APPEAL	RUNDI	F IND	FX
ALLEAL	DUNDL	IL HIL	$\perp \Delta$

Appeal reference number: (<u>In London Queen's Bench</u>)

Claim No: **HQ11X01471**

See Note at the end of this document

BETWEEN:

Subsequent events were: (1) Lang's 06.10.11 Order refusing my Appeal; (2) My 17.10.11 Request for Oral Hearing of Permission to Appeal; (3) Mackay 24.10.11 Order refusing my Appeal – and without giving Reasons; (4) My twice asking that he provides his Reasons: 31.10.11 and 14.11.11; (5) his 25.11.11 reply that I should pay; (6) my 28.11.11 complaint to the Office for Judicial Complaints; (7) Its 05.12.11 'get lost' reply deliberately misrepresenting my

complaint

NOËLLE KLOSTERKOTTER-DIT-RAWÉ

Appellant

- and -

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE OF THE METROPOLIS

Respondent

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	·	
BUNDLE	Appellant's Notice, Order and Pleadings		
1 Core			
Bundle			
1.	Appellant's Notice	<u>29.09.11</u>	1-8,a&b
2.	Order made by Master Eyre (Response under B2/18; (Paid) Respondent's Statement of costs of £8,478.03: under B2/20)	<u>09.08.11</u>	9-12
3.	Claim form	<u>19.04.11</u>	13-14
4.	Particulars of claim, contents page, and annexes as follows:	<u>19.04.11</u>	15-48
5.	Annex 1: Subject Access Request to Respondent	28.05.09	49-55
6.	Annex 1: Section 10 Notice letter summarising the points contained in the supporting document, under B3/T3/58	02.06.11	56-65
7.	Annex 2: Appellant's Schedule of Costs and Damages	19.04.11	66-69
8.	Respondent's Defence	23.05.11	70-83
9.	Appellant's Reply to Defence	14.06.11	84-91
10.	Respondent's Application Notice and Draft Order	30.06.11	92-94
11.	Respondent's Witness Statement	<u>30.06.11</u>	95-101

12.	Appellant's Witness Statement (29.08.11 Supplemental Witness Statement under B2/19)	<u>19.07.11</u>	102-144
13.	Chronology of Events	29.08.11	145-149
14.	Skeleton argument (NB +covering page)	<u>29.08.11</u>	150-174
<u>BUNDLE</u> <u>2</u>	Other Pleadings		
15.	Appellant's Pre-action letter	<u>17.03.11</u>	175-202
16.	Appellant's Witness Statement in response to Third Defendant's Application	<u>19.07.11</u>	203-249
17.	Appellant's response to Draft Order	<u>07.08.11</u>	250-258
18.	Appellant's Schedule of costs and post filing claim, and covering letter to Court	22.07.11	259-264
19.	Respondent's Statement of costs & Appellant's payment & response	10.08.11 & 22.08.11	265-274
20.	Supplemental Witness Statement to 19.07.11 Witness Statement in response to Respondent's Application	29.08.11	275-283
BUNDLE 3	Crime reports; related correspondence - October 2010 complaints - Landlord proceedings and correspondence		
<u>TAB-1</u>	Crime reports as supplied in July 2009		
21.	CR:5604102/02 (KDR 2)	18.02.02	284-316
22.	CR:5602261/03 (KDR 5)	25.01.03	317-350
23.	CR:5605839/07 (KDR 7)	15.03.07	351-382
TAB-2	Crime reports as supplied on 22.07.11		
24.	CR:5604102/02	18.02.02	383-406
25.	CR:5602261/03	25.01.03	407-423
26.	CR:5605839/07	15.03.07	424-439
27.	Respondent's List of Abbreviations		439a & 439b

TAB-3	Crime reports correspondence, including Respondent's 2007 e-mails		
28.	Appellant's complaint to the Police Complaints Authority (KDR 4)	13.03.02	440-443
29.	Appellant's fax to Respondent (KDR 4)	25.03.02	444
30.	Appellant's letter to Respondent (KDR 4)	02.04.02	445-449
31.	Respondent's letter to Appellant (KDR 4)	23.04.02	450-451
32.	Appellant's letter to the Metropolitan Police Authority (KDR 4)	05.05.02	452-455
33.	Appellant's e-mail to the Metropolitan Police Authority (KDR 4)	31.05.02	456
34.	Letter to Appellant from the Metropolitan Police Authority (KDR 4)	11.07.02	457-458
35.	Respondent's letter to Appellant (KDR 6)	27.01.03	459
36.	Letter from Respondent to Appellant (KDR 6)	06.02.03	460
37.	Letter from Appellant to Respondent (KDR 6)	11.02.03	461
38.	E-mail exchange: Respondent's <u>16.03.07-12h45 e-mail</u> to Appellant's website Host; Host 16.03.07-21h00 reply	16.03.07	462-463
39.	E-mail exchange: Respondent's 19.03.07-14h55 e-mail to Appellant's website Host; Host 20.03.07-17h13 reply	20.03.07	464
40.	Respondent's e-mail of 14h02 to Appellant's website Host	20.03.07	465
41.	Appellant's 1st reply to the crime reports (KDR 9)	13.08.09	466-503
42.	Appellant's list of documents supplied with 13.08.09 reply (KDR 9)	13.08.09	504-505
43.	Respondent's reply to Appellant (KDR 9)	25.08.09	506
44.	Appellant's 2nd reply to the crime reports (KDR 10)	20.09.09	507-544
45.	Appellant's letter to Respondent (Exhibit 2)	20.09.09	545-547
46.	Respondent's reply to Appellant (KDR 11)	22.09.09	548
47.	Appellant's replies to Respondent (KDR 11)	08.10.09 & 08.10.09	549-550

48.	Appellant's chaser letters to Respondent (KDR 11)	11.11.09 & 11.11.09	551-552
49.	Respondent's reply to Appellant (KDR 11)	20.11.09	553
50.	Appellant's letter to Respondent (Exhibit 1)	28.11.09	554-561
51.	Appellant's letter to Respondent (Exhibit 3)	02.12.09	562-565
52.	Letter from Respondent's Directorate of Professional Standards (Exhibit 4)	08.12.09	566-567
53.	Appellant's reply (KDR 11)	28.12.09	568
54.	Letter Respondent to Appellant (JC 3)	21.01.10	569-571
55.	Appellant's letter to Respondent (pgs 1-5 & 17-19) (Exhibit 5)	02.02.10	572-579
56.	Appellant's reply to Respondent and copy to IPCC	18.02.10	580-587
57.	IPCC letters to Appellant of 22.02.10 and 02.03.10 (JC 2 & 5)	22.02.10 & 02.03.10	588-589
58.	Appellant's supporting document to her <u>02.06.10</u> <u>Section 10 Notice</u> (Annex 1 of Claim)	02.06.10	590-656
59.	Appellant's letter to Respondent's legal section (Addition)	24.07.11	657-658
TAB-4	Appellant's October 2010 complaints		
60.	Appellant's report of harassment to Respondent re. man on 20 and 27 July 2010 (Exhibit 10)	08.10.10	659-662
61.	Appellant's report of harassment to Respondent re. man on 30 June 2010 and previously (Exhibit 11)	08.10.10	663-666
62.	Respondent's Notebook entries (Exhibit 8)	<u>16.10.10</u>	667
63.	Appellant's transcript of <u>16.10.10</u> conversation with Respondent (Exhibit 6)	08.06.11	668-672
TAB-5	Landlord proceedings		
64.	Central London County Court claim filed against another leaseholder (KDR 13)	26.02.02	673-674
65.	West London County Court claim filed against Appellants (and other leaseholders) (KDR 13)	<u>29.11.02</u>	675-677

66.	Steel Services offer to Appellant (KDR 13)	21.10.03	678-680
67.	Consent Order from CKFT, solicitors, to Appellant (KDR 13)	14.07.04	681-684
68.	Threat of bankruptcy letter to Appellant from Portner and Jaskel, solicitors (KDR 13)	16.02.07	685-686
69.	West London County Court claim filed against Appellant (KDR 13)	27.02.27	687-693
70.	Notice of Discontinuance to Appellant (KDR 13)	<u>06.06.08</u>	694-695
<u>TAB-6</u>	Other correspondence		
71.	Andrew Ladsky's letter to leaseholders (KDR 13)	<u>25.01.01</u>	696-697
72.	Letter from <u>CKFT</u> , <u>solicitors</u> , to <u>2 leaseholders</u> (KDR 4)	11.10.01	698-700
73.	Steel Services letter to Nucleus, local CAB (Addition)	<u>14.11.01</u>	701-702
74.	Steel Services letter to Mr [XX], flat 12 (Addition)	02.01.02	703
75.	E-mail to Appellant from a leaseholder (KDR 13)	18.04.02	704
76.	Letter and invoice from managing agents to Appellant (KDR 13)	15.07.02	705-708
77.	Letter to Appellant from CKFT, solicitors (KDR 13)	<u>07.10.02</u>	709-710
78.	Appellant's fax to <u>Kensington & Chelsea housing</u> (KDR 4)	24.10.02	711
79.	Appellant's fax to the <u>London Leasehold Valuation</u> <u>Tribunal</u> (KDR 4)	24.10.02	712-713
80.	E-mail to Appellant from <u>Kensington & Chelsea</u> <u>housing</u> (KDR 13)	05.11.02	714
81.	Letter to Appellant from CKFT, solicitors (KDR 13)	<u>28.11.02</u>	715-717
82.	Letter to Appellant from CKFT, solicitors (KDR 13)	04.02.03	718-719
83.	Letter from managing agents to London Leasehold Valuation Tribunal (KDR 13)	04.03.03	720-724
84.	Invoice from managing agents to Appellant (KDR 13)	21.10.04	725
85.	Invoice from managing agents to Appellant (KDR 13)	<u>16.11.04</u>	726
86.	Appellant's photograph of the back of Jefferson House	<u>15.09.05</u>	727

	(KDR 13)		
87.	Letter to Appellant from <u>Institute of Chartered</u> <u>Accountants</u> (KDR 13)	<u>29.08.06</u>	728-737
88.	Fax from Portner, solicitors, to Appellant's website host (KDR 13)	03.10.06	738-741
89.	Letter from Andrew Ladsky to Appellant's employer (KDR 8)	26.03.07	742-744
90.	Appellant's letter to her GP (KDR 14)	10.04.08	745-749
91.	Appellant's letter to medical specialist (KDR 14)	11.05.08	750-752
92.	Appellant's letter to Ofcom (KDR 14)	08.01.09	753-754
93.	Letter from Ofcom to Appellant (KDR 14)	21.01.09	755-756
94.	Invoice from managing agents to Appellant (KDR 13)	<u>09.07.10</u>	757

I SUBMIT that looking: (1) at the evidence I supplied in support of my case (incl. the Chronology of Events); (2) the outcome: Master Eyre's FULL OF LIES MPS Order of Q9.08.11, and the Q Queen's Bench judges, Lang and Mackay, twice refusing my Q9/30.08.11 Appeal Application against the Order (Q6.10.11 and Q4.10.11 Orders) — any fair minded, reasonable, honest person would support my conclusions that my Q19.04.11 Claim was dealt with by a kangaroo court* absolutely intent on protecting Andrew David Ladsky from the legal consequences of his criminal activities — by means of collusion* and conniving* with <a href="Mension the Indian Content Indian

(= Like the judiciary in: (i) West London County Court in 2002-04 and 2007-08; (ii) Wandsworth County Court in 2004; (iii) Supreme Court Costs Office on 30.01.09; the London Leasehold Valuation Tribunal in 2002-03)

- * (Chambers dictionary definition of **Kangaroo court**: "A court operated by a mob, by prisoners in jail, or by any improperly constituted body; a tribunal before which a fair trial is impossible; a comic burlesque court"
- * (Dictionary definition of (i) **Collusion**: "To come to a secret agreement in order to deceive others; conspire"; (ii) **Connive**: "secretly allow (a wrongdoing); often as adjective, **conniving**, "conspire to do something unlawful or harmful";