

Lanny Silverstone

Our ref: RLS/sp/LAD008/6  
Your Ref:

Direct tel: 020 7317 8716  
Direct fax: 020 7317 8750  
e-mail: lsilverstone@ckft.com

Mrs Noelle dit Rawe  
Flat 3 Jefferson House  
11 Basil Street  
London SW3 1AX

28<sup>th</sup> November 2002

Dear Madam

**Andrew Ladsky**

We have recently been consulted by Mr Andrew Ladsky in connection with defamatory remarks that were set out in two letters that were sent by you by facsimile on 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2002 to Mr Sandy McDougall, Tenancy Relations Officer of Royal Borough of Kensington Chelsea and on 24<sup>th</sup> October 2002 and Mr David Stewart, Clerk to the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal, London.

The letters were sent on the letterhead of your employer KPMG LLP of

are.

Yes!  
Silly  
mistake!

Those letters were sent in connection with proceedings in the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal, which has been bought by Steel Services Limited, a company registered in the British Virgin Islands.

It was NOT as it had been "struck off the register"- Hence: CKFT + Martin Russell Jones + Pridie Brewster claimed to be acting on behalf of a company that did not exist - see Owners Identity # 2

Copies of your letters have been sent to the owners of all of the flats at Jefferson House, by the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal.

In your first letter which is dated 23 (sic) October 2002 you referred to Steel Services Limited. You asserted that (in relation to companies that were registered in the Virgin Islands generally and to Steel Services Limited in particular):

see British Virgin Islands

"You will also see that, among others:

- There are no accounting requirements i.e. they are just shell companies

I WAS PROVEN RIGHT about a plan to defraud leaseholders - see Leasehold Valuation Tribunal # 4 ; CKFT

Major works ; Block sales of flats ; West London County Court ; Pridie Brewster # 18... which includes the 29 August 2006 reply from the ICAEW and my analysis of what leaseholders ended-up paying v. the 17 June 2003 determination by the LVT ; main points under Brian Gale, including his 'double act' with Mr Ladsky during the hearings

My 19 October 2003 Witness Statement, and my 3 June 2008 Witness Statement

- no required public disclosure of company offices or shareholders
- Protection of assets from expropriation or confiscation orders from foreign governments (Hence, **they could** siphon-off - at this stage - £750,000 + from Jefferson House residents and make it disappear)"

J said 'could'

In your fax dated 24<sup>th</sup> October 2002 you also stated that:

"Steel Services also know that, because of lack of information on the identity of owners of Jefferson House - and our knowledge that at least some of these flats are owned by people connected with the headlease - namely, Andrew David Ladsky, we have been unable to set-up a formally recognised Residents Association".

The clear inference of your first letter is that there is a real risk that Steel Services Limited will "siphon-off ... £750,000+ from Jefferson House residents and make it disappear". In other words, you have suggested that there is a real risk that Steel Services Limited will steal that sum of money from the residents of Jefferson House.

That suggestion further implies that Steel Services Limited, as well as those associated with the company, are dishonest and that they are actual or potential thieves.

!! (B)

UNDENIABLY PROVEN: THIEVES - with the help of CKFT

In your second letter you expressly associate our client with Steel Services Limited by claiming that Mr Ladsky is "connected with the headlease", by which you presumably intend to mean Steel Services Limited.

Your first letter is defamatory of Steel Services Limited and your second letter, when read in conjunction with the first letter, is defamatory of Mr Ladsky because the words used in those letters, in their natural and ordinary meaning, are untrue and are likely to lower our client in the estimation of right thinking people.

!!

Clearly CKFT and Mr Ladsky have no sense of the ridicule

You have, effectively, said that Mr Ladsky is dishonest and that he is connected with a company that is likely to steal more than £750,000 from the residents of Jefferson House. That allegation is untrue. It is outrageous and is wholly without foundation in fact.

(B)

Our client therefore requires the following:-

1. That you should, within five working days of this letter write to Mr David Stewart, the Clerk to the Leasehold Valuation Tribunal and to Sandy McDougall the Tenancy

(B) Subsequent evidence: LVT determination dated 17 June 2003 = Reduction of £500,000 of sum demanded

+ his claim to the LVT on 29 Oct 02 that he was just "A tenant" v.s Ms Ayesha Salem, CKFT, letter to 2 residents calling him "our client" etc, etc, etc.

# CKFT

Relations Officer at the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea, to make it clear that:

- (i) You withdraw the allegation that Steel Services Limited could siphon-off £750,000 from Jefferson House residents and make it disappear;
  - (ii) you accept that any such allegation was false and was made with the intention of causing harm to Steel Services Limited and to Mr Ladsky.
2. That copies of your letters to Mr Stewart and to Sandy McDougall must be sent to all of the owners of Jefferson House, along with any other persons in accordance with our client's requirements.
  3. That the letters to Mr Stewart and to Sandy McDougall should contain an apology to Steel Services and to Mr Ladsky for any distress, inconvenience or damage that they may have suffered as a result of your unfounded and outrageous allegations. *Oh! DeAR!*
  4. That you will provide to us, within the same period, details of any persons to whom similar allegations have been made, (of which we understand there are a number) or to whom copies of your letters have been sent.
  5. Your specific proposals for payment of damages to Mr Ladsky, which must be substantial, and which must reflect the seriousness of the allegations that have been made against him. *of course!*
  6. Confirmation that you will pay our client's costs of and associated with this matter, such costs to be paid on an indemnity basis and to be assessed by the Court if not agreed between us. *ditto!*

In the event that you do not comply with these requirements we have firm instructions to commence proceedings against you to seek an appropriate injunction to restrain you from repeating your defamatory comments, damages and costs. *AS Always!*

We are sending a copy of this letter to your employers. We expect them to make suitable arrangements to ensure that proper steps are taken to make amends and to compensate our client.

We look forward to hearing from you as a matter of urgency.

Yours faithfully

CKFT

Needless to say that I did not comply to the dictates - and nothing came of it, other than more harassment, bullying, intimidation, blackmail, extortion tactics, etc.

See what Mr Ladsky, CKFT and his other thugs - "under his instructions" (Portner # 5.1) did in: 'My Diary - 15 May 2008' ; also under:

- Protection from Harassment Act 1997
  - Malicious Communications Act 1988
  - Theft Act 1968 / Theft (Amendment) Act 1996
  - Fraud Act 2006
  - Property Misdescriptions Act 1991
  - Court and Legal Services Act 1990
  - Criminal Justice Act & Public Order Act 1994
  - Defamation Act and 1996
- etc.