

ALL in vain as my legitimate 26.01.12 Application was, in breach of Article 45 of the Convention, rejected by Judge Vincent A. De Gaetano (Malta): 06.06.12

TAB	MAIN PLEADINGS	Overview # 18	Date	Page
1	Claim form		19.04.1	1-2
2	Particulars of claim, contents page, and annexes as follows:		19.04.1	3-36
3	Annex 1: Subject Access Request to MPS		28.05.0	37-43
4	Annex 1: Summary letter to MPS of s.10 Notice under DPA		02.06.1	44-52
5	Annex 2: Schedule of Costs and Damages		19.04.11	53-55.1
6	MPS' Defence (posted 10 days later), and covering letter		23.05.11	56-70
7	My Reply to MPS' Defence		14.06.11	71-78
8	MPS' Application Notice to have my Claim struck-out, and Draft Order		30.06.11	79-81
9	MPS' Witness Statement for Application		30.06.11	82-88
10	My Witness Statement in response to MPS Application ('WS1')		19.07.11	89-131
11	Home Office's Defence		07.07.11	132-135
12	Home Office's Application to have my Claim struck-out, and Draft Order		07.07.11	136-139
13	My Witness Statement in response to Home Office Application ('WS3')		19.07.11	140-186
14	Order striking-out the whole of my Claim against the IPCC		29.07.11	187-188
15	Draft Order in relation to MPS		02.08.11	189-191
17	My response to Draft Order		07.08.11	192-199
16	MPS' Schedule of Costs and Draft Order dated 04.08.11		04.08.11	200-205
18	Order striking-out the whole of my Claim against the MPS		09.08.11	206-209
19	Order striking-out the whole of my Claim against the Home Office		09.08.11	210
20	My Application Notice for Permission to Appeal against the MPS Order		29.08.11	211-220
21	Skeleton argument in support of my Application		29.08.11	221-245
22	My Supplementary Witness Statement ('WS2')		29.08.11	246-254
23	List of documents supplied with my Appeal Application		29.08.11	255-260
24	Order refusing my Application for Permission to Appeal		06.10.11	261-262
25	My Request for an Oral Hearing for Permission to Appeal		17.10.11	263-306
26	Order refusing my Application for Permission to Appeal		24.10.11	307
27	My letter asking the Court to re-issue its 24.10.11 Order in order to state the reasons for its refusal		31.10.11	308
28	My chaser letter to the Court		14.11.11	309
29	Reply from Court that, to get reasons, I "need to pay for transcription"		23.11.11	310
30	My complaint to the Office for Judicial Complaints		28.11.11	311-312
31	Reply from the Judicial Complaints Office rejecting my complaint		05.12.11	313-315

Yellow highlights = CONFIDENTIAL documents because they contain the name of other leaseholders/parties (Article 40(2) of the Convention)

		Date	Page
TAB 2 OTHER PLEADINGS			
32	Letter to me from Home Office that MPS is correct addressee	21.03.11	316
33	My reply to Home Office that my Claim is also against Home Office	29.03.11	317-321
34	Letter to me from MPS that I should approach Information Commissioner	01.04.11	322
35	My reply to MPS that the DPA allows me to file a Claim	04.04.11	323-324
36	Letter to me from Home Office that what I report comes under RIPA	07.04.11	325-326
37	My reply to Home Office that Investigatory Powers Tribunal does not offer me an effective remedy	17.04.11	327
38	My letter to MPS asking whether it will file a Defence, as deadline was 24.05.11	31.05.11	328
39	MPS' <i>"Without prejudice"</i> letter asking me to discontinue my Claim in exchange for its <i>"not pursuing the costs of [its] application"</i>	07.07.11	329
40	My reply rejecting 'the offer' on the ground of <i>"no reason given"</i>	13.07.11	330
41	My Schedule of Costs post filing claim, up to 22.07.11, and letter to Court	22.07.11	331-336
42	My letter to MPS listing missing pages from <i>"crime reports"</i>	24.07.11	337-338
43	My payment of MPS' £8,478 costs	22.08.11	339-345
44	My payment of Home Office's £5,000 costs	22.08.11	346-352
45	My payment of IPCC's £3,703 costs	13.09.11	353-365
46	My total MPS' costs at 24th October 2011	24.10.11	366-369
TAB 3 "CRIME REPORTS" AS SUPPLIED IN JULY 2009			
47	CR:5604102/02	18.02.02	370-401
48	CR:5602261/03	25.01.03	402-434
49	CR:5605839/07	15.03.07	435-465
TAB 4 "CRIME REPORTS" AS SUPPLIED ON 22 JULY 2011			
50	CR:5604102/02	18.02.02	466-495
51	CR:5602261/03	25.01.03	496-525
52	CR:5605839/07	15.03.07	526-552
53	MPS's List of Abbreviations	20.07.09	553-554
TAB 5 "CRIME REPORTS" ' CORRESPONDENCE			
54	Letter to me from the Metropolitan Police Authority that <i>"the police can only act on the basis of established facts"</i>	11.07.02	555-554
55	Letter to me from local police warning me of <i>"consequences"</i>	27.01.03	557

Yellow highlights = CONFIDENTIAL documents because they contain the name of other leaseholders/parties (Article 40(2) of the Convention)

		Date	Page
56	Chaser letter to me from local police	06.02.03	558
57	My letter to local police asking for evidence in support of accusation	11.02.03	559
58	E-mail exchange: 16.03.07-12h45 from MPS to my website Host accusing me of having 'committed a crime'; 16.03.07-21h00 reply from Host	16.03.07	560-561
59	E-mail exchange: 19.03.07-14h55 from MPS to my website Host; 20.03.07-17h13 reply from website Host	20.03.07	562
60	E-mail of 20.03.07-14h02 from MPS to my website Host, in which the MPS backed down on its accusations	20.03.07	563
61	My 1st reply to the MPS' Public Access Office re. the 3 " <i>crime reports</i> "	13.08.09	566-604
62	List of documents supplied with my 13.08.09 reply	13.08.09	605-606
63	MPS Public Access Office reply to my 13.08.09 letter that it " <i>cannot provide [me] with further information</i> "	25.08.09	607
64	My letter to local police that it is not exempt from compliance with DPA	20.09.09	608-610
65	Local police's reply to my 20.09.09 letter that I have " <i>quite clearly expressed [my] concerns</i> " and to " <i>contact the Information Commissioner if [I am] dissatisfied with the MPS' response</i> "	22.09.09	611
66	My 2 replies to local police's 22.09.09 letter challenging its response	08.10.09	612-613
67	My 2 chaser letters to local police	11.11.09	614-615
68	Local police's reply to my 08.10.09 letters refusing to take action, claiming that " <i>the crime reports are accurate</i> "	20.11.09	616
69	My letter to MPS Commissioner and to Home Secretary asking for assistance	28.11.09	617-624
70	My letter to MPS Commissioner and to Home Secretary reporting the content of the 20.11.09 letter from local police	02.12.09	625-628
71	Letter to me from MPS' Directorate of Professional Standards	08.12.09	629-630
71.1	Letter to me from Home Office that it cannot intervene in relation to my problems with the MPS	23.12.09	630.1
72	My reply to MPS' Directorate of Professional Standards	28.12.09	631
73	Letter to me from MPS' Directorate of Professional Standards (posted 2 weeks later on 04.02.10)	21.01.10	632-634
74	My letter to MPS Commissioner and to Home Secretary (pgs 1-5 & 17-19) reporting the lack of action	02.02.10	635-642
75	My reply to MPS' Directorate of Professional Services, and letter copying the Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC)	18.02.10	643-650
76	IPCC letter asking me to " <i>provide reasons for the delay in my complaint</i> "	22.02.10	651
77	IPCC letter dismissing my complaint for being " <i>an abuse of process</i> "	02.03.10	652

Yellow highlights = CONFIDENTIAL documents because they contain the name of other leaseholders/parties (Article 40(2) of the Convention)

		Date	Page
TAB 6	MY OCTOBER 2010 COMPLAINTS		
78	My report of harassment to local police re. man on 20 and 27 July 2010	08.10.10	653-655
79	My report of harassment to local police re. man on 30 June 2010 and previously	08.10.10	656-658
80	Notebook entries given to me by local police	16.10.10	659
81	My transcript of 16.10.10 conversation with local police	08.06.11	660-664
TAB 7	LANDLORD PROCEEDINGS		
82	Central London County Court claim filed against the leaseholder of apartment #12, by Portner and Jaskel, solicitors	26.02.02	665-666
83	Letter from CKFT, solicitors, threatening me with forfeiture and contacting my mortgage lender unless I paid immediately £14,400	07.10.02	667-668
84	West London County Court claim filed against me (and 13 other apartments)	29.11.02	669-671
85	Steel Services' "offer" to me of £6,350 (through CKFT, solicitors)	21.10.03	672-674
85.1	My Notice of Acceptance of "the offer" "for the sake of bringing the dispute to an end"	19.12.03	674.1-674.3
86	Court endorsed Consent Order from CKFT, solicitors, to me, for £6,350	14.07.04	675-678
87	Letter from Portner and Jaskel, solicitors, threatening me with bankruptcy proceedings and forfeiture unless I paid immediately £8,937 to "Rootstock Overseas Corp" (a company I had never heard of)	16.02.07	679-680
87.1	My reply asking for clarification, stating that I had never heard of the company, and that no enclosures were enclosed	25.02.07	680.1
88	West London County Court claim filed against me (giving 2 names for the "landlord"; 2 different solicitors; each asking for a different amount), and my reproduction, on a yearly basis, of the sums demanded	27.02.07	681-687
89	Notice of Discontinuance of "All" of the 27.02.07 claim against me, issued by Portner and Jaskel, solicitors	06.06.08	688-689
TAB 8	OTHER CORRESPONDENCE		
90	Andrew Ladsky's letter to leaseholders attempting to dissuade us from buying the headlease	25.01.01	690-691
91	Identical letter from CKFT, solicitors, to 2 leaseholders that they reported suffering harassment from Andrew Ladsky to the local police	11.10.01	692-693
92	'Steel Services' threatening letter to Nucleus, Citizen Advice Bureau	14.11.01	694-695
93	Letter from 'Steel Services' to leaseholder of apartment #12, claiming "reimbursement of costs" for "vexatious challenge of service charges"	02.01.02	696
94	E-mail to me from a leaseholder that she, and other leaseholder, received harassment phone calls from Andrew Ladsky	18.04.02	697

Yellow highlights = CONFIDENTIAL documents because they contain the name of other leaseholders/parties (Article 40(2) of the Convention)

		Date	Page
95	Letter from managing agents, Martin Russell Jones, demanding the global sum of £736,200, and £14,400 from me	15.07.02	698-701
95.1	'Steel Services'-Martin Russell Jones Application to the London Leasehold Valuation Tribunal (LVT) <i>"to determine the reasonableness of the global sum demanded"</i> (£736,200)	07.08.02	701.1-701.4
96	My fax to Kensington & Chelsea housing	24.10.02	702
97	My fax to the London LVT	24.10.02	703-704
97.1	Pre-trial hearing Directions from the London LVT	29.10.02	704.1-704.3
97.2	'Applying to a Leasehold Valuation Tribunal', booklet from Tribunal quoting, on page 5, the Court of Appeal case, Daejan Properties v London LVT that Tribunals <i>"only have the jurisdiction to decide the reasonableness of disputed service charges that are still unpaid"</i>	29.10.02	704.4-704.7
98	E-mail to me from Kensington & Chelsea housing that Andrew Ladsky asked for all the information I had supplied	05.11.02	705
99	Letter to me from CKFT, solicitors, demanding payment of <i>"substantial damages for making defamatory comments about Andrew Ladsky"</i>	28.11.02	706-708
100	Letter to me from CKFT, solicitors, backing-up Andrew Ladsky's accusations against me to local police (2003 <i>"crime report"</i>)	04.02.03	709-710
101	Unsupported invoice from managing agents to me asking for £14,450	21.10.04	711
102	Unsupported invoice from managing agents to me asking for £15,450	16.11.04	712
103	My July 2002 and September 2005 photographs of the back of the apartment block, Jefferson House (where I have my leasehold apartment)	15.09.05	713
104	Letter to me from the Institute of Chartered Accountants for England & Wales, plus enclosures and supporting documents	29.08.06	714-723
105	Faxed letter from Portner and Jaskel, solicitors, to my then website host	03.10.06	724-727
106	My reply to Portner and Jaskel asking for evidence in support of its accusations	05.10.06	728-729
107	Letter from Andrew Ladsky to my then employer	26.03.07	730-732
108	My letter to my general practitioner to provide a copy of his April 2007 prescription for tranquilisers and anti-depressants	10.04.08	733-737
109	My letter to the medical 'specialist' reporting what took place during the 'consultation'	11.05.08	738-740
110	My letter to Ofcom that over the last 2.5 months I am prevented from switching to another mobile phone provider	08.01.09	741-742
111	Reply from Ofcom that it approached the provider and recorded a complaint	21.01.09	743-744
112	Unsupported invoice from managing agents demanding that I pay £24,000	09.07.10	745

Yellow highlights = CONFIDENTIAL documents because they contain the name of other leaseholders/parties (Article 40(2) of the Convention)