) further to mine of [29th March 2011](#).

You confirm that “*much of the covert activity [I] describe would require authorisation under the [Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 \(RIPA\)](#)”.*

You later suggest that anyone who believes to have been the victim of unlawful covert surveillance can approach the Tribunal to investigate their claim.

I do not intend to do this for the following reasons:

1. In light of the fact that the Home Office Minister is the authorising authority for, at a minimum, the interceptions I am reporting, use of the Tribunal would be a waste of taxpayer money as the Secretary of State can address my question: on which grounds allowed by the RIPA has the Secretary of State granted, as well as renewed these warrants and possibly authorisations?
2. Under [s.67\(2\) of the RIPA](#), the Tribunal's jurisdiction is limited to judicial review and consequently does not afford me an effective remedy (e.g. European Court of Human Rights' decision in *Hatton v United Kingdom* (2003) 37 EHRR 28: judicial review does not satisfy the requirement of Article 13).

I take this opportunity to inform you that, relative to my intended deadline of 14th April, there will be a delay in my filing the claim.

Yours sincerely,

N Klosterkotter-Dit-Rawé